

# BOOKS BY GANDHIJI

An Autobiography	6	0	0
Satyagraha in			
South Africa	4	8	0
Hind Swaraj	0	8	0
The Indian States'			
Problem	4	0	0
Christian Missions—Their			
Place in India	2	0	0
Cent Per Cent Swadeshi	2	0	0
Constructive Programme			
—Its Meaning & Place	0	6	0
Non-violence in			
Peace and War	7	0	0
Women & Social Injustice	4	0	0
Gandhiji's Corres-			
pondence with the			
Government (1942-44)	2	8	0
From Yeravda Mandir	0	8	0
Self-restraint v.			
Self-indulgence			

# ECONOMICS OF KHADDAR

By  
RICHARD B. GREGG



NAVAJIVAN PUBLISHING HOUSE  
AHMEDABAD

REVISED SECOND EDITION, 2,000 : DECEMBER 1946

*Two Rupees*

Printed and Published by Jivanji Dahyabhai Desai  
at the Navajivan Press, Kalupur, Ahmedabad

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

DURING the three years since the publication of the first edition of this book the khaddar movement has gained in strength, and some of its economic implications have become clearer. Helpful criticism of the book has been received from various sources. During the last two years which I have spent in the United States I have carefully studied recent economic developments in the West and tried to relate them to the economic problem of India as discussed in this book. When the request came to prepare a second edition it seemed desirable to insert these new ideas and facts as well as to correct certain defects of the first edition, even though this lengthened the book somewhat.

The chief changes are as follows:—The order of the former chapters III to VIII has been re-arranged. The former Appendix F has been dropped out, and the former Appendix E, on Limitation of Machinery, has become Chapter XIII with part of it cut out and some new discussion added. Former Appendix G has become a part of Chapter X. Chapter IX has been largely rewritten in order to bring the story of the movement up to date. In Chapter XI there is a more extended discussion of the arguments of those who want India more rapidly industrialized, and of those who favor organization along Communist lines. The discussion of the inadequacy of money price criteria in Chapter XII is extended. At the end there are two new chapters and two new appendices, E and F. An index has been added.

Wherever possible, the figures have been brought up to date, and various minor corrections, notes and paragraphs have been inserted here and there which will, I hope, strengthen the argument. I have tried to cover all the points raised by the critics, and take this opportunity to express my thanks to them all.

Boston, Massachusetts,

U. S. A.

April 28, 1931

RICHARD B. GREGG



## CONTENTS

	PAGE
PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION . . . . .	iii
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	3
CHAPTERS :	
I. THE ENGINEERING ASPECT . . . . .	13
II. ENGINEERING DETAILS . . . . .	17
III. UNEMPLOYMENT . . . . .	28
IV. INCREASED PURCHASING POWER . . . . .	42
V. DECENTRALIZED PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION . . . . .	49
VI. COMPETITION BETWEEN MILL CLOTH AND KHADDAR . . . . .	64
VII. FACTORS TENDING TO DECREASE COMPETITION . . . . .	76
VIII. SOME COTTON TECHNOLOGY . . . . .	87
IX. DOES IT WORK ? . . . . .	97
X. VARIOUS OBJECTIONS . . . . .	104
XI. COMPARISON OF CHARKHA PROGRAMME WITH OTHER REFORM SCHEMES . . . . .	117
XII. MONEY PRICE CRITERIA . . . . .	126
XIII. WHAT ABOUT MACHINERY ? . . . . .	134
XIV. THE POWER OF AN AGRICULTURAL CIVILIZATION . . . . .	149
XV. ADVANTAGES OVER WESTERN INDUSTRIALISM . . . . .	154
CONCLUSION . . . . .	163
APPENDICES :	
A. CHARKHA AS THE ONLY COTTAGE INDUSTRY . . . . .	165
HANDLOOM v. SPINNING WHEEL . . . . .	184
FALLACY OF MILL YARN . . . . .	188
B. EXTENT OF RURAL UNEMPLOYMENT IN INDIA . . . . .	189
C. PROVIDING CLOTH FOR ONE VILLAGE AND ONE FAMILY . . . . .	194
D. BIBLIOGRAPHY ON INDIAN HAND SPINNING AND HAND WEAVING AND THE KHADDAR MOVEMENT . . . . .	198
E. VALUE OF SMALL-SCALE ORGANIZATION . . . . .	202
F. RURAL LIFE IN THE DECCAN . . . . .	204
INDEX . . . . .	207

# ECONOMICS OF KHADDAR



## INTRODUCTION

In former days India was regarded as a very rich country, and prior to the Mohammedan conquest, at least, the wealth was widely distributed among her people. The fame of her products and riches had been known in Europe since the days of Alexander the Great. Hopes for a share of this wealth were indeed the primary stimulus for the discovery of America and for the great activities in navigation, exploration, trade, banking and even politics, which have all played so large a part in European history.

But now, although India is still considered a source of much wealth, the Indian people are ranked among the poverty-stricken of the world. It is difficult to measure their actual poverty in terms comparable with conditions in Western countries. In the West, wealth and poverty may be fairly assessed in terms of capital assets, money income, bank clearings, prices and cost of living. But in India certain conditions tend to make this means of measurement inadequate. Indian statistics are insufficient. The habits of the joint-family system still prevail enough to help spread the burden of extreme destitution. (But note that this does not increase the wealth.) The religious duty of charity is strongly felt and acted upon. Caste and inter-caste sharings mitigate certain hardships. Barter and payment in kind are still sufficiently prevalent among certain occupations and in certain districts to make money incomes only a partly reliable guide. Credit instruments are not used anywhere near as widely as in the West. Where so large a part of the people are farmers, much of the income is directly in food. Except in winter in the north and in the hills, the tropical climate almost eliminates the need of fuel for warmth, and permits much simplicity of living arrangements.

Nevertheless, making all fair allowances, the fact of widespread, grinding poverty is undeniable. Its evidences

are far more apparent in the villages than in the cities, and therefore are not fully disclosed to the casual traveller. Yet it is in the villages and country regions, mostly away from the railways, that 90 per cent of the population live. Just as in all countries, public health and vital statistics are a fair barometer of poverty despite recent attempts to load all the blame in India on to the custom of child marriage. The average expectation of life among Indians is the lowest of any nation in the world and seems to be decreasing still further; infant mortality is abnormally high; disease rates are excessive. The almost universal illiteracy in the villages is itself in part an evidence of poverty. The extremely small size and extensive fragmentation of farm holdings is another evidence as well as cause of poverty. The extent of personal debts, the prevailing rates of interest, and the whole small money lending system are appalling to contemplate. All surveys of living equipment of typical peasant and city working-class families reveal very slender assets. The old statements about huge imports of silver and gold bullion, hoarding, stores of buried treasure and family jewels looks foolish when the annual and cumulative net import figures are divided by the figures of total population, and it is realized that cheques and other instruments of credit are very slightly used among Indians, so that much more coin is needed for mercantile transactions than in the West, and that certain allowance must be made for wear of coins, and that comparison should be made with per capita amounts of precious metals for all uses in Western countries. Practically all investigators with experience in social and economic surveys who have studied the actual conditions in both villages and cities are agreed that destitution is exceedingly great and widespread. As Professor Gilbert Slater of Madras University says, "The poverty of India is a grim fact."\*

With all these qualifications in mind, we will nevertheless cite the available data as to annual per capita income.

---

\* Introduction to P. P. Pillai's *Economic Conditions in India*, Routledge, London, 1925.

Though inadequate, for the reasons given, they nevertheless give us, perhaps, the best basis for a brief estimate of conditions compared with other countries. They may be said to give at least an indication of the state of mind of the Indian who is comparing his condition with that of his Western brethren. And as comfort is partly a subjective condition, these figures and the state of mind they connote are perhaps as fair a rapid quantitative index of the situation as is available.

The annual per capita income in India is extremely low. The estimates made since 1900 by British and Indian authorities range from Rs. 30 to Rs. 116. The estimate of Rs. 30 per head was made by Lord Curzon, then Viceroy of India, in 1901. A recent estimate, made in 1925 by Prof. Ghose of Calcutta University, was Rs. 46-6. Very few Indian economists or investigators will concede that the per capita income is over Rs. 50 per annum, and out of eighteen such estimates only three exceed Rs. 60, and two of these were made by the same man at different times.\*

---

\* The Simon Report (1930) ( Vol. I, p. 334, Vol. II, p.207 ) gives the figure as Rs. 107, but admits that this is according to "more optimistic" reports.

For a list of these estimates and comment, see *Mysore Economic Journal* for April, 1925, p. 177; also S. V. Puntambekar and N. S. Varadachari—*Hand Spinning and Hand Weaving*, published by All India Spinners' Association, Ahmedabad, 1926, pp. 130-132.

For detailed study and comment on Indian poverty and its causes see H. H. Mann—*Land and Labour in a Deccan Village*, Oxford University Press, Vol. I, 1916. Vol. II, 1921; M. L. Darling—*The Punjab Peasant in Prosperity and Debt*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1925; *Wealth and Welfare of the Punjab*, by Mr. H. Calvert, Registrar of the Co-operative Department of the Punjab Govt.; *Study of Economic Conditions in Bombay Presidency* also by H. H. Mann, Agricultural Expert to the Bombay Presidency Govt.; *Economic Life of a Bengal District* by J. C. Jack, then Land Settlement Officer to the Bengal Govt., 2nd. ed., 1927, Oxford University Press; Higginbottom—*The Gospel and the Plow*, Macmillan, New York, 1921; Gilbert Slater—*Some South Indian Villages*, University of Madras Economic Studies, Oxford Univ. Press, 1918; Venkatasubrahmanyam—*Studies in Rural Economics: Vazhamangalam*, Natesan & Co., Madras, 1927; B. G. Sapre—*Economics of Agricultural Progress*, Sangli; S. K. Iyengar—*Studies in Indian Rural Economics*, P. S. King & Son, London, 1927; R. Mukerjee—*Rural Economy of India*, Longmans Green, London, 1926; Brij Narain—*The Population of India*, publ. R. Krishna, Lahore, 1926; *Economic Conditions*

Detailed budget studies in villages yield similar results, ranging from Rs. 44 in the Deccan (Dr. Mann, 1917), Rs. 52 in Bengal (J. C. Jack, 1906-10), Rs. 72 in Madras (Prof. Slater, 1916-17), to Rs. 100 in the Punjab (M. L. Darling, 1925).

in India, by P. P. Pillai, Member of the Economic and Financial Section, League of Nations Secretariat, Geneva, Routledge, London, 1925; E. D. Lucas—*Economic Life of a Punjab Village*, Lahore, 1922; S. S. Aiyar—*Economic Life in a Malabar Village*, Bangalore Printing and Publ. Co., Bangalore, Mysore, 1925; G. Keatinge—*Rural Economy in the Bombay Deccan*, Longmans Green, London, 1917; *Enquiry into Working Class Budgets in Bombay City*, Govt. Labour Office, Bombay, 1921-22; *India in 1923-24*, edited by Rushbrook Williams, then Director of Public Information, Govt. of India, pp. 186, 190, 197, 198; *Material and moral Progress of India*, Report for 1922, p. 198; Royal Stationers Office, London, Cmd. 1961 of 1923; W. S. Thompson—*Britain's Population Problem as Seen by An American*, *The Economic Journal* London, June, 1926; *The Indian Rural Problem*—Anon. (perhaps S. Higginbottom of Allahabad) in *The Round Table*, London, June, 1925; R. K. Das—*Wastage of India's Man Power*, *The Modern Review*, Calcutta, April, 1927; N. N. Gangullee—*The Problem of Rural Life in India*, *Asiatic Review*, July, 1925; *Report of the India Advisory Committee of the Independent Labour Party of Great Britain*, 1926, London; Wadia, P. A. and Joshi, N. G.—*The Wealth of India*, Macmillan, London, 1927; Shah and Khambatta—*Wealth and Taxable Capacity of India*, Taraporewala, Bombay, 1925; Sir Theodore Morrison—*Economic Organization of an Indian Province*, John Murray, London, 1906; S. G. Panandikar—*Wealth and Welfare of the Bengal Delta*, Calcutta University Press, 1925; R. L. Bhalla—*Economic Survey of Bairampur*, Lahore, 1922; N. Ranga—*The Deltaic Villages on the East Coast*, Bezwada, 1926; *Economic Survey of Gaggar Bhana, in Amritsar District*, ed. by W. H. Myles, Board of Economic Inquiry, Punjab, Rural Section, 1928, Lahore; *Life and Labour in a South Gujarat Village*, by G. C. Mukhtyar, ed. by C. N. Vakil, Longmans Green, London, 1930; *Economic Development of India* by Vera Anstey, Longmans Green, London, 1929; Freda Uteley—*Lancashire and the Far East*, Allen & Unwin, London, 1931, the two chapters on India; G. Findley Shirras—*Poverty and Kindred Economic Problems in India*, Govt. of India, 1931; Margaret Read—*The Indian Peasant Uprooted*, Longmans Green, 1932. See also the Reports and Evidence given before various Governmental Committees and Commissions, such as The Indian Economic Enquiry Committee, 1925; Committee on Co-operation in India (MacLagan Committee), 1915; Indian Industrial Commission, 1916-17; Indian Constitutional Reforms Committee (Montague-Chelmsford Committee) 1918; Indian Taxation Enquiry Committee; Royal Commission on Agriculture in India, 1927; Famine Commission Reports. Also Annual Reports of the Indian Public Health Commissioner. The above list is not exhaustive.

In terms of British money, Rs. 50 at normal rates of exchange would be about £ 3/15, and in United States money about \$ 18.50. By contrast, the annual per capita income in the United States in 1926 was reliably estimated at \$ 770, and \$ 2,010 for each person gainfully employed. That second category does not include housewives or women and children helping the head of the family on the home farm.\* In terms of Indian money, \$ 770, is, at existing exchange rates,† about Rs. 1,925, while \$ 2,010, is Rs. 5,025.

Recent figures as to real wages in India, Great Britain and the United States are not available to us. *The Bombay Labour Gazette* for September 1926 gives the following comparative cost of living index numbers for India, the United Kingdom and the United States.

## COST OF LIVING INDEX NUMBERS.§

	India (Bombay)	U. K.	U. S. A.
July, 1914	100	100	100
„ 1915	104	125	105
„ 1916	108	148	118
„ 1917	118	180	142
„ 1918	149	203	174
„ 1919	186	208	199
„ 1920	190	252	200
„ 1921	177	219	174
„ 1922	165	184	170
„ 1923	153	169	173
„ 1924	157	170	173
„ 1925	157	173	174 (June)
„ 1926	157	170	—

\* Estimate by National Bureau of Economic Research (U.S.) quoted in *Literary Digest*, New York, for March 5, 1927. The same Bureau in its *News Bulletin* of Dec, 16, 1929 stated that in 1927 the annual per capita income of American wage workers, as distinguished from all persons gainfully employed, was \$ 1,205.

† At the date of this introduction the rupee equalled about 40 cents. Normally 1 Rupee=1 S. 6d. or 36.49 cents.

§ The cost of living figures for Bombay since 1926 have been (Dec.)



## ECONOMICS OF KHADDAR

These figures look favourable to India, but one must remember that there the margin above subsistence is on the average practically nil.

For certain retail commodities in Indian cities a rupee may be considered, in purchasing power for an Indian, as roughly equivalent to four shillings under conditions found in Great Britain, or to one dollar under American conditions. In the villages, the equivalent purchasing power of the rupee is perhaps still greater. Of course, under tropical conditions, human wants may be greatly simplified; but the life of certain races and tribes in temperate and frigid zones proves that it is almost equally possible under any conditions. But an annual income of £10 or \$ 50, or even twice as much, would not be bearable by an Englishman or American of any group or class.

In an interview granted to the *Times of India* (see issue of Oct. 22, 1927), Dr. Harold H. Mann, the retiring Director, of Agriculture in Bombay Presidency, is quoted, in part, as follows:

"He had no hesitation in saying that although the standard of living of the agriculturalists had undoubtedly improved, he could not say that the majority of the people were living up to that standard. His inquiries had shown, in fact, that fully 75 per cent of the people in the famine districts were living so much below their own standard that their economic position had to be reckoned as unsound, whilst even in the areas which were looked upon as reasonably prosperous, there was only 66 per cent of the people in a sound economic position. He admitted that it was most difficult to make any detailed observations on this point because there was so little data to compare notes, but his candid opinion, after twenty years' careful investigation and observation, was that in these two decades the standard of life in the villages had improved but the actual

1927, 151; Dec. 1928, 148; Dec. 1929, 150; May 1930, 141. Corresponding figures for the United States were, Dec. 1926, 176; 1927, 172; 1928, 171; 1929, 171; June 1930, 167.

relationship of the bulk of the people toward that standard had not improved. . . ."

In 1922, Mr. Rushbrook Williams, then Director of Public Information, Government of India, wrote, "The majority (of the Indian people) are poor and helpless beyond Western conception."\* Again, in 1924, he wrote, "But although it seems quite possible to maintain with fair degree of certainty that the masses of the Indian population, at least in some parts of the country, are gradually improving in their economic position, it must be borne in mind that a very large proportion of the inhabitants of India are still beset with a poverty of a kind which finds no parallel in the more exigent because less tropical climates of Western lands. Such improvement as is taking place proceeds with painful slowness."†

According to the *Lahore Tribune* of August 17, 1927, Lord Sinha, former Governor of Bihar and Orissa, drawing attention to the recent discussion on Indian affairs in the House of Commons, said, among other things :

"The fact remains that material progress has been very slow. It does not seem to me, speaking again for Bengal only,† that the people are in any way better off than they were, say, thirty or even fifty, years ago. Indeed, it really seems to me that they are worse off." Mr. Gandhi holds that this is also true of the vast majority of Indians in the other provinces.

The Indians are a meek and patient people, but it is not surprising to find that now many of them exceedingly dislike this state of affairs and are trying to find a way

---

\* *India in 1921-22*, p. 191 : A Statement prepared for presentation to Parliament in accordance with the requirements of the 26th Section of the Government of India Act (5 & 6 Geo. V, Ch. 61) Government of India Central Publication Branch, Calcutta.

† *India in 1923-24* p. 193; Govt. of India, Central Publication Branch, Calcutta.

† The population of Bengal, according to the 1921 Census, was 46,695,000,—nearly equal to that of Great Britain.

out. Many schemes have been proposed and are being tried out. One of these, the khaddar or charkha movement, proposed by Mr. Gandhi and now being operated by him and his followers, consists of an attempted revival of indigenous hand-spinning and hand-weaving,† the stress being chiefly on spinning. Handweaving, without qualification as to the kind of yarn used, has more than held its own during the last 15 years or more and has received encouragement and support from several provincial Governments.

This project has both staunch adherents and vigorous adverse critics. Both in India and elsewhere it has roused so much discussion that it seems worth while to examine still further in some detail the question of its economic validity. As one Bihari merchant asked us, "Will it pay in rupees, annas and pies?"

This little book is an attempt to discuss and, if possible, answer that question.

To almost all Europeans and Americans and to most others who have had a Western education or much contact with Western civilization, the question seems absurd. Modern machine industry and commerce are so powerful and world-wide; materials produced by power-driven machinery are so cheap in price and of such quality and so widely distributed, that an attempt to compete with them on any large scale seems foolish.

Isn't it preposterous to try to turn back the clock and revert to such primitive implements,—to say nothing of expecting any good to come of it? How can a man like Mr. Gandhi, apparently so sincere and honest in other ways, thus play on the credulity of the ignorant Indian peasantry? Surely such a movement is foredoomed to failure.

---

† For the reader who may be unfamiliar with India, it may be mentioned that khaddar or khadi is hand-woven cotton cloth made of hand-spun yarn. The charkha is the indigenous hand-operated domestic spinning wheel. The word khaddar is pronounced as if the two letters *a* were short as like the *u* in cut. On the other hand, khadi is pronounced with the *a* long, as in ah. The last vowel in charkha is long.

" Misguided zeal", " blind leader of the blind ", " reactionary ", " crank ", " stupid ", " insane ", " childish ", " fantastic ", " deluded ", " an unproved economic fallacy ", " an antiquated and unprofitable method ", " futile hope ", " suicidal attempt ", " flying in the face of all modern scientific knowledge and progress ";—such are some of the phrases applied to the idea or to its founder and upholders, by various advisers and critics.

Most people believe that it is useless or definitely a mistake for anyone, and perhaps most of all for Indians, to do anything but buy their cloth in the cheapest markets. They think that, whatever ways there may be for India to increase her wealth, to attempt to increase her output of textiles by hand is not one.

This little book is a statement of how the project looks to one who had seven years of practical work and study in industrial and labour problems in America (much of it in cotton mills), together with two and a half years' study in India of the khaddar movement. The latter period included observation both in the villages and at the headquarters of the movement. The investigation was undertaken primarily to clarify my own thinking. The ideas are not original, though perhaps their synthesis in this context is new in part. For all that has gone into the book I am indebted to all the world.

The book is far from complete, but I have tried to cover the main points, and have made references to sources whence further information may be obtained. It proved impossible, with my facilities, to get all the statistics up to date, but I do not think that the conclusions are thereby invalidated.

One thing is certain, that Indian tropical and village economic organization and methods are very different from those of temperate climates and predominantly urban regions. How great the differences are, it is almost impossible to realize until one has actually experienced both for a considerable period of time.

from empty phrase to actualities, lies through power; the machine is only an incident."

Note that idea: the right use of power is the important thing, the machine is only an incident. We will come back to it later.

Again, the British Reconstruction Committee Interim Report on Electric Power Supply in Great Britain, 1917, says in part:

"It is obvious that improvement in the commercial prosperity of a country—that is to say, the average purchasing power of the individual—depends upon increasing the output per head. . . . The only way to increase prosperity is to increase the net output per head of the workers employed. . . . The best cure for low wages is more motive power. Or from the manufacturer's point of view, the only offset against the increasing cost of labour is the more extensive use of motive power. Thus, the solution of the workman's problem, and also of his employer is the same, viz., the greatest possible use of power. Hence the growing importance of having available an adequate and cheap supply of power produced with the greatest economy of fuel."\*

If the truth of these statements be granted, it is sound economics to urge the immediate installation of machinery to use more of the power now available in India.

But first of all let us be good business men and examine the various kinds of machinery and power available. § One kind may be more efficient than another or less expensive in the long run; considering such factors as first cost of installation, cost of maintenance, cost of power, skill required of workmen, together with the kind and amount of products desired and the ability of the markets to consume the product and thereby pay for the costs. For example, if a manufacturer is situated near a big water-fall, it would

\* Cf. also James Fairgrieve—*Geography and World Power*, University of London Press, 1925.

§ Cf. W. N. Polakov—*Mastering Power Production*, McGraw Hill & Co., New York City, 1919, p. 34.

probably be foolish for him to instal a steam power plant; while if his factory is near a good coal-mine, obviously a steam power plant is his best source of power. Or to instal a 50,000 horse-power plant when the available profitable markets will absorb only the product of 30,000 horse-power would clearly be a mistake.

Following Mr. Ford's idea that the right use of power is more important than any particular kind of machinery, let us briefly examine the fundamentals of physical power and its utilization, and then apply that as a test for the validity of the khaddar proposal. We will first state the whole engineering argument in brief, and then consider it in a more detailed fashion.

All physical power is derived ultimately from the sun. Coal and petroleum are, in effect, reservoirs from the stream of the solar energy of past ages converted and stored up by vegetation. Water power comes from the action of sunshine evaporating water from the oceans and transporting it to the land and rivers in the form of clouds and rain. Even the mechanical energy of horses and cattle, and man himself comes from food obtained from plants activated by sunshine. All the power used in modern industry and in the economic activities of man in past ages came from his using some part of the never ending stream of solar energy. The old *Rig Vedic* hymns sang rightly of *Savitar*, the sun god: "*Savitar...Lord of every blessing;*" and "*God Savitar, the gold-eyed hath come hither, giving choice treasures unto him who worships.*" (R. V. x, 149; I, 35).

Any scheme which utilizes and efficiently transforms solar energy to a greater degree than was being done before is sound, from an engineering standpoint, and also from an economic point of view.

We do not usually think of the charkha as a machine, but it really is so. It uses the available mechanical energy of a man, woman or child for producing material goods. The handloom does likewise. That mechanical energy is derived from the food eaten by the person. Though in a different degree, manner and mode, the process is the same as that occurring in a steam engine or hydraulic power

plant,—namely, the transformation of solar energy into mechanical motion.

There are today great numbers of unemployed Indians. They are, in effect, engines kept running by fuel (food), but not attached to any machines or devices for producing goods. Mr. Gandhi proposes to hitch them to charkhas and thus save a vast existing waste of solar energy.

If we want to increase the use of mechanical power in India, this is the quickest and cheapest way. The "engines" are all present; a man is as efficient a transformer of fuel energy into mechanical motion as a steam engine is; the spinning and weaving machinery to be used is nearly all ready at hand in sufficient quantity to supply all needs. Any additional needs can be quickly and cheaply produced in India by artisans who need no further training in technical skill for this purpose; the speed and quantity of output possible with charkha and hand-loom are more closely adapted to the needs of the Indian market and Indian producers than any other type of machinery; no foreign capital is needed to purchase the machinery, and therefore there will be no expensive interest payments or difficulties arising from absentee control; the maintenance of such a factory is inexpensive and can be done entirely by available workers without further training; the amount of training needed for operatives is a minimum and of a sort more easily acquired than for any other type of machinery; the "fuel" or power cost for the man-charkha system will be nothing above the present food bill of the nation; the material to be used is available in practically every Indian province at a minimum of transportation cost; and the market is everywhere.

To all this the reply may be made: "A very simple and pretty little theory. But the amount of energy which could be so transformed by these man-engines is so tiny when compared with that of modern power-plants and factories, and the rate of power production by hand is so slow and inefficient compared with that of modern machines, that the proposal simply falls flat."

Let us see.

## II

### ENGINEERING DETAILS

What does this solar energy really amount to?

We will examine it in detail, not to argue that it can be fully utilized, but because we all need to correct our perspective on the problem of power in modern industry.

The article on "The Sun" in the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (11th ed.) states that the units of solar energy received per minute per square centimeter at the earth's mean distance from the sun amount to 2.1 calories, or at the rate of 1.47 kilowatts per square meter, or 1.70 horse power per square yard. More recent researches in astrophysics modify these figures and indicate that only about 0.6 horse power per square yard, on the average, actually reaches the earth's surface.\*

James Fairgrieve, in his *Geography and World Power*†, referring to the Sahara Desert, says, "Here, on an area comparable with that occupied by greater London, is yearly directed as much solar energy as could be produced on complete combustion by the total amount of coal annually raised in Britain."

Another author describes it as follows:‡ "Let us see if we can get some idea of the energy the sun expends.

---

\* Cf. W. J. Humphries—*Physics of the Air* McGraw Hill, New York, 1929; reports of the Smithsonian Institute, Washington, D. C., U. S. A.; and publications of the U. S. Weather Bureau, especially the researches of Abbot, Kimball, Humphreys and Bigelow. See also 14th ed. (1929) of *Encyclopedia Britannica*, article on Sun.

† p. 355. London University Press, London, 1925. This book shows most interestingly the importance of the increasing use of physical power in the history of all nations from earliest times.

‡ *The Children's Treasure House*, Vol. VIII, p. 65. Edited by Arthur Mee, Educational Book Co., Ltd., London.



plant,—namely, the transformation of solar energy into mechanical motion.

There are today great numbers of unemployed Indians. They are, in effect, engines kept running by fuel (food), but not attached to any machines or devices for producing goods. Mr. Gandhi proposes to hitch them to charkhas and thus save a vast existing waste of solar energy.

If we want to increase the use of mechanical power in India, this is the quickest and cheapest way. The "engines" are all present; a man is as efficient a transformer of fuel energy into mechanical motion as a steam engine is; the spinning and weaving machinery to be used is nearly all ready at hand in sufficient quantity to supply all needs. Any additional needs can be quickly and cheaply produced in India by artisans who need no further training in technical skill for this purpose; the speed and quantity of output possible with charkha and hand-loom are more closely adapted to the needs of the Indian market and Indian producers than any other type of machinery; no foreign capital is needed to purchase the machinery, and therefore there will be no expensive interest payments or difficulties arising from absentee control; the maintenance of such a factory is inexpensive and can be done entirely by available workers without further training; the amount of training needed for operatives is a minimum and of a sort more easily acquired than for any other type of machinery; the "fuel" or power cost for the man-charkha system will be nothing above the present food bill of the nation; the material to be used is available in practically every Indian province at a minimum of transportation cost; and the market is everywhere.

To all this the reply may be made: "A very simple and pretty little theory. But the amount of energy which could be so transformed by these man-engines is so tiny when compared with that of modern power-plants and factories, and the rate of power production by hand is so slow and inefficient compared with that of modern machines, that the proposal simply falls flat."

Let us see.

## II

### ENGINEERING DETAILS

What does this solar energy really amount to?

We will examine it in detail, not to argue that it can be fully utilized, but because we all need to correct our perspective on the problem of power in modern industry.

The article on "The Sun" in the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (11th ed.) states that the units of solar energy received per minute per square centimeter at the earth's mean distance from the sun amount to 2.1 calories, or at the rate of 1.47 kilowatts per square meter, or 1.70 horse power per square yard. More recent researches in astrophysics modify these figures and indicate that only about 0.6 horse power per square yard, on the average, actually reaches the earth's surface.\*

James Fairgrieve, in his *Geography and World Power*†, referring to the Sahara Desert, says, "Here, on an area comparable with that occupied by greater London, is yearly directed as much solar energy as could be produced on complete combustion by the total amount of coal annually raised in Britain."

Another author describes it as follows:‡ "Let us see if we can get some idea of the energy the sun expends.

---

\* Cf. W. J. Humphries — *Physics of the Air* McGraw Hill, New York, 1929; reports of the Smithsonian Institute, Washington, D. C., U. S. A.; and publications of the U. S. Weather Bureau, especially the researches of Abbot, Kimball, Humphreys and Bigelow. See also 14th ed. (1929) of *Encyclopedia Britannica*, article on Sun.

† p. 355. London University Press, London, 1925. This book shows most interestingly the importance of the increasing use of physical power in the history of all nations from earliest times.

‡ *The Children's Treasure House*, Vol. VIII, p. 65. Edited by Arthur Mee, Educational Book Co., Ltd., London.

The valley of the Mississippi is reckoned as having an area of 982,000 square miles, and on each square mile there falls every year about forty inches of rain. Now, the coal that would have to be consumed to evaporate a body of water one mile square and forty inches deep would be 182,000 tons. The coal, therefore, that would be required to evaporate the rain that falls in one year on the Mississippi Valley would be 178,724,000,000 tons. The output of coal for the world in a year is only a little over 1,100 million tons, so that to evaporate enough water to supply the rain of the Mississippi for a year would take 150 times the world's annual coal supply."

The solar energy falling on the area of India in one year would be, roughly, 58,601,685,904,000,000 horse-power. This would be equivalent to over 207,000 times the horse-power obtainable in better than ordinary practice from all the anthracite and bituminous coal mined in all the world in the year 1927.\*

If we assume that the area farmed by the people of an average Indian village is equivalent to that of a circle with a radius of a mile and a half around the centre of the village, the solar energy received by that land would be about 13,137,000 horse-power.

The current supply of energy from the sun is thus amazingly greater than our resources of coal and oil. This is the real source of the material wealth of India. We do and can use only a minute fraction of it, but to disregard available means of utilizing it is clearly un-intelligent and unscientific.

"A man's work is usually from one-sixth to one-tenth of a horse-power...for a minute or two he can exert a full

---

\* This is based on an assumed average of eight hours a day of sunshine and an engine and boiler efficiency yielding one horse-power hour per pound of coal burned. The average efficiency of electric power plants in the United States in 1928 was one horse-power hour from 1.32 pounds of coal burned. See *The Coal Industry of the World* by H. M. Hoare, Trade Promotion Series 105, Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, U. S. Department of Commerce, 1930.

horse-power or even more.”\* For the moment we will disregard the question of what part of that power would be utilized in a charkha. Remember that the technical engineering term “horse-power” is not actually the power of a horse, but a unit rate of work in terms of foot-pounds and time.

From the Indian Census of 1921 we learn that in British India and the Indian States, exclusive of the North West Frontier Province, Kashmir, Burma and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, there were then 104,943,712 “actual workers”, both male and female, wholly engaged in “pasture and agriculture”. A proportional part of the population of the North West Frontier Province and Kashmir also wholly engaged in agriculture would probably amount to at least another 2,000,000—making a total for continental India, exclusive of Burma† of approximately 107,000,000.

Taking a man’s work as the lower of the two rates given above,—one-tenth of a horse-power,—we thus have available for work in the agricultural districts the equivalent of 10,700,000 horse-power. In the entire absence of any available experimental data, but as an attempt to make a fair guess, suppose we say, for the sake of discussion, that the operation of a charkha would consume only one one-hundredth of a man’s power. This estimate would give us the equivalent of 107,000 horse-power available for charkha yarn production in the agricultural districts alone.

Furthermore, it is actually available all day long for at least three months of the year, and for part of the day all the rest of the year. In the opinions of all reliable and competent observers, both British and Indian, it is agreed that the farmers in practically every province and district in India are idle for at least three months of each year.

---

\* W. A. Henry and F. B. Morrison—*Feeds and Feeding*, 18th edn. 1923, Para. 444, Madison, Wisconsin, U. S. A. This is the leading American authority on nutrition, metabolism and energy studies of farm animals.

† Burma is omitted because the author has no information as to the extent of agricultural unemployment or under-employment there. The exclusion helps to keep the figures conservative.

Many authorities say four months and some six. These various authorities also agree that even on the days when the farmer is working, there are idle hours to a considerable amount. This tremendous unemployment, so wide spread and so regularly occurring every year, is an exceedingly important factor in the Indian economic situation. It is so different from Western conditions that we have thought it wise to quote the authorities fairly extensively. These quotations will be found in Appendix B.

If 100,000 horse-power seems a small amount in relation to the size and population of India and the capacity of modern industrial power stations, it is nevertheless probably much more than was used in the entire European textile industry prior to the industrial revolution, an industry which clothed the whole population of Europe. It is also greater than the total energy used in the Indian textile industry prior to the Mahommedan conquest, for since that time there has been a great increase in the population of the country.

From this it is clear that the potential available manpower for charkha work is very large. The work of children, aged dependents, and women who are not workers in the field is not counted in the above estimate, but would probably double the foregoing figure of available energy.

How large it is compared with other power sources may be judged from the following figures. According to the *Indian Year Book* for 1924\* (p. 285), a preliminary report made in September, 1919, to the Indian Industrial Commission by Mr. J. W. Mears, M. I. C. E., Electrical Adviser to the Government of India, stated that the industries of all India then absorbed something over a million horse-power. The same issue of that Year Book (p. 285-6) also states that the mills and factories of Bombay then were using over 100,000 horse-power; and that the power plants of the Tata Hydro-Electric Power Supply Company are designed to yield 100,000 horse-power in their full development; also that the Bombay mills alone then numbered 44 and were using 53,000 horse-power.

\* Bennett, Coleman & Co., Ltd., *Times of India*, Bombay.

breakers, carders, slubbers, rovers, warpers and looms with that used in making the hand-gin, hand-carding bow and hand-loom. This difference in favour of the hand implements is great even when reduced to terms of individual spindles and looms, or to units of yarn or cloth produced. Furthermore, even this difference does not take into account the titanic quantities of energy used up in the pressure of the earth on the coal, and the various subterranean stresses for millions of years.

The Westerner may be inclined to dismiss such elements of comparative efficiency as silly, and to say that it is only the comparisons of human labour which should count. But the more advanced engineers are now seriously considering all material elements of waste and inefficiency. The East is accustomed to long-time views, and to Orientals and to those who think of the factors of stability of a whole civilization, such considerations as these may not seem futile or nonsensical. The Western claim to greater efficiency of machinery over hand implements can be maintained only by disregarding a considerable part of the required transformation of solar into chemical and mechanical energy.

From an engineering point of view there is no sense in having a power or machine establishment much more powerful than is needed to produce the quantity of goods which the market may reasonably be expected to absorb, allowing for probable growth. Too much machinery means idle equipment and consequent great overhead expense and loss.

From the discussion in Chapter VII it seems clear that the Indian market for cloth will not soon largely increase. And possibly it would be a mistake for Indian mill owners to expect that they can enter many more foreign markets with their products and compete with the mills of other nations.\* If this is so, there is little room for expansion of Indian cotton mills. But to the extent that

---

\* This does not mean that I am opposed to all foreign trade. But more countries are tending to produce an increasing amount of their own cotton cloth.

where there was no locomotion, the tractors on the average turned 13·4 per cent of the gross energy of their fuel into work performed. This may be compared to the efficiency of 15 per cent or more for the horse, when credit is included for the work of moving the body. Thus, as a mere machine, the animal compares favourably with the best modern tractors."

As regards man himself, Prof. J. G. McKendrick in his *Principles of Physiology*\* states that man can change 25 per cent of his food energy into mechanical motion, the remainder going to heat, digestion and body maintenance. Also that the best reciprocating steam engine can transform easily about 12½ per cent of the energy of the coal.

Prof. Frederick Soddy of Oxford University, F. R. S., and Nobel Prize winner in Chemistry, 1923, says:

"As a working mechanism, a man may be highly efficient from the point of view of the part of the energy value of his food that appears as work. This sometimes exceeds 30 per cent, and the very best steam engines rarely approach this efficiency."†

Thus, the food-man combination seems to be as physically efficient as the coal-steam engine method of securing mechanical power.

Indeed, the food-man-charkha combination is actually more efficient than the coal-steam-engine-mill when the total consumption of solar energy units is considered. For before the charkha or power-spindle can start operation, the respective machines must be made. The amount of solar energy units represented by the coal required to manufacture the metal power-driven spinning machine from beginning to end and the boilers and engines required to run it is vastly greater than that represented by the manufacture of the wooden charkha. This difference is further enhanced by comparing the energy used in manufacturing the metal power-driven balers, ginners, openers,

\* Home University Library, Williams and Norgate, London.

† See his *Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt*, Allen and Unwin, London, 1926, P. 51-52.

cited), on a slightly larger estimate of costs. We reproduce it here.

	Mill power	Hand power
Hours of work in one year	2,920	2,920
Output per spindle	100 to 120 lbs.	90 lbs.
Count of yarn	15	15
Cost of spindle	Rs. 100	Rs. 3 to 4
Percentage of spindle efficiency relative to costs	100	2,400
Out-turn per loom	12,000 yds.	1,200 yds.
Cost of loom	Rs. 900	Rs. 20
percentage of loom efficiency relative to costs	100	450*

As to repair and maintenance costs, they would obviously be almost nil in the case of the hand implements, and all repairs of such a nature as could easily be made by the village carpenters or blacksmiths. Not so with mill repairs and maintenance. Also charges for depreciation, obsolescence and insurance on mill machinery are, of course, vastly greater than of those for hand appliances, if the latter can be said to exist at all.

Another detail. To make any appreciable addition to the mills of India would require loans of foreign capital. For a nation whose people are as poor as Indians, would such a policy be wise? Let us again consult Mr. Ford. In his book *My Life and Work*, at pages 157 to 176, he says in part :

"We are not against borrowing money and we are not against bankers. We are against trying to make borrowed money take the place of work.

"Borrowing may easily become an excuse for not boring into the trouble...

---

\* In regard to these figures, an Ahmedabad mill manager has submitted some different figures as to output and costs of mill spindles and looms. These would make the comparative hand spindle efficiency relative to costs 1158 per cent, and the comparative hand-loom efficiency relative to costs 220 percent.



khaddar can utilize existing solar power more cheaply than the mills, there is room and need for the increase of charkhas and hand-loom.

If then, the rates of production of the charkha and handloom are or soon will be more closely adapted to the actual effective demand of Indian farmers and villagers and to the rate at which they wear out their clothing, and if they can utilize existing solar energy more cheaply than the mills, then from an engineering and strictly economic standpoint they are more efficient than the mills. The fact that a small group of people can make money profit out of mills should not blind us to the further fact that the losses to the nation from wasted man-power and sun-power in the existing situation may more than offset any gains by the small group.

To carry on the argument, not only are the "man-engines" present, but there are also great numbers of charkhas and hand-loom not in full use. It is reliably estimated that there are already in India 5,000,000 charkhas. The Census of 1921 showed 1,938,178 hand-loom, exclusive of Berar, the Central and United Provinces. Many of these spindles and looms are now idle, but they could easily be refurnished. Furthermore, a new charkha costs only from 2½ to 5 rupees, depending on the style and place of manufacture; and a new hand-loom costs only about Rs. 20. Both can easily be made by village carpenters without special instructions.

Against these costs of hand appliances we may set the cost of setting up a modern yarn mill of say 20,000 spindles in India, according to the estimates in the *Indian Textile Journal* § namely 1,660,917 rupees. This sum, if invested in charkhas at Rs. 5 each, would provide 332,183 hand-spindles, instead of 20,000 mill spindles, and the production of yarn would be at least 11 times greater than that from the mill.

Another interesting comparison of costs is given at page 202, of *Hand Spinning and Hand Weaving* (above

---

§ Reproduced in *Young India*, Sept. 3, 1925; in article called "Mills vs. the Spinning Wheel".

In conclusion, it may be said that perhaps India may some day follow the other nations in the extensive use of oil and coal and water power. But to do so really efficiently and in such a way as to serve *all* her people and all the world, not merely a small group of owners and financiers,—will require much thought and a careful period of discipline. And whether the future will be that regime or one without much machinery, the wisest next step for the immediate future is the fullest possible use of her sun energy through the charkha and hand-loom. Improved agriculture will come later. Through the charkha and hand-loom India can once more regain a healthy balance between agriculture and industry, such as existed about 175 years ago, and she can do this without slums or any of the other difficulties and evils involved in big cities. Engineering considerations seem thus to rank the production of khaddar as of greater immediate importance than possible improvements in agriculture. In the light of all these considerations, Mr. Gandhi seems to be, in effect, a great industrial engineer.

"The time for a business man to borrow money, if ever, is when he does not need it. That is when he does not need it as a substitute for the things he ought himself to do. If a man's business is in excellent condition and in need of expansion, it is comparatively safe to borrow...

"I have no prejudice against proper borrowing. It is merely that I do not want to run the danger of having the control of the business and hence the particular idea of service to which I am devoted taken into other hands.".....

"I cannot too greatly emphasize that the very worst time to borrow money is when the banking people think that you need money.....

"You will note that the financiers proposed to cure by lending money and not by bettering methods. They did not suggest putting in an engineer; they wanted to put in a treasurer.

"And that is the danger of having bankers in business. They think solely in terms of money. They think of a factory as making money, not goods. They want to watch the money, not the efficiency of production."

In his *Today and Tomorrow* (pp. 32-33), he says:

"Another rock on which business breaks is debt. Debt is nowadays an industry.....

"When business goes into debt it owes a divided allegiance. The scavengers of finance, when they wish to put a business out of the running or secure it for themselves, always begin with the debt method. Once on that road, the business has two masters to serve, the public and the speculative financier. It will scrimp the one to serve the other, and the public will be hurt, for debt leaves no choice of allegiance.

"Business has freed itself from domineering finance by keeping within itself its earnings."

One among Mr. Ford's principles of management of a railroad which his company owns and operates is that "we have made all our improvements with our own money". He built up his own business from small beginnings without borrowing.

duty of all concerned would seem to be to strengthen this factor when possible, and determine the soundest criteria for its use."

Mr. Morris L. Cooke, a prominent American engineer and president of the Taylor Society, recently stated,\*.....

"Unemployment is the most important single source of waste.....

"It is all very well to advocate greater production through the introduction of machinery, the increased use of mechanical power, through standardization and through advanced technique of one kind or another. Society as a whole does profit as we learn to make two pairs of shoes at the cost formerly required for one. But until we can guarantee to the individual a higher measure of protection in making his or her contribution to the increasing effectiveness of our national production, our enthusiasm must necessarily be tempered with the thought that with each step forward the spectre of unemployment is actually raised. We can hardly expect the interest and much less the whole-hearted support of the workers who are most likely to be adversely affected until we see in unemployment the very core of the problem of waste elimination. . . . But after all, looked at from the national and economic stand point, what we all want,—employers and employees alike,—is the substance of continuous employment rather than protection against unemployment."†

Probably no one will dispute these statements. In view, then, of the extent of unemployment in India, as set forth in Chapter II and in Appendix B, it is important to consider its relation to Mr. Gandhi's programme.

In Chapter II we learned from the 1921 census figures that there were approximately 107,000,000 "actual workers

---

\*See his article on "Waste through Unemployment" in *The American Federationist*, June, 1927, p. 700.

†See also Stuart Chase *The Tragedy of Waste*, Ch. VIII, Macmillan, New York, 1926.

### III UNEMPLOYMENT

Professor Marshall, the great English economist, is quoted as having said at the Ipswich Co-operative Congress :\* "In the world's history there has been one waste product so much more important than all the others that it has the right to be called *the waste product*. It is the higher ability of the working classes, the latent and undeveloped, the choked up and wasted faculties for higher work that for lack of opportunity have come to nothing."

Mr. Lipson in his little book on *Increased Production*,§ says, "The wealth of a country lies primarily in the capabilities of its people. A land which abounds in natural resources, but whose population is sluggish and backward, will be poor compared with a land whose natural resources are inferior, but whose inhabitants are full of vitality. Anything which adds to the efficiency of labour increases the national dividend; anything which impairs efficiency diminishes the national dividend. It follows, therefore, that no community can afford to allow its members, through no fault of their own, to lose their power of producing wealth. Nor ought we to forget the humanitarian aspect of the problem or the fact that the fear of destitution hinders the cooperation of labour in the work of production."

A member of the International Labour Office at Geneva, Mr. J. R. Bellerby, in his book on unemployment says :

"Unemployment is a scourge. If the manipulation of one factor in industrial organization may lead in any way to a diminution of the evil, the immediate

---

\*Quoted from *Co-operation, the Hope of the Consumer* by E. P. Harris, Macmillan, New York, 1919, p. 155.

§Published in the "World of Today" series by the Oxford University Press.

the product, and thus make the consumer bear the cost of managerial inefficiency, and at the same time confuse the management as to what prices to set and what sales policies to follow; or to show it as a separate loss to the manufacturer, and then try to reduce it by specific methods, but not charging it on to the consumer.

In the same way, it is time that the Indian nation should begin to understand the separate costs of its unemployed people and begin to be guided thereby toward intelligent remedies.

What does Indian rural unemployment cost the nation?

Let us assume an average daily wage for agricultural workers as three annas. This is only a conservative guess, but it is founded on data given in Rushbrook Williams' *India in 1923-24*, Bombay wage reports, the fact that the Government daily famine wage is a little less than two annas a day, the estimates of per capita income referred to in the Introduction, etc. At this 3 anna rate 107,000,000 people in 90 days, the period of their idleness, could earn Rs. 1,805,625,000. This, then may be considered the annual cost of unemployment among only the agricultural population of India, exclusive of Burma. If divided among the total population, it makes a cost or sort of tax of about Rs. 5-7-0 per capita.

Let us now compare this amount with some of the other expenses or items affecting the prosperity of the Indian nation, as shown in the statistical abstract for British India, June, 1930.

Gross revenue, 1927-28	{ Central ...	Rs. 1,27,22,77,920*
	{ Provincial ...	93,29,54,406
Total expenditures charged	{ Central ...	1,42,86,52,017
to revenue, 1927-28	{ Provincial ...	79,16,23,556
Interest on ordinary debt	{ Central ...	37,46,16,947
1927-28	{ Provincial ...	6,58,88,001
Military expense charged	{	
to revenue in India and		
England, 1927-28	{ Central ...	Rs. 56,33,94,893

\*Peculiarities of Indian number units the lakh and crore, (see note p. 12) cause Indians to group figures above tens of thousands differently from the Western method.

wholly engaged in pasture and agriculture". Also that they are idle at least three months of the year. Note that this figure does not include any industrial unemployment,—only that in agriculture. It does not include any of those city industrial wastes classified under the heads, of intermittent unemployment, underemployment, seasonal unemployment, cyclical unemployment, residual unemployment, labour turnover, lost time, strikes and lockouts, absenteeism, idleness due to preventable accidents or preventable sickness.

One hundred and seven million people is one third of the entire population of India. It is about 72 per cent of all "actual workers in all occupations in India", according to the 1921 census. It is only a little less than the entire population of the United States.

In Great Britain the worst unemployment in any month of any year prior to 1930, so far as figures show, was 2,171,288 in June, 1921, the year of the great depression and coal strike. This was roughly one-twentieth of the total population of Great Britain, or 17·81% of the membership of trade unions which report to the Board of Trade.\* That was sufficient to trouble British statesmen profoundly. What would they do if over one-third, instead of one-twentieth, of their population were idle at a time, or the equivalent of over one-twelfth all the time, and this continued year after year?

Although we do not have any figures for China, it is probably safe to say that unemployment is greater at all times in India than in any other country in the world.

In the West, manufacturers are becoming aware of the dangerous expense of idle machinery and equipment, and are devising cost accounting methods to show its extent and causes; and studying how they should allocate it. They are considering whether to put it into the selling price of

---

\* According to the *Economist* (London) History of 1930 (Feb. 14, 1931), the largest number of persons registered in 1930 at unemployment exchanges was 2,725,000 in December. This was 20·2 per cent of the number of persons insured against unemployment, and about 4·5 per cent of the total population of Great Britain according to the census of 1921.

Let us now develop a special application of these figures of unemployment costs. It is hypothetical, but nevertheless of use for the present discussion.

Although the historical records show that two hundred and fifty years ago, spinning was practised in almost every household in India, and that it was intentionally and systematically destroyed by British policy†, we cannot, of course, say that the present unemployment is wholly due to that cause. Nor can we allot any particular portion of it to any particular cause. Yet we can say that the importation of foreign cloth has deprived the farmers of their former supplementary occupation, and that if, for instance, only one-quarter of the farmers, now idle, would take up spinning, it would vastly relieve that part of the unemployment. And we may also say that the continued purchasing of foreign cloth by India prevents that accomplishment, in the sense that it cannot fully take place until the purchase of foreign cloth very greatly decreases. Hence, in that special sense, we may say, for purposes of argument, that the purchase of foreign cloth is a cause of, say, one-quarter of the present agricultural unemployment. In 1925 over one-third of the total Indian consumption of cloth was imported.

The average per capita consumption of cloth in India is estimated by the correspondent of the *Manchester Guardian Commercial* at 13 yards\*. Mr. Gandhi estimates it at 14 yards per head. Taking the larger figure, so as to get a more conservative result, and taking the total population of India at 319,000,000, we see that the total annual cotton cloth consumption is about 4,466,000,000 yards.‡ One quarter of the present agricultural unemployed would be 26,750,000 people. (The small part is chosen so as to err, if at all, on the side of conservatism.) At a wage of 3 annas per day,

---

† See historians cited in notes in Chapters IV and VII.

\* See *Labore Tribune*, April 17, 1927. p. 8.

‡ In the *Memorandum on Cotton, International Economic Conference*, League of Nations, Geneva, 1927. p. 17, (publ. by Constable, London) the average annual consumption for the period 1922-26 is estimated as 4,328 million yards.



Total value of jute manufactures, 1928-29	...	56,56,40,000
Total exports of raw and waste cotton, 1928-29	...	66,69,10,000
Total exports of cotton manufactures, 1928-29	...	7,79,56,000
Total imports of cotton manufactures, 1928-29	...	63,24,00,000
Total imports of cotton piece goods, 1928-29	...	53.81.00.000

Thus the annual cost of agricultural unemployment is seen to be greater than any of these other great national expenses or incomes. Remember also that the real cost of unemployment is probably much in excess of the above estimate because the values produced by these people at work would be considerably greater than merely their wages. Also in most provinces as recently as 1927 agricultural wages were in fact from 5 to 8 annas a day for men and from 4 to 6 annas for women. We have purposely chosen a low figure in order to be conservative.

But if that sum seems too large, suppose that these people were not put to ordinary work, but were given only what they could earn by spinning,—say one anna a day. That would give an estimated cost of Rs. 601,875,000 per annum. Compare that with the foregoing items of Indian expenditure. It is greater than the total value of jute manufactures in 1928-29. Or, if you like, suppose only the women\* among these people could ever be got to spinning. Even on that basis, the annual unemployment cost would be about Rs. 193,595,000. Again, compare that with the above figures. It is more than half the interest on the ordinary debt of the Central Government in 1927-28, and almost equal to the total expenditure on education of all sorts as recently as 1923-24 (Rs. 19,91,11,191).

On any basis of calculation, it is clear that unemployment creates a staggering burden upon the Indian nation, and indeed upon the world.

\* Estimated number in this group, based on census figures excluding Burma, 34,417,000.

As a matter of Empire engineering would such a procedure be advisable? Would any sensible factory manager keep one group of machines working if he discovered that that resulted in the idleness of a much larger number of machines in another part of the factory? His overhead costs would soon correct him.

The total annual earnings of these 184,000 British operatives, at 1925 wage rates, according to that Cotton Memorandum, would be about £ 17,700,000 or Rs. 230,000,000. But the 32,000,000 Indian idlers, if put to work for the period of their idleness, would, at 3 annas per day, earn Rs. 540,000,000.

Which group, then, represents probably the greatest potential market or the biggest potential purchasing power? If somehow a change could gradually be made in the work and product of the smaller group, or their product put to uses which would not create unemployment elsewhere, might not the result increase the prosperity of the Empire as a whole? Is not the short-time and under-employment which has prevailed in Lancashire for the last eight years prior to 1930 partly, at least, the result of the idleness and consequent decreased purchasing power of the Indian peasantry? Does not all this idleness represent a tremendous overhead expense burden on the entire Empire, and on the world?

Indeed, when the purchasing power concept is applied all over the world, it seems to be fairly clear that for one nation to try to keep its own people employed at the expense of people in any other country, is a suicidal policy. It is merely robbing Peter to pay Paul, and presently Peter cannot buy Paul's goods and Paul, too, has to go idle and suffer. It cannot work in the long run. If one group suffers, all suffer. This would seem to show that those efforts will be wisest which tend gradually to allocate industries not so as to make immediately the most money for someone, but so as to keep all groups employed all the time all over the world. To attain such a result would probably require each country to be more self-supporting in respect to the two prime essentials of food and clothing than is the case at present. In respect

this would give Rs. 451,406,000 as the cost of their unemployment for three months in the year. Dividing this loss by the total cloth consumption in yards gives 1 anna 9 pies. On the above assumptions, this may be said to represent the cost of Indian rural unemployment for each yard of cloth purchased. If instead, we were to divide the Rs. 451,406,000 by only the yardage of foreign cotton cloth purchased, (1,090,421,921 yards in 1921-22), the result would be 6 annas 2 pies per yard.

We may then say that when foreign cotton cloth is purchased in India, at least 1 anna and 9 pies, and probably much more, of the price per yard could be deducted if the Indian rural unemployment were relieved by getting one-quarter of the agricultural workers to spin and weave by hand.

Therefore, to get the real competitive comparison between the cost of mill cloth and the cost of khaddar, from 1 anna 9 pies to 6 annas 2 pies per yard should be added to the cost price of the mill cloth or deducted from the price of the khaddar.\*

Again, suppose we consider this idleness as an affair of Empire. How does it affect Britain?

According to the Memorandum on Cotton, previously cited, in 1925 a little over 32 per cent of the total cotton cloth production of the United Kingdom went to India, and over 45 per cent of the total Indian consumption of mill-made cotton cloth came from the United Kingdom. We have assumed that much of the rural idleness would disappear if people made all their own cloth instead of buying it from mills, whether foreign or Indian. If this be true, may we then say, with minor qualifications but without unfairness, that about one-third of the British textile workers were then supported at the expense of the idleness of say 30 per cent of the Indian unemployed? That would mean according to the same authority, that 184,000 British workers were kept employed by keeping 32,000,000 Indian workers idle.

---

\* This should be considered in connection with the question of price competition between mill cloth and khaddar, in Chapters VI and VII.

systems, fragmentation of land ownership, capitalism, commercialism, overpopulation, defective monetary systems, the trade cycle, unequal distribution of income or purchasing power, machinery, climate, etc. Probably all these factors play a part in the Indian situation.

Inasmuch as the original motive of Mr. Gandhi's project was chiefly to relieve the existing unemployment and poverty, and he is constantly stressing its usefulness for that purpose, it will perhaps be desirable to examine his specific claims.

Certain of the above named causes of unemployment the khaddar movement does not attempt to touch. Obviously, land tenure is too massive a stronghold to win by any frontal attack. Nor can overpopulation be directly remedied. But the khaddar movement does directly or indirectly reach most of the other causes of unemployment and tends to reform them in very fundamental fashion, and yet in a way that is wholly in harmony with the social and economic habits and mental attitudes of the great mass of the Indian people.

In modern machine-capitalistic industry the producer is so far from the consumer that there are inevitable periods of glut or scarcity and price variations. To that, add control of industry by financiers who know exceedingly little of engineering methods of production or of the real ways of living, needs and variations of needs of people outside their own class or neighbourhood, and who are more interested in making money than in making goods. Trouble is inevitable.

The charkha tends to eliminate both these difficulties. It puts the producer in the same house with or next door to the consumer. It needs no support from bankers. This cannot be said of any of the Western forms of relief.

Again, so far as mal-distribution of money income is a cause for unemployment, as urged by Mr. J. A. Hobson, the charkha also diminishes that evil directly and substantially, —in the same ratio that expenditure for clothing bears to the total expenditure of the individual or family. Insofar as unemployment is caused by excessive national expenditure for manufacturing equipment and establishments, as argued in Mr. J. A. Hobson's recent book ("Rationalization and

to clothing, this process is already at work, as shown by the decline in world trade of manufactured cotton.

In respect to clothing, it is interesting to note that the entire cotton textile mill operative population of the whole world is estimated at only 3,500,000.\* The reader may compare this figure with the millions of India and China who are able to make their own clothing and thereby increase their purchasing power for other things, *but at their own discretion*. The implications are interesting to ponder over.

There is a process which Westerners call "civilizing backward nations". It largely consists in inducing such nations to increase their wants and to buy manufactured products of the West. It may be said that in so far as this process results in a decreased utilization by such "backward" peoples of their own annual income of solar radiant energy, it is a dead loss to the world and a great economic mistake. Unemployment is apt to be a sign of that loss.

Viewed as a world problem, the idle groups of different nations constitute what may be called vacua and cause pressures of no small import to national and international relations. The thwarted abilities, weaknesses, insecurities and fears of millions translate themselves into economic terms of competition for markets, purchasing power or its lack, productive power or its lack, world food supply, raw material supply, overhead expense burden, risk, gain and loss. They play their part in creating important conditions of public health, foci of disease, etc. In terms of social discontent, they become linked with matters of armies, navies and governmental stability.

So profound are its effects, that we may safely say that if unemployment could be really substantially and permanently decreased, the first country to succeed in so doing would be laying the foundations for the stability not only of its government but of its whole civilization.

Various causes have been ascribed for unemployment: land monopoly or defective land tenure, defective tax

---

\* See Cotton Memo above cited.

Such work not only removes economic distress but also is of such a nature as to relieve the adverse mental and moral effects of unemployment. It is thoroughly self-respecting.

Not only does it claim these qualities and advantages, but it has actually proven them on numerous occasions under very difficult circumstances.

The charkha was successfully used in famine relief at Miri, near Ahmednager, in 1920-21; in Kurnool District, Andhradesh, in 1922; in Coimbatore in 1924; in Atrai, North Bengal, in 1923-24; in Pudupalayam, Salem District, Tamil Nadu, in 1925; and in Utkal and Morattupalayam, Coimbatore District, 1925. Also for flood relief in South Kanara, 1924; Duadoreda, Hoogly District, Bengal, 1922; Rajshahi and Bogra Districts, North Bengal, 1922-23. Also by the cotton mill labour unions for relief of striking employees of Ahmedabad mills in 1923.\* In all of these instances the work was done by voluntary organizations.

To make detailed comparison will be difficult, but it may be stated with fair surety that such relief has been far less expensive *in toto* or per capita, more flexible and more permanently lasting in good results than any of the governmental forms of public works unemployment insurance, or grants in aid which have been tried in Western countries.†

Although, on first thought, it might to a European seem fantastic, the author can see no reason why hand-spinning of either cotton, wool or flax might not be found to be the best form of unemployment relief in many other countries beside India. Probably it would be successful only on a small scale in the more industrialized countries because of misconceptions created by mechanized modes of living. But after all, even England and America gave up the spinning wheel and hand loom only about 140 years ago, and there are still villages in both those countries where

---

\* See issues of *Young India* for May 11, 1921; Oct. 5, 1922; May 1 and June 5, 1924; June 4, Aug. 13 Dec. 3, Dec. 17, 1925. Also *Khadi Bulletin*, 1923, p. 73, publ. by All India Spinners' Association, Ahmedabad.

† Compare information and figures in *The Third Winter of Unemployment*, P. S. King and Son, London, 1922.

Unemployment"), the charkha tends to prevent that development in India. In so far as the cotton plant has a different ripening period and growth habits from food plants, the khaddar programme helps to mitigate climatic causes for unemployment.

It is said to be a fallacy that machinery causes unemployment, and that in reality it provides work for more. That is perhaps true in countries where there is free and rapid development of fuel or hydraulic power, though the recent rapid growth of "technological" unemployment (that is, unemployment because of the displacement of workers by machine) raises a doubt as to their conclusion. The increased use of power is essential to prevent unemployment following the introduction of machinery. The development of machinery very rapidly in the West and in Japan, and its relatively slow development in India has undoubtedly been a part cause of Indian unemployment.

The charkha and hand-loom directly provide one of the primary needs of all mankind. Cloth not only satisfies a necessity of the unemployed person and his family, but it also finds a market at almost all times. In India, the raw materials are grown in practically every district. The implements needed are exceedingly cheap and can be readily and quickly made in every village. The operating skill required can soon be learned, and is indeed already partly or wholly known by millions of people.

Hand-spinning, carding and ginning are types of work which are adapted for the relief of all kinds of unemployment, whether partial, temporary, or long-time, whether caused by famine, flood, physical disability, widowhood or other social condition, trade or financial depression, strikes or lockouts. They can soon be learned by anyone, no matter what his or her regular occupation. They can be done at home or elsewhere, singly or in groups. No special buildings are needed. The organization required is not large or complex or expensive. It does not need legislation or other governmental aid. It can be rapidly put into operation on any scale needed.

1917; A. Kitson—*Unemployment*—Cecil Palmer, London, 1921; G. D. H. Cole—*Unemployment a study Syllabus*, Labour Research Dept. London, *Third Winter of Unemployment*—P. S. King & Son London; *Waste in Industry* by a Committee of the Federated American Engineering Societies, Chap. XI, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1921; Stuart Chase—*The Tragedy of Waste*, Chap. VII, Macmillan, New York, 1926; Sidney Reeve—*Modern Economic Tendencies*, Ch. XX, E. P. Dutton & Co., New York, 1921; W. N. Polakov—*Mastering Power Production*, Chaps. 9 and 10 Engineering Magazine Co., New York 1921; *Business Cycles and Unemployment*, Report and Recommendations of a Committee of the Presidents Conference on Unemployment, McGraw-Hill Publ. Co., New York, 1922; F. W. Pethick, Lawrence—*Unemployment*, London; B. and S. Webb—*Prevention of Destitution*, London; H. Hart—*Fluctuations in Unemployment in Cities in the United States*, 1918; *Rationalization and Unemployment* by J. A. Hobson—Allen and Unwin, London, 1930; *Unemployment and War*, by Maurice Colbourne,—Coward—McCann, Inc. New York, 1928. See also *Machines Don't Buy Goods* by Henry Pratt Fairchild. The Virginia Quarterly Review, U. S. A., Jan. 1931; *The Post-War Unemployment Problem* by Henry Clay, Macmillan, London, 1929.



such implements are used in a small way. In such countries it would be more work to make wheels, teach people, and provide materials, but it would perhaps be easier, more rapid, less expensive and probably more effective than the organization of governmental relief. It could be operated by labour unions or other voluntary organizations, as was done in Ahmedabad. And there would be none of the loss of morale, self-respect and self-reliance which does take place under governmental systems of money aid.

Dr. Harold H. Mann, the retiring Director of Agriculture of Bombay Presidency, in an interview to the *Times of India* (See issue of October 22nd, 1927) said in part:

"When asked what measures he would suggest for this great work of filling the empty stomachs of the people, Dr. Mann said that much could be done by the people themselves. They must put themselves to work, for no country could ever hope to be prosperous if the majority of its population were idle for six months of the year. The people must be given some work, no matter how small the income derived therefrom, during the dry season, and Dr. Mann said that no matter in what other way Mr. Gandhi had gone astray, he had penetrated into the secret of the poverty of India when he advocated the spinning wheel, no matter if it did produce only a few annas a day."

The author believes that Mr. Gandhi's scheme is the most effective, soundest, most fundamental and widely applicable plan for relief of unemployment that has been devised in any country. Its very simplicity baffles and often calls down the scorn of the Westerner who is used to complexity in every aspect of life. But here, as in several other departments of human activity and thought, perhaps "*Simplicitas sigillum veritatis.*"

-Note. Some of the best books on unemployment are as follows: W. H. Beveridge—*Unemployment*, New Edition, London, 1930; J. A. Hobson—*Economics of Unemployment*—Allen and Unwin, London, 1924; F. Geary—*Land Tenure and Unemployment*—Allen and Unwin, London, 1925; A. C. Pigou—*Unemployment*—Home University Library Series; Rowntree and Lasker—*Unemployment: A Social Study*—London, 1911; F. C. Mills—*Contemporary Theories of Unemployment and Unemployment Relief*—U. S. A.

At different places in Mr. Henry Ford's two books already referred to, we find the following ideas :

"It is true that petty business can work on the capital-labour-public mistake, but big business cannot, nor can little business grow big on the theory that it can grind down its employees. The plain fact is that the public which buys from you does not come from nowhere. The owner, the employees, and the buying public are all one and the same, and unless an industry can so manage itself as to keep wages high and prices low, it destroys itself, for, otherwise, it limits the number of its customers." . . .

"It ought to be clear, however, that the high wage begins down in the shop. If it is not created there, it cannot get into the pay envelopes. There will never be a system invented which will do away with the necessity of work. Nature has seen to that. Idle hands and minds were never intended for any one of us. Work is our sanity, our self-respect, our salvation. So far from being a curse, work is the greatest blessing. Exact social justice flows only out of honest work.

"If we can distribute high wages, then that money is going to be spent and it will serve to make storekeepers and distributors and manufacturers and workers in other lines more prosperous and their prosperity will be reflected in our sales. Country-wide high wages spell country-wide prosperity, provided, however, the higher wages are paid for higher production.

"It is this thought of enlarging buying power by paying high wages and selling at low prices which is behind the prosperity of this country. . . .

"To effect the economies, to bring in the power, to cut out the waste, and thus fully to realize the wage motive, we must have big business which does not, however, mean centralized business. We are decentralizing." . . .

"An unemployed man is an out-of-work customer. He cannot buy. An underpaid man is a customer reduced in purchasing power. He cannot buy. Business depression is

## INCREASED PURCHASING POWER

If India desires to increase her economic prosperity, can she perhaps wisely apply some of the economic policies which have helped to make the United States one of the most prosperous nations in the world to-day,—with modifications suitable to Indian conditions?

Let us see what some of those policies are.

The above mentioned Report of the British Reconstruction Committee\* reads:

"It is obvious that improvement in the commercial prosperity of a country—that is to say, the average purchasing power of the individual—depends on increasing the output per head. If the wages be raised merely by increasing the selling price of the goods in the home market, there is no real advance, and to increase the selling price of the goods in the neutral and open markets of the world is hardly possible in view of international competition. The only way to increase prosperity is to increase the net output per head of the workers employed..... In the United States the amount of power used per worker is 56 per cent more than in the United Kingdom. If we eliminate workers in trades where the use of power is limited or even impossible, we shall probably find that in the United States, the use of power where it can be used, is nearly double what it is here. On the other hand, not only are the standard rates of wages higher in the United States but living conditions are better. There is little doubt that in the United States the average purchasing power of the individual is above what it is in this country, and that this is largely due to the more extensive use of power which increases the individual's earning capacity."

---

\* See page 14 above.

our manufactures, even in a much smaller degree than is the case in most of our colonies."

By way of bringing Mr. Ford's remarks more nearly up to date, here is a news item clipped from the *Lahore Tribune* of July 1, 1927:

### "AMERICAN WORKER

#### *Increase in Income*

"The average income of the American wage-earning family is now one-third larger than in 1914, according to a study by the National Industrial Conference Board, a privately endowed organization for economic research. The Board finds that while the cost of living is almost 64 per cent higher than before the war, wages are more than twice as large and real purchasing power on an average is enhanced by 34 per cent. This is due in part to higher wage rates and in part to more steady employment."

Let it be noted that although Britain uses very large amounts of physical power, she has not adopted the American policy of high wages and a consequent large home market. This may be one cause for her difficulties, and why she is not now as prosperous as the United States. The high wage policy was not widely adopted even in the United States till after 1920-21.

To look at the matter another way, the combination of modern power-driven machinery and capitalism has given such enormous productivity that the so-called law of supply and demand has become inverted. As one author puts it,\* "Under the regime of hand production, the problem was to supply consumers with commodities. The problem is now how to supply commodities with consumers." This is now especially well recognized in America. For example: "One of the objects of industry is to create as well as to supply consumers"†. "How to produce enough is no longer any problem at all. How to sell what is increasingly produced,

---

\* R. A. Freeman — *Social Decay and Regeneration*, Constable, London, 1921, p. 129.

† *Henry Ford Today and Tomorrow* p. 152.

caused by weakened purchasing power. Purchasing power is weakened by uncertainty or insufficiency of income. The cure of business depression is through purchasing power, and the source of purchasing power is wages.

"There can be no true prosperity until the worker upon an ordinary commodity can buy what he makes. Your own employees are a part of your public. The same ought to be true everywhere, but one of the difficulties in Europe is that the workman is not expected to buy what he makes. A part of Europe's trouble is that so much of its goods has gone abroad in the past that there is little thought of really having a home market. . .

"If an employer does not share prosperity with those who make him prosperous, then pretty soon there will be no prosperity to share.

"The facilities to produce are present, but these facilities are greater than the ability to consume, and there can be no peace on this earth until the ability to consume is brought up to and kept up to an equality with the ability to produce. This equality cannot be brought about until what we have called the wage motive replaces the profit motive.

"Outside the United States, the wage motive has never gained a foothold. Business is mostly in the hands of financiers and is run for profit and not as serviceable element in the common social life. . ."

The essence of this same idea was urged in relation to India by Sir Charles Trevelyan, K. C. B., in his testimony on June 23, 1853, before a committee of the House of Commons, in the inquiry into the question of extending the charter of the East India Company. Replying to a question, he said, ". . . . I estimate those advantages of considerable value; but I can conceive they are not to be compared with the immense trade which would be carried on with India if it were highly cultivated and improved, and the natives were possessed with the means of purchasing

by the more simple and direct devices of charkha and handloom.

A little reflection will make clear that the charkha plan is the best possible application of these American policies to the Indian situation. At a minimum of cost and time, it will provide work for millions. It will develop and use much physical power and transform it into one of the primary necessities of the people. The goods produced will be distributed more widely and directly than under any other plan. It will not give high wages, but it will give more and higher wages than at present exist in India, on the average. It is the first step toward high wages. Incidentally it will result in an increase in purchasing power very large in the aggregate, and accumulative in effect. Such an increase may easily amount to many hundreds of millions of rupees, as shown in Chapter III. Yet it is such an increase as gives security to the peasant. What he gets thereby is not money that can be taxed out of him or cajoled away by tempting advertisements, but clothing for his immediate use. Indirectly and slowly his ability and desire for more fair trade will increase. We do not mean by all this to fall into the illusory Western idea of a "high standard of living", which in fact is mainly a high standard of wasting. Nevertheless, there is room for a considerable rise in the consuming power of the Indian peasantry before their expenditures become extravagant.

Sjt. C. Rajagopalachariar stated with beautiful clarity the essence of the problem of wealth distribution as applied to Indian villagers, in a speech at Poona, reported in 'Young India' for May 24, 1928. He said in part:

"You cannot distribute wealth equably *after* producing it. You won't succeed in getting men to agree to it. But you can so produce wealth as to secure equable distribution *before* producing it. That is Khadi.... Agriculture and cloth must be treated as ancestral family assets in India, and should belong to the millions. Both are industries in which all can take part, and almost everywhere, in the homes of the millions.....

that is the problem."\* "It is as important to produce consumers as to produce goods."†

If this be so, it is of the utmost importance to modern industry to increase the buying power of the masses.

Widespread increased purchasing power is the same as a more equable distribution of wealth throughout the population. This is a form of social justice and promoter of social stability which has long been sought in every country. It seems to have existed in India at one time, and the general use of hand-spinning wheels and hand-loom was probably largely responsible for it.‡ They enabled a sound balance to be maintained between manufacturing and agriculture. The re-attainment of this condition of equable wealth distribution, or something approaching it, is of exceedingly great importance. The United States is trying to work toward it through increased use of power, high wages and some stock and bond ownership by employees and consumers. The last of these methods is not now applicable in India but she may work toward the same goal

---

\* Garet Garret, *Ouroboros*, Kegan Paul, London, 1926.

† E. A. Filene, *The Way Out*, Doubleday Page, New York 1924.

‡ See the records of early travellers and historians such as Arrian, the Elder Pliny, Marco Polo, Barbosa; Varthema, Caesar Frederic, Bernier, Tavernier, Pyrard, Sulaiman, Ralph Fitch, Thavenot, Alexander Hamilton. Also Rhys-David—*Buddhist India*, Fisher Unwin, London, 1903. pp. 101-102; references in Bal Krishna *Commercial Relations between India and England, 1601-1757* Routledge, London, 1924; James Mill, *History of British India*; Elphinstone *History of India*; W. Foster *Early Travels in India*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1921; *The Economic History of Ancient India* by Santosh Kumar Das, Published by the author at 5/2 Anand Dutt Lane, Howrah, Bengal, 1925. Reports and letters of early East India Co. Servants such as Montgomery Martin, Bolt, Verelst, Orme, Hastings, Clive, Dr. Taylor; Reports from Committee of the House of Commons, Vol. V. 1781-82, printed 1804; Burke, *Collected Works*, Vol. VIII, Ninth Report from the Select Committee on the Administration of Justice in India; Dr. Royle *Arts and Manufactures of India*, Lectures on the Results of the Great Exhibition of 1851, First Series; references in P. J. Thomas *Merchantilism and the East India Trade*, P. S. King & Son, London, 1926; Brooks Adams *Law of Civilization and Decay*; James Cotton *India*, English Citizen Series; C. J. Hamilton—*The Trade Relations between England and India, (1600-1896)*, Thatcher Spink & Co, Calcutta.

## V

### DECENTRALIZED PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION

It is very difficult for a European or American or anyone else who has spent his life among Western conditions to realize how different is the Indian economic situation. Such factors as climate, customs, food, clothing essentials, housing, prevalence of hook-worm, *kalaazar*, malaria, cholera, and other energy-sapping diseases, rate of infant mortality, expectation of life, relative absence of mechanical or factory discipline or habits, conservatism of thought and action, valuation of time, habituation to and appreciation of value of certain forms of co-operative group activity, purchasing power, extent of literacy and book education, social systems, standards of living, permeation of religious considerations into other activities and phases of life, relative percentages of rural and urban population, scale and concentration of production and distribution of material goods;—all these in India differ profoundly from those prevailing in the West. It is with the last two of these factors that this chapter deals. They have to be lived among in order to be understood and appreciated. And he who would truly understand them must live them in the Indian way,—not merely alongside them with a social barrier between, as is the custom of practically all Westerners in India.

In England and Wales 22 per cent of the population live in rural areas, but in India 90·5 per cent of the population live in villages and rural areas.

Together with this fact about India goes the great conservatism of the people, the retention of old, old methods of work; millions of handicraft producers; village markets, tiny shops, small-scale production and distribution everywhere. In a very large proportion of purchases, the



Let capitalists build up special industries. But Agriculture and cloth must be left untouched as common property, for they are the only assets for the poorer members of the nation."

This probably also applies to Western countries with equal truth. It seems probable that much of the hardship of farmers there, is due to their allowing too much of their products to be sucked into the maelstrom of distant competitive markets. If they would reserve enough food for their own consumption, grinding their own grain, and make most of their own cloth locally, their margin of security might be considerably increased. Their time and energy are being badly squeezed and exploited by the marketing net in which they are entangled. All the facile talk about "the economic interdependence between man and man and nation and nation" may well be mostly a glossing-over of a wasteful marketing and distribution system which allows an enormous number of unproductive middle-men to prey on the farmers. Both distribution costs and the proportion of the total population engaged in what Sidney Reeve calls "commercial combat" or middlemen's activities have very greatly increased in the United States and England in the last few decades. The farmers bear much of this parasitic burden.

If these things be so, then khaddar movement deserves the support of every mill owner, merchant, banker, *baniya*, *sowcar*, *mahajan*† and of Lancashire itself. If khaddar were worn by all the people of India, (nearly one-fifth of the world's population), the indirect improvement in the consuming ability of the world as a whole, would be so immense as to bring about a revival in world trade.\*

---

† Indian names for money lenders and traders.

\* See J. A. Hobson — *Economics of Unemployment*, Allen & Unwin, London, 1922, for further explanation of the effect of increased purchasing power; also P.W. Martin *The Limited Market* — Allen & Unwin, London, 1926; also various publications of the Polak Foundation for Economic Research, New York City.

numbers of human beings to perform for a fixed wage, so much of the operation as cannot be performed by the machine itself—is something which is altogether alien to the genius of the Indian people.”\*

In connection with the decentralization of Indian production it is important to note that cotton can be and is grown in almost every province in India.

The charkha and hand-loom are obviously adapted to this situation. They and it have been integrated for thousands of years.

But along comes the Western engineer, manufacturer, merchant or traveller, used to different conditions and ways. Instantly he condemns all this as primitive, wasteful and uneconomic. Indians who follow Western ways have similar beliefs.

But, curiously, we now find one of the most progressive and successful manufacturers in the most prosperous of all the countries returning to this “primitive” way of producing, in ways, or course, suitable to Western conditions. Mr. Henry Ford is splitting up his huge factories into small, decentralized units in villages. He doesn’t like the slums of big cities, and he finds that it costs less to produce in small units. For a full statement of his reason and experience, and some of his plans in this respect, the reader should consult his book *Today and Tomorrow*, especially the chapters entitled “The Meaning of Time” and “Turning Back to Village Industry”. And in his other book, *My Life and Work*, see the chapters on “Getting into Production” and “The Railroads”.

Four quotations will suffice :

“Wherever it is possible, a policy of decentralization ought to be adopted. We need, instead of mammoth flour mills, a multitude of smaller mills distributed through all the sections where grain is grown. Wherever it is possible, the section that produces the raw material

---

\* A good description of Indian regional economics and its details, advantages and possibilities is found in Prof. Radhakamal Mukerjee’s *Principles of Comparative Economics*, 2 vols. P. S. King & Son, Ltd., London.

transaction is directly between the maker and the ultimate consumer,—not even one middleman. A producer does not expect to sell his wares a hundred miles away, but to his neighbour in the same or a neighbouring village. The speed of work of all kinds is adapted to the scale and mode of living.

It may be said that the speed of life and work in the Orient, deriving its power from the annual current flow of solar energy, is very much like that of most organic life. To a Westerner it seems exceedingly slow. But it is not to be despised on that account. We do not despise either the rose or the turnip for their organic rate of growth. Indeed, perhaps this very direct connection and harmony with sunshine, the greatest of all natural powers, is one reason why Oriental life, at its best at any rate, exhibits certain qualities often missing in the West,—serenity, poise, dignity, spaciousness, proportion, graciousness, deep-rooted sureness and elemental simplicity and beauty.

In relation to the situation the speed is not so inefficient as it seems to the European or American tourist, used to highly centralized and mechanized modes of living; though even for Indian conditions, the rate of work is no doubt often unfortunately slow, as a result of such diseases as malaria, hookworm, cholera, enteric, etc.

Both production and distribution are decentralized and on a small scale. Such an economic scheme is more than familiar to the Indian people. It is a part of their mental operations and habitual responses and methods of living. They cannot, while in India, think or work easily or efficiently in Western rapid, large-scale ways.

As the Earl of Ronaldshay, former governor of Bengal says in his book *India: A Bird's-Eye View*: \* "I find it difficult to escape from the conclusion that the organization of industries on the lines evolved by Western nations—industries that is to say, which require a huge array of machinery driven by mechanical power, steam, hydraulic, or electric, and which necessitate the aggregation of vast

\* Constable, London.

....." Big business, keeping service to the public always in mind, must scatter through the country not only to obtain the lowest costs but also to spend the money of production among the people who purchase the product."...

"Farming then shows up as the part-time job it really is, and straight farming will eventually have to be considered only a side issue. Farming is no exception to what might be called the rule of nature, that one month's work will not support twelve months living. The real problem of farming is to find something in addition to farming for the farmer to earn a living at. That is the plain, rough truth.

"As has been set out in a previous chapter, the decentralization of industry will provide these jobs to supplement the farm work. Industry and agriculture have been considered as separate and distinct branches of activity. Actually they fit into each other very neatly ..... The farm has its slack seasons, and so has industry; the two can be made to fit in together, and the result will be more and cheaper goods and food for every-one."

When we think of peasants in their cottages operating charkhas as being really a decentralized series of power-stations, transforming and utilizing solar energy, we see at once that the principle is the same as the scheme urged by the late Charles P. Steinmetz, the famous engineer of the General Electric Company of America. After describing the prevailing policy of collecting water in huge expensive reservoirs and generating an enormous quantity of electricity in a large and costly hydro-electric plant and then distributing the current to consumers, he urged that instead, there should be many hundreds of small hydro-electric turbine generating stations scattered all over the water shed, and the current gathered from them in a central station and re-distributed.

---

\* See also in accord *Moving Forward* by Henry Ford and Samuel Crowther, Doubleday, Doran & Co., New York. 1930, pp. 156, 157.

ought to produce also the finished product. Grain should be ground to flour where it is grown. A hog growing country should not export hogs, but pork, ham's and bacon. The cotton mills ought to be near the cotton field. This is not a revolutionary idea. In a sense, it is a reactionary one. It does not suggest anything new; it suggests something that is very old. This is the way the country did things, before we fell into the habit of carting everything around a few thousand miles and adding the cartage to the consumer's bill. Our communities ought to be more complete in themselves. They ought not to be unnecessarily dependent on railway transportation. Out of what they produce, they should supply their own needs and ship the surplus. And how can they do this, unless they have the means of taking their raw materials like grain and cattle, and changing them into finished products? If private enterprise does not yield these means, the co-operation of farmers can. The chief injustice sustained by the farmer today is that being the greatest producer, he is prevented from being also the greatest merchandizer, because he is compelled to sell to those who put his products into merchantable form. If he could change his grain into flour, his cattle into beef, and his hogs into hams and bacon, not only would he receive the fuller profit of his product, but he would render his near-by communities more independent of railway exigencies, and thereby improve the transportation system by relieving it of the burden of his unfinished product. The thing is not only reasonable and practicable, but it is becoming absolutely necessary. More than that, it is being done in many places. But it will not register its full effect on the transportation situation and upon the cost of living until it is done more widely and in more kinds of materials."

....."As a general rule, a large plant is not economical."

....."We have not drawn men from the farms — we have added industry to farming."

## (a) SAVINGS IN COST

*Elimination or great reduction of existing costs due to :*

1. Assembly of raw material
2. Storage of raw material
3. Railway and steamship transportation
4. Baling or packaging required by long transportation
5. Injuring and waste of cotton fibre by high-speed power ginning and carding\*
6. Injury of cottonseed by such gins, and mixture of seeds of different strains and grades†
7. Certain steps in processing, rendered necessary by condition of material as a result of large scale assembly, long-time storage in bales, long transportation; e. g. opening of bales, removing impurities, removing adverse effects of compression, etc.
8. Irremediable damage from transportation, storage and large-scale handling
9. Fire and theft insurance on materials and products
10. Storage of completed product
11. Advertising
12. Obsolescence of product due to changes of taste and fashion
13. Money, labour, land, fuel and other facilities and materials being wasted or diverted into luxury production
14. Brokers', wholesalers', commission-men's and other handlers' and middlemen's charges and profits
15. Fluctuations in prices of both raw material and finished product : also speculation therein†
16. Overhead costs arising from :

(a) large clerical and sales forces

---

\* and † See Sir George Watt — *Commercial Products of India*, pp. 593, 611. Also W. H. Johnson — *Cotton and its production* Macmillan, 1926, pp. 135, 140-143.

† The Memo on Cotton for the International Economic conference, above cited, at p. 6 says, "It has been dangerous to hold stocks of yarn and piece goods when a sudden fall in the price of the raw material might entail corresponding falls in the value of stocks."

He stated. . . . "But the higher cost of the hydraulic work makes such development feasible only where large amounts of water are available in fairly concentrated form, and with the increasing development of water sites, the number of water powers capable of development by our present methods is decreasing, while most of the country's potential water powers cannot be developed by our present standard methods of hydro-electric generation, as the cost of the necessary hydraulic development, to collect water, is greater than the value of the power which may be collected. The only hope which can be seen for a more complete utilization of our country's hydraulic power, . . . lies in applying to electric generation the same principles which have made the electric motor successful, that is, bringing the electric machine to the place of the power. That is, just as we place individual motors at every machine, where mechanical power is required, and distribute the power to them electrically, so to place individual electric generators wherever along the water course hydraulic power is available, and collect the power of all these generators electrically."\*

The analogy to the charkha is clear. Instead of massing workers in expensive cities and cotton mills, take the spindles to the workers in their homes all over the land and utilize the power where it originally exists.

Similar beliefs as to the value and necessity of decentralization are expressed by one of the most successful of American merchants, Mr. Edward A. Filene, in his book, *The Way Out*.<sup>5</sup> Thus the principle is approved by strong authority both for production and distribution.

In relation to Indian cotton cloth, the economic advantages of small-scale, decentralized production and distribution as compared with modern, power-driven, large-scale, centralized industry and commerce may be summarized as follows:

---

\* General Electric Review 1919. Cited in Polokov. *Mastering Power Production* (above cited) P. 414.

<sup>5</sup> Doubleday Page Co., New York, 1924.

5. Decrease of tendency to urbanization and consequent reduction of national expense for railways, municipal works, etc.

6. Reduction of power of financiers, large and small, over the lives of people

7. As one element of 6, a reduction in the amount of credit and credit instruments needed in trade and hence a check on the inflation of credit and private and irresponsible control of credit with its consequent rises in prices

8. More leisure

9. More health and bodily and mental energy

10. Enhancement of creative motives and a reduction of the opportunities and temptations for acquisitiveness, greed and imperialism

11. The release, for purposes of growing food, of excess land now used for growing cotton\*

In a bulletin of an American Cotton Manufacturer's Association,† the following figures are given for the year 1925 as the elements in the cost of cotton cloth per pound :

	Cents
Labour	6.65
General expenses	6.35
Cotton at the mill	28.28
Total	41.28

The item "general expenses" amounts to 15.3 per cent of the total. Although it is not stated what items it includes, presumably they are of the nature of those listed under (a) in the above summary of savings of costs. The

\* Regarding excess land devoted to cotton, see Sir George Watt — *Commercial Products of India* 1908, p. 623. Also Satish Chandra Das Gupta — *Khadi Manual*, Khadi Pratisthan, Calcutta, Vol. II, p. 132.

For detailed estimates of such losses as are listed under A, B and C, even in a country supposed to be as efficient as the United States, see Stuart Chase — *The Tragedy of Waste* Macmillans, New York, 1926.

† Bulletin 79 of the National Association of Cotton Manufacturers (U.S. A.) dated Nov. 15, 1926, entitled *Progress in Cotton Manufacturing*.



(b) expensive machinery, buildings, land and other equipment

17. Fuel and power charges

18. Legal expenses

19. Bankers' charges for loans, discounts, etc.

20. Income and super taxes

21. Municipal taxes and water rates

22. Repair and maintenance of machinery and buildings

23. Depreciation and obsolescence of machinery, boilers, buildings and equipment

24. Workmen's compensation insurance or legal damages to injured employees

25. Fire insurance on buildings and machinery

(b) REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF RISKS DUE TO

1. Famine of crop failures

2. Fire

3. Theft

4. Strikes or lockouts

5. Transportation delays

6. Failure to balance production and consumption

(c) INDIRECT EFFECTS OR CONCOMITANTS,  
ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL

1. Reduction in cost of living as a result of lightening the burdens listed under (a)

2. Greater freedom from foreign financial and commercial interests and control

3. Improvement of quality of product in respect to durability, adaptability to use, and beauty §

4. Reduction of social evils such as slums of cities, physical and moral deterioration due to city life, unemployment and its fears and moral degeneration

---

§ See authorities cited in Chap. VIII. Also *The Basis for Artistic and Industrial Revival in India* by E. B. Havell, Late Principal of Government School of Art and Keeper of the Art Gallery, Calcutta, 1912. Theosophist Office, Adyar, Madras, India. Also A. K. Coomaraswamy—*Art and Swadeshi*, Ganesh & Co., Madras.

mills, according to the foregoing figures. The same book states on page 165, "The bulk of our spinners in India are either growers of cotton or farm labourers in cotton fields. Some of them even get their wages paid in cotton. Others who own land reap the cotton crop." Clearly, all such can obtain cotton at much lower cost than the mills can. Since cotton grows in almost all provinces and districts, it will be possible for the great majority of spinners to stock their own cotton. This will save more than the present great sums spent on railway and cartage transportation of raw cotton, large-scale cotton storage, insurance, and losses due to fluctuations in price during storage; and unemployment due to such fluctuations. Also as this book further points out:

"He who has stocked his cotton in time will be able to add the ginning to his spinning wage and besides, keep what remains of cotton seed to himself. The retention of good cotton seed means no little to the farming home. The spinner would be able to share the profits of rising prices for cotton in the prices of yarn that he will get or bargain for, while during periods of falling prices, he can always conserve the use of part of his labour for his personal needs.

"When the spinner learns to stock his cotton, the quality of handspun yarn will be seen to improve by a bound. Having a property in the cotton, the spinner has necessarily to practise the greatest circumspection and economy and make the best use of the raw material. The quality of yarn records at once a remarkable improvement. The cotton is ginned and cleaned with care. The incentive to use as little of the raw material as possible so that there may be no want of stock at any time during the "no cotton seasons" and yet obtain fair prices for the yarn, makes him spin even and fine."

These savings are really the same as are secured by the American "trusts" or big companies which own and operate their sources of raw materials as well as the factories. Their gigantic efforts to control the sources of their raw materials is only a re-discovery and imitation of the

heavy reduction or elimination of them would be a considerable economy.

Additional information as to the extent of these savings is given in *Hand Spinning and Hand Weaving* above referred to, at page 213. On that page is an analysis of the items of cost of production of five typical mills in Ahmedabad. We reproduce it below :

Items in the cost of production	Gujarat Spinning Mills	Bharat-khand Cotton Mills	Ahmedabad Manichand	Ahmedabad New Cotton	Raj-Nagar Mills	Average per cent
1. Wages	15.9	17.6	16.5	14.8	21.2	17.0
2. Stores	18.3	8.8	9.7	11.4	11.2	12.0
3. Fuel	3.6	4.1	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.5
4. Interest	1.2	2.9	2.6	3.4	—	2.5
5. Commission	1.3	2.9	4.3	4.0	—	2.5
6. Taxes	9.9	5.9	7.1	3.1	4.2	5.5
7. Cotton	44.2	50.0	48.0	53.5	64.0	52.0
8. Depreciation	5.1	2.9	2.3	2.8	—	3.0

The book goes on to say :

"The charges on fuel, insurance and commission, taxes and depreciation cover nearly 15% of the costs in the mills. Hand-power, though it may have to be paid for far more heavily both in spinning and weaving, will certainly save for the nation all the wasteful costs in mill production and leave a wide field for securing national economies."

And at page 209 it says : "With the standardization of wages, both for spinning and weaving, the stocking of cotton by the spinner himself, improvements in output both on the loom and the charkha, and the very great increase in the volume of production generally, there will result far-reaching economies which will make khadi price levels compare more favourably."

The importance of having the spinner stock his own cotton will be realized from the fact that, as an element in the total cost of cloth, the cost of raw cotton is 68.5 per cent in the American mills and 52 per cent in the Indian

this divorce of consumer from producer, inevitably results in high costs of distribution, mutual ignorance, unbalance, gluts, unemployment, economic and often political mistakes of serious nature. For small, decentralized markets, hand-operated machines may be, it seems, just as efficient by both engineering and price criteria. And when broader social and psychological factors are considered, the slower implements are probably better and more conducive to a sound and permanent civilization.\* At all events, they may not be condemned without far more thorough investigation of the problem than has hitherto been made. Mr. Gandhi's project has as sound economic support in reason as any other existing or proposed scheme. Here, too, the Earl of Ronaldshay's book may again be quoted. "The fundamental cause of the disappointing results of nigh-on three quarters of a century of endeavour is to be found in the incorrigible belief of the English as a race in the superiority of their own institutions over those of all other people, however the conditions may be." This remark applies to all Western peoples in relation to their economic methods and organizations. Westerners are frequently too complacent and too sophisticated to be able to see the physical, scientific, economic and moral realities which inhere in modes of living simpler than their own.

Thus we see that the economic strength and efficiency of small-scale, decentralized, intensive industry, such as Mr. Gandhi advocates, lies in its low fixed charges, low power costs, low expenses for repair, maintenance, obsolescence and depreciation, low inventory charges, rapid turnover of material and product, little or no storage and transportation costs, security of employment, psychological and physiological healthiness and adaptation to man's nature, its moral and aesthetic possibilities, its freedom and room for sound individual development.

The outstanding defect of small-scale, decentralized social organization is the prevalent slowness of intellectual

---

\* See Freeman—*Social Decay and Regeneration* above cited pp. 105-140.

economic position of the simple peasant artisan who cultivates for himself the cotton which later, he weaves into khaddar.

The validity of the item (c) 7 in the foregoing list of economies will doubtless be challenged by most people; but those who have thoroughly studied the way, money and credit actually works, will perhaps be more inclined to agree. Until money ceases to fluctuate in value and thus act like a false weight or measure, the poor man will be wise to eliminate it as much as possible from his life. Village barter and family spinning, ginning and carding will be a great help in this respect. The use of the charkha and its relatives does not involve debts, loans or interest. It is a step away from the *mahajan* or *sowcar*.<sup>\*</sup> All who know of the terrible burden of debt on Indian peasants will see the value of this. To the extent that bills of lading, bills of sale, cheques and other instruments of credit needed by cotton mills for initial expenses of buildings and machinery and in their large-scale buying, manufacturing and selling operations can be reduced in quantity by the people, manufacturing their own cloth, an appreciable check may be placed on the expansion of credit which, under present conditions of irregular private banking control, constitutes so large an element in the fluctuation of prices and consequent hardship on the poor man. §

The consideration of all these economies possible under small-scale production reinforces the point to be discussed in Chapter VII; namely, that large-scale, high-speed machinery is adapted to and can be efficient and profitable only with a large market. Village production and distribution automatically correlates the amount and kind of production and consumption, and keeps them in balance. But large-scale power-machine production, under capitalism at any rate, inevitably requires large and therefore distant markets, and by

---

\* Money lenders.

§ For a full discussion of this important point see *Wealth, Virtual-Wealth and Debt* by Frederick Soddy, F. R. S., Allen & Unwin, London, 1926. Also his pamphlets, *Cartesian Economics* and *The Inversion of Science*, Hendersons, London, 1924.

industrialism, are disguised, but show up partly in the high cost of living and high taxes.

It is sufficient to note here, however, that to stigmatize village handicraft in india as "uneconomic" merely reveals a certain unfamiliarity with both Indian and Occidental modern economic conditions and tendencies, and a failure to analyse the situation fundamentally and as a whole.

Economic principles may be the same all over the world, but local differences call for varying applications and expressions. §

---

and the full use of land as the location for the transformation and development of solar power connects interestingly with the thesis of *Land Tenure and Unemployment* by Frank Geary, Allen and Unwin, London, 1925, and with Henry George—*Progress and Poverty*.

§ See Appendix F.

stimulus. It is not too much to expect, however, that by means of a good educational system, a wise use of books, papers and journals, and improved means of communication and transport, this defect could be almost wholly eliminated. The development of the intellect is largely an increase of consciousness or awareness. The scope and sensitivity of consciousness can probably be increased in ways not yet known to Western education. This increase is in part the function of the Indian custom of pilgrimages, of the reading and reciting of epics, legends and *puranas*, and of religious meditation. These and other methods may perhaps be modified and developed more fruitfully as a part of a wise educational system.

There is an old English proverb about the folly of "carrying coals to Newcastle" (a great coal mining centre and coal shipping port). And Mr. Ford remarks that "to carry a product 500 miles to the consumer, if that product can be found within 250 miles, is a crime." It does seem silly, then, to carry Indian cotton to Japan, Italy or England and then carry it back as cloth and sell it to the villager who perhaps grew it. The apparently greater efficiency of the transaction as registered by existing comparative money prices of khaddar and mill cloth would, we believe, be found illusory if full account were given to the *national* costs of unemployment, disruption of normal village life, impairment of the former sound balance between manufacture and agriculture in both India and the highly industrialized nations, etc. The individual purchaser of cloth does not feel these intangible costs directly, but they nevertheless oppress him. An attempt to estimate their extent will be made in another chapter.\* Many of the costs of Western

---

\* "To fully understand the double harm involved in this double destruction of a normal balance between agriculture and manufacturing in both India and England, one should read at the same time the story of the destruction of Indian domestic cloth manufacture, as given in records and histories cited at the end of chap. VII and J. L. and B. Hammond's *Village Labourer*, *The Town Labourer*, *The Skilled Labourer*, Longmans Green, and *The Rise of Modern Industry*, Methuen, 1926, London. The further idea of the waste of solar energy resulting thereby

These figures as to "straight pounds," when transformed into statistical terms of spinning, show that in a modern American cotton mill the labour of one person in one hour is able to make approximately 72,300 yards of number 11s yarn, starting with lint cotton and weaving it into cloth. Doubtless, figures for English mills, if available, would show equally high production.\*

By comparison, so far as the figures are comparable, we find in Mr. Gandhi's paper, *Young India*, for May 5, 1927, a report of a high record in hand-spinning for twenty-four consecutive hours during the celebration of National Week at the Satyagraha Ashram at Sabarmati. The record established then was made by the young man who also won the contest against all comers at the National Congress at Cawnpore during the winter of 1925-26.

The best four of the young men at Sabarmati made the following records:

	Total yards	Average per hour	Hours spun
(1)	14,784	641	23
(2)	12,889	536	24
(3)	10,933	475	23
(4)	5,761	523	11

The top man for the first 12 hours maintained an average speed of 665 yards per hour.† The size of the yarn in these four cases ranged from 13 to 15 count, the strength from 57 to 70 per cent, and evenness from 79 to 93 per cent, according to the testing standards of the All-India Spinners' Association. Taking number 14 as average count, the weight of yarn spun on the charkha (641 yards) in

\*  $7.83 \text{ times } 11 \text{ times } 840 = 72,349.2$ . Other data in this Bulletin indicate that the average count of the yarn and in making these cloths was 11s. The number of yards in a hank is 840.

† Since then at the Madras Khadi Exhibition, this man in two hours spun 1,400 yards of 21 count yarn of 87% uniformity and 74% strength.



## VI

### COMPETITION BETWEEN MILL CLOTH AND KHADDAR

In spite of the foregoing considerations, there is the fact of competition. How does it stand?

At first sight, the suggestion that Khaddar could in any way compete with mill cloth seems almost ridiculous. The productive superiority of modern steam or electric power-driven mill machinery over the little hand-operated charkhas and looms is so enormous.

For example, Bulletin 79 of the National Association of Cotton Manufacturers (U. S. A.), dated Nov. 15, 1926, on "Progress in Cotton Manufacturing," gives us the following figures for the year 1925, from New England cotton mills:

Output in one hour	
Pounds per spindle	.076*
Pounds per loom	2.01
Yards woven per loom per day of 11 hours	57.04

TABLE I  
(portion for 1925 only)  
MAN-HOUR PRODUCTION

Unit of Measurement	Units of Cloth produced per man-hour
Straight pounds	{ 7.53 (sheeting) 8.94 (flannel) 7.83 (both)
Pounds based on "D" grade product reduced to picks }	{ 8.12 (sheeting) 4.36 (flannel)
Product reduced to 36-inch picks }	{ 8.31 (sheeting) 4.04 (flannel)

---

\* Average of all counts.

Output in pounds per loom per hour	2.01
Yards woven per loom per day of 11 hours	57.04
Cloth produced per man-hour (lbs.)	7.83
Yards woven per loom per hour	5.18

One reliable estimate furnished to us placed the output of a hand-loom at one yard (30 inches wide) per hour, using coarse yarn (count not specified). On a man-hour yardage basis, the figures indicate that the mill loom produces nearly 20 times more than the hand-loom. The above-cited essay, *Hand-spinning and Hand-weaving*, at p. 202, states that using 15 count yarn, the mill loom produces 10 times more than the hand-loom. It does not give comparative man-hour figures.

These figures may be summarized thus: Mill production excels hand production approximately by the following number of times:

	per machine (spindle or loom)	per man-hour
Spinning	2 to 2½	108 to 206†
Weaving	5 to 10	20

Before considering comparative prices of hand and mill made cloth, it should be realized that irrespective of productive efficiencies or of prices, there are certain kinds and grades of cloth in which the mill does not and cannot successfully compete with the hand-loom. Machinery apparently cannot entirely displace hand-weaving, even though machine industry is aided by governments and all the power of capitalism. Even in England, hand-weaving of wool continues and of late years, has increased.

† By way of corroboration of the above figures, an Ahmedabad mill manager wrote the following statement:—"To maintain one ring frame of nearly 300 spindles and to feed it, six persons are required. Therefore, where a carder and a spinner in hand-spinning are required for a single spindle, a mill would require six persons for about 300 spindles. Thus in a mill, six men will produce 110 lbs. of 20s yarn, while on charkha, two men (one for carding and one for spinning) will produce 32 oz. per day per spindle. This would prove that a mill can produce per man hour 200 times more, but, if a production of 641 yards of 20s count per hour be reckoned, the mill's production would be less than 100 times more."

one hour would be .055 pounds, according to data given in a "Self-spinner's Table" in *Young India*, April, 1927.

During the same week one lady at Sabarmati spun 5,333 yards in thirteen hours, at a rate of 408 yards per hour, the yarn being of 26 count, 85% strength and 84% evenness.

In a district called Tiruchengodu the peasant women spin 12 count yarn at the rate of 500 yards per hour.

The rate of the average spinner, however, may safely be set at about 350 yards per hour, and the average count spun in most rural districts is probably from 12s to 15s — a distinctly coarse yarn.

The foregoing Indian and American figures are not strictly comparable as the American figures include a larger number of yarn counts. Yet the comparison has at least rough validity, because mill spindles produce in a given unit of time (exclusive of doffing) about the same yardage of yarn for all counts.

It appears, then, that a mill can produce *per man-hour* about 108 times more yarn than the charkha, when the latter is operated with greatest skill, and 206 times more when the charkha is operated with ordinary skill. And in terms of production *per spindle per hour*, the figures are .076 pounds for the mill and .055 for the high speed charkha. For this second comparison, it would be fairer to take the average spinner's rate, say 350 yards per hour. This would give us an hourly production of .076 pounds for the mill spindle against .030 pounds for the average charkha spindle. That is to say, a mill spindle in one hour produces about two to two and onehalf times more than a charkha spindle. For 20s count yarn, an Indian mill spindle can produce probably twice as much per hour as the charkha spindle.<sup>†</sup> This gives us a comparison of spinning production.

Data as to comparative loom output are not so readily available to us. The above-cited American report gives the following figures for the year 1925 (average yarn count not stated.)

---

<sup>†</sup> *Hand-Spinning and Hand-weaving* by S. V. Puntambekar and N. S. Varadachari, All India Spinners' Association, Ahmedabad, 1926, p. 20L.

higher castes, solid bordered fabrics and a variety of other fancy designs which do not lend themselves to production on the ordinary types of power-looms. . . . Further, this Presidency has been singularly lucky in developing and retaining a comparatively large export trade, amounting annually to nearly 20 lakhs of rupees, in coloured goods known commercially as Madras handkerchiefs and *lungis*. Special vegetable dyes are employed to obtain the effect of yarns bleeding, i. e., tinting the grey weft with the dyed warp during weaving. There are more than 12,000 looms engaged in this class of goods alone, in the east coast districts of this Presidency.

"It would be seen, therefore, that the chances of the power-loom extinguishing the hand-loom are yet very remote, and disparity in prices and the ingrained custom and fashion of the people are the bulwarks of the hand weaver which the mill goods will, if at all, take a long time to demolish." §

In this connection it should be stated that a fair number of these weavers use hand-spun yarn, as Mr. Amalsad admits elsewhere in his pamphlet.

A detailed comparison in terms of price is more difficult to obtain. Careful estimates show that if a rural worker in a cotton-raising district stocks her own cotton and does her own ginning and carding and spinning and thus has only weaver's charges to pay, she will get her cloth much cheaper than the mill can make it. On the other hand, a person who does none of the manufacturing work and simply buys his cloth in a city market, may have to pay twice as much for khaddar as for mill cloth. The variety

---

§ D. M. Amalsad, *Hand-loom Weaving in the Madras Presidency*, Superintendent Government Press, Madras, 1925, pp. 23. In accord see R. D. Bell, *Notes on the Indian Textile Industry with Special Reference to hand-Weaving*, Superintendent of Government Printing and Stationery, Bombay.

‡ See "Charkha as the only Cottage Industry" *Young India* Oct., 28, 1926.

According to the London "Observer" for May 4, 1930, Sir Ernest Thompson, Chairman of the Cotton Trade Statistical Bureau, recently pointed out that more than one-tenth of the world's cotton weaving is still done by hand. "And this," he added, "is at least equal to, and possibly more than, the whole of the world's international trade in cloth." The report of the Indian Industrial Commission 1919<sup>†</sup>, at pages 10 and 11, states that the tenacity of the hand-loom is attributable in part to "the great number of types of cloth of which slow moving Indian custom decrees the use; to the fact that the demand for many of these is on small scale, while the types themselves are so special as to render it difficult for the power loom to produce them at a profit."<sup>§</sup>

On this point Mr. Amalsad, Textile Expert to the Government of Madras says:

"There has been no relaxation of the deep-rooted belief amongst the poorest classes in this Presidency that hand-woven products possess greater durability than mill-made cloth. Hence it is that in out-of-the-way rural tracts throughout the Presidency, a very large number of hand-looms are engaged in producing cloth from coarse and medium counts of mill-made yarns... Similarly there are the fine and superfine qualities regularly worn by men and women of the upper and middle classes for use on festival and marriage occasions, in spite of their being costlier than mill products. Besides, there are coloured *saris* and suiting cloths which the hand-loom weavers produce in great varieties, accustomed as they are to the economical preparation of short lengths of warp by the indigenous method. Then we may have the artistic and elaborately figured garments, chiefly worn by Hindu women of the

---

<sup>†</sup> Cmd. 51 of 1919, Royal Stationery Office, London. Also from Indian Government Central Publication Branch, Calcutta.

<sup>§</sup> In accord see p. 274 of Decennial Report on Moral and Material Progress in India, cited on p. 155 of V. G. Kale's, *Indian Economics*, 1924 ed., Poona City.

out of the total estimated Indian cloth consumption of 4,930 million yards, 28 per cent, or 1,380 million yards was made on hand-loom from mill yarn. This percentage was maintained for the four years from 1922 to 1926. § Twenty-eight per cent of the total Indian population (319,000,000 in 1921) would be 88,480,000.

As a result of the efforts of Mr. Gandhi and his followers, the number of people in groups (a) to (e) has slowly but steadily increased. During the year 1930, it has increased rapidly. Certain Provincial Governments are also encouraging the use of hand-loom, though without great success. They urge the use of mill yarn, which Mr. Gandhi opposes. The ultimate aim of the Gandhi movement is to get a large enough number into group (a) to provide yarn for the entire rural population and as many of the city folk as desire it. Inasmuch as the Indian census for 1921 shows that approximately 266,029,000 or 90.5% out of the total population live in villages or rural areas, and since cotton is or can be grown in almost every province of India, there are at least possibilities that khaddar may displace mill cloth. Other factors entering into the situation will be discussed later.

A second step in the analysis of the cloth market will be a consideration of kinds of consumers. This grouping might be as follows :

(1) The farmers and their dependents, who are idle at least three months of the year. If they spin only four to eight hours a day during their idle time, they can provide yarn enough for their own clothing and also earn enough more thereby to reduce the net cost of their clothing needs, to much less than the cost of a corresponding amount of mill cloth. The number of this group is potentially that of the "total supported by pasture and agriculture" as giving in the census, less agricultural rentiers, amounting to

---

§ See Memorandum on Cotton, International Economic Conference, League of Nations, Geneva, May, 1927; Constable, London. Cf. also A. C. Coubrough, C. B. E. — *Notes on Indian Piece Goods Trade*, No. 16 of Bulletins of Indian Industries and Labour, 1921, — Supt. of Govt. Printing, Central Publication Branch, Calcutta.

of prices and grades of cloth is so great that it will not help us to recite them.

In order to estimate truly the possibilities of competition, we must first analyse the Indian cloth market.

First, we may distinguish seven kinds of terms of purchase or cost:

(a) Where the rural worker stocks her own cotton, gins, cards and spins it herself and pays only weaver's charges.

(b) Where she buys raw cotton, does her own ginning, carding and spinning and pays weaver's charges.

(c) Where she buys ginned cotton and does her own carding and spinning and pays weaver's charges.

(d) Where she buys from a local *pinjari* (carder) cotton all prepared for spinning, spins it and pays for the weaving.

(e) Where a villager, doing none of the manufacturing work, buys direct from the village weaver.

(f) Where anyone buys cloth from a shop maintained by a local or provincial khaddar organization, selling only khaddar.

(g) Where anyone buys cloth from a general cloth merchant in a village, town or city.

In this last case the cloth may be either khaddar or mill cloth. In case (e) the cloth may be made of mill yarn, though woven on a handloom. This last is often called "half khaddar" or "spurious khaddar".

The cost elements in these cases differ from one another. The resultant cost to the buyer is least in case (a) and most in case (g), if the cloth is genuine khaddar. In case (a) the cost of khaddar per yard is considerably less than that of any corresponding quality of mill cloth. There the mill cloth does not compete.

The number of people in group (a) is unknown. There are, however, in groups (a) to (e) inclusive probably at least ninety million people, as indicated by various estimates and Governmental censuses of charkhas and hand-looms and figures of production of hand-looms. In the year 1924-25

until 1930 anyway, been in this group, and also many millions of rural residents. None of this group do any spinning or other parts of cloth manufacture.

So much for the analysis of the cloth market. It has perhaps helped to reveal some of the possibilities of consumption of Khaddar.

Now let us consider again one of the comparative production factors of the competition.

We have seen that the mill spindle is, for the grades of yarn entering into the great bulk of cloth used in India, from two to two and one-half times more productive per hour than the charkha spindle. The mill loom is from five to ten times more productive than the hand-loom.

Although the man-hour comparisons are much more in favour of mill machinery, they should be disregarded in this connection because the enormous number of unemployed or under-employed people in India makes the idea of "labour saving" entirely irrelevant to this part of the discussion. India does not at present need to "save labour", but instead, must find work for it. She may want to "Save time" for certain of those who work, provided that thereby, their wages may be increased and the time so saved be put to other uses profitable to the country, and provided, that thereby no further idleness of other people be created. As has been seen, the number of rural workers in India, unemployed for three months of each year is equivalent to 26,750,000 idle for an entire year. Owing to the widespread survival of some skill in hand-spinning and the relatively short time needed to learn the art, these are practically all potential spinners. For them, working at home, even the tiniest wage is better than nothing. They have vastly more "man-hours" ready to be utilized than can be saved by any mill machinery. This army of unemployed is over 282 times the entire cotton mill working population of the United

---

‡ In 1925-26, out of a total of 684 million pounds of yarn made in Indian mills, 444.7 million pounds were of 1 to 20s count, and 213.8 million pounds of 21 to 30s count. The farmers are too poor to buy fine cloth, and they form the bulk of the population.



approximately 218,000,000. For immediate practical purposes, however, the number is much smaller. It may be roughly indicated by the number of charkhas now in existence. The 1921 census found 1,938,178 charkhas exclusive of Berar, the Central and United Provinces. Reliable estimates put the number at probably five million for the entire country. Assuming that only one fifth of these are in use, and allowing four persons in each household possessing a charkha, we may set the number of consumers of khaddar in this group at 4,000,000. Of course a great many of these, out of sheer conservatism, were spinning and weaving and wearing khaddar even before Mr. Gandhi began his propaganda. A check on this estimate is found in the census of India, 1921. It reports 4,030,674 "actual workers" in textile industries, but only 622,198 engaged in all the cotton, woollen and jute mills combined. The difference, 3,408,476, would presumably be made up of ginners, carders, spinners and weavers using hand implements, together with perhaps 85,000 workers in power ginning mills. The "total supported" by textile industries that year was reported as 7,847,829.

(2) Those who, though not farmers, are believers in the khaddar movement and buy khaddar even though they may have to pay more for it than for mill cloth. Many of these, though not all, are "voluntary spinners". This group up till April, 1930, numbered only a few thousands. Their significance in the competitive situation lies not in their numbers but in their influence. They are active propagandists and workers and real leaders. They are causing a steady increase in group (1).

(3) Those who buy cloth made on hand-loom from mill yarn. As we have already learned, these number about 88,000,000. They mostly live in villages. As the quality of charkha yarn improves, and if the price of mill yarn increases, there will be transfers from this group to group (1).

(4) Those who prefer to buy mill cloth. These do so mostly because they find it cheaper or lighter, but a few also are convinced that to do so, is sound economic policy. Most city-dwellers, that is, 10% of the population, have,

quite satisfactory for mechanics or finance. Without attempting now a further analysis of this point, it may perhaps be said that in this special case under consideration, the implement-hour or machine-hour is a more accurate or adequate unit measurement of *economic efficiency* of production than the man-hour unit. The man-hour is too purely financial and mechanical, too slightly connected with ultimate consumption, somehow lending itself too easily to social irresponsibility on the part of the employer. The implement-hour seems to contain implicit in itself, a larger number of factors of place, time and circumstance which tend to correlate it with the actual utilization of product by the ultimate consumers.†

---

† Another way in which unemployment bears on competitive prices, is set forth in the chapter on Unemployment. The comparative wearing qualities of khaddar and mill cloth and the effect of that upon their competition, is considered in the chapter entitled "Some Cotton Technology". Whether spinning yields or can yield a sufficient wage to enable it to compete with other occupations, will be considered in Chapters VIII and X. How competition between khaddar and mill cloth may affect the mill interests of Bombay, Japan and Lancashire is discussed in Chapter X.

States, according to the 1920 census of that country. Hence, the fact that, measured in man-hours, United States mill spindles are 206 times more productive than charkhas seems beside the point. There is a surplus of "man-hours" already in India. Let her therefore save something else of which she has less surplus and for which she has need.

The man-hour is an ambiguous unit of measurement. At first sight it appears to be a mechanical quantity of work, but it also has another meaning by reason of the fact that wages are reckoned according to the number of men and the hours of their work. Many man-hour units thus mean a high wage bill, and wages are a large element in the operating costs of a textile mill. Usually when mill managers talk of measuring efficiency of production by man-hours, they are thinking of financial efficiency,—of making as big profits as possible. Measuring efficiency by man-hours tends to make the managers try to reduce the number of men employed. If this is done all through industry and in a mechanized agriculture, the result is great unemployment. But in order to be a consumer, man must be a worker,—must have employment. Without consumers industry cannot go on. Our economic measuring standards must at all times help our minds to reason, so as to keep men employed. Men *must* work, for self-respect as well as for the bread, for the safety of the State and society as well as for the maintenance of the individual. Economic measuring standards which do not help to promote steady work, should be discarded. Inasmuch as efficiency is so deep-rooted an ideal in modern industry, let us re-state this point again in a slightly different form.

The ultimate object of all economic activity is the actual completed supply of food, clothing and shelter and other needs of mankind to all the ultimate consumers. In estimating the relative efficiency of two different forms of economic activity, our units of measurement must be somehow correlated with or corrected by considerations of that ultimate object. Otherwise, our results will not be valid in the realm of *economics*, though perhaps,

Even in the last eight years, there have been marked improvements in the quantity of production of handimplements. For instance, at Sabarmati Ashram during January, 1926, by careful study of better ways of spinning, the average output of the members was increased 10% in one week. Increases in speed have been obtained in practically all spinning centres.\*

So far as competition between mill cloth and khaddar may be decreased by improvements in the quality of the latter, the reader is referred to Chapter VIII.

There are several factors which tend to detract from the competitive advantage, hitherto enjoyed by mill cloth.†

First of all, if production of cloth is intended for consumption only in the country where it is produced (as in the case with khaddar), it is not quite so important that it be done at high speed as it would be if it were intended for sale in the open markets of other countries. This is even more true where the cloth is for consumption by one's own family or fellow villagers. This is definitely the aim of the khaddar movement,—manufacturing primarily for the needs of one's own family, and sale only in case of a surplus.

In the scheme of village economy which prevails for 90% of the population of India, both production and distribution are decentralized on a small scale, for the most part. The market of a village weaver is very small, compared with that of textile mill. In that market, he could not possibly sell all his product if it were as great as that of the mill. Nor does he want to be idle. That is to say, the rate of production of hand-weaving is well adapted to the size of the market and the needs of the producer.‡ The same is true of hand-spinning, whether it is merely to provide for one's own clothing or also for purposes of sale of yarn. For the latter purpose a considerable increase in charkha

---

\* See Appendix A, Charkha the only Cottage Industry.

† See Chap. IX.

‡ Cf. R. Austin Freeman—*Social Decay and Regeneration*, Constable, London, 1921.

## VII

### FACTORS TENDING TO DECREASE COMPETITION

If the mechanical efficiency of the charkha could be increased only two and a half times, it would stand even with the mill spindle; and if the efficiency of the hand-loom could be increased tenfold, it, too, would be on an even footing with the mill loom.

Experiments for this purpose are now being carried on both by the All India Spinners' Association and by many provincial Khaddar organizations and private inventors. There is a strong probability that within the next few years, the efficiency of the charkha will be doubled or trebled. Mechanically, the problem is not very complex. Three spindles, instead of one, operated at the same time by one person, would solve the mechanics of the thing. But to contrive at the same time to maintain the variable discrimination and control of the spinner over each thread is more difficult. Improvement of preparatory processes will greatly assist. Multiplying the efficiency of the hand-loom by two, can probably be accomplished, but so great an increase as ten times, seems unlikely. This can be remedied, however, by developing a larger number of weavers from the existing idle population.

Of course, there may be further improvements in mill production, too. But probably they will be much slighter. A vast amount of thought and ingenuity has already been expended on this aim. The American bulletin referred to in Chapter VI states that "in the last 75 years the production per man has increased practically seven times". It also shows that in that period, the output in pounds both per spindle and per loom in one hour, has not quite doubled. This indicates that perhaps for certain counts the charkha is now about as efficient on that basis as the power spindle was 75 years ago.

That is to say, prices are not the sole criterion for estimating what goods will find a market.† Sentiment, taste, conformity with tradition, custom or fashion often prevail over cheaper prices.‡ Whether such a sentiment will finally prevail in the Indian cloth market in favour of khaddar, we cannot yet say; but it is within the realm of possibilities and of "practical economics". The boycott of 1930 is an indication of the possibilities.

But even if hand devices do not reach the productive rate of power-driven machinery, there are numerous savings in costs under a small scale, decentralized scheme of production and distribution, such as has prevailed throughout the Orient and largely accounts for the low cost of living there. The khaddar programme would tend to take full advantage of these savings, better probably than any other economic arrangement could do. The kind and extent of these savings have been discussed in Chapter V. It seems likely that these savings are great enough to offset very largely any relative slowness of production that may remain with the hand implements after their improvement.

We may say that the efficiency of the power machine lies in its speed and uniformity and exactness of product, but that in its present connection with capitalism, its inefficiency lies in its large overhead costs, tendency to urbanization, overproduction, and apparently inevitable accompanying unemployment.\*

Let us now consider certain aspects of competitive prices.

First of all, as above noted, for a large and increasing group of people, owing to their situation and work, the cost of khaddar is distinctly below that of mill cloth.

Secondly, it seems that khaddar prices would be apt

---

† Cf. Chap. XII.

‡ For example, in regard to cloth, see D. M. Amalsad—*Hand-loom Weaving in Madras Presidency*, 1925. Superintendent, Government Press, Madras.

\* Cf. Stuart Chase—*Men and Machine*, Macmillan, New York, 1929

efficiency would greatly help, but even there, too great an increase might prove to be more of an evil than a benefit.

It may be compared, as Mr. Gandhi says, with family cooking. No doubt a modern bakery can produce vastly more bread and cakes per oven or per man-hour than the individual house-wife. A factory can turn out much more jam and preserve than any household. A restaurant can prepare greater quantities of meals than the home cook. But domestic production is closely adapted to the number of its consumers and to their qualitative needs and desires and tastes. So, although bakeries, restaurants and food factories exist and have their place in city life, they can scarcely be said to compete with the house-wife in village and rural life, especially in India.

Or again, take the growing and preserving of vegetables and fruits from the home garden for home use or for sale in a local village market. No doubt the huge commercial market gardens and tinning or preserving factories can do this work more cheaply, uniformly, rapidly and on a larger scale. Yet the small-scale, localized product persists and finds a market, because it is adapted to the needs and tastes of a certain part of the consuming public and because, it is healthier by reason of the greater amount of vitamins in fresh food. The two products do not really compete. They exist side by side and supplement one another. So, also, to a certain extent, khaddar and mill cloth.

The importance of the price factor in competition between khaddar and mill cloth may decrease for another reason. The situation has become analogous to that of the American and British cinema films in the British Empire. British film producers and an active part of the British "consuming" public dislike American films for various reasons and desire to oust them. It is not clear that British films are cheaper. But it is quite possible that eventually a sufficiently strong public sentiment may be created in favour of British films to practically exclude American films from Great Britain. §

§ During the year 1930, in China there was a similar movement in favour of the Chinese style of clothes.

than could be supplied, if the workers accustomed to supply the manufactured goods by hand labour, were all put to work on machines. Consequently it would appear probable that the increasing industrialization of India, will be accompanied for some time, by an increase in the proportion of the population engaged in agriculture. That modern factory production is growing in India admits of no doubt, although its growth is slow as compared with that of Canada or Australia. The fact that such industries as there are, are organized in the customary capitalistic fashion, means that they do very little to increase the consumptive power of the workers, and besides that, they give rise to a serious unemployment problem, thus decreasing the consumption power of these unemployed. Furthermore, by driving more people into agriculture, they probably tend to diminish the individual consumption power of the agricultural population, which is close to three-fourths of the whole. Indeed, if only a small increase in the proportion of the population engaged in agriculture, were to take place, it might so reduce the productive power of the people as individuals that imports would decline rather than increase. We should realize, too that India's capacity to absorb imports is very small—generally it is less than four dollars per capita per year—and that it needs but little increase of the pressure of numbers on agricultural resources, to reduce even this small capacity. In view of this general situation in India, it seems that she is not likely to be in a position to increase her imports to any great extent in the near future, if indeed, the decline of recent years may not be of permanent nature."

Thus, the low purchasing power of India acts like a customs tariff wall to reduce imports of foreign cloth and to restrain the purchase of Indian mill cloth.\*

---

\* The recent fall in the price of silver and of commodities produced by Indian farmers accentuates this low purchasing power.



to decrease faster than mill cloth prices.† The reasons for this are several. There are much greater probabilities of improving the quality and quantity of production of all the hand implements in the near future than of improving that of power machines. Any such improvements will decrease khaddar price and also increase the amount of khaddar on the market, as a result of attracting more spinners and weavers to the work. Wage increases in cottage industries are less and not so rapid as in textile mills. The worker has his whole family to help him.

A further element tending to decrease competition from mill cloth, is the low purchasing power of the Indian rural population. This is clearly expressed in an article entitled "Britain's Population Problem" by Prof. Warren S. Thompson, in the *Economic Journal* (London) for June, 1926. Speaking of India, he says (p. 182) :

"There seems to be an increase in the proportion of the population engaged in agriculture in recent years. Paradoxical as this may seem, this increase in agricultural workers is a direct result of increasing industrialization. The non-agricultural village population thrown out of work by the adoption of factory methods of production, has no choice but starvation or work on the land. Custom and poverty both render the capacity of the people to absorb machine made products less

---

† Such figures as are available to the author here in America (1931), indicate that from 1922 to 1926, the price of khaddar *dhoti* cloth dropped about 25 per cent, while that of imported cotton mill cloth of somewhat similar quality (grey shirtings) dropped about 17 per cent. But figures from the same sources also indicate that from 1926 to 1928, the price of khaddar remained about stationary, while the price of imported cotton mill cloth dropped to nearly 40 per cent, below that of 1922. Whether the kinds of cloth thus compared, are in truth, fairly comparable, I do not know; but they are the only data available to me here in America. No figures are available to the author here in America, in regard to the movement of prices of Indian mill cloth during those years. See annual reports and Khadi Guides of the A.I.S.A., for those years, also the Statistical Abstract for British India, June, 1930. During the period Sept. 1929 to Sept. 1930, the average prices of khaddar dropped 12.5 per cent. Cf. also p. 185.

Nations, held at Geneva, May, 1927.\* The figures given relate entirely to mill production. A few quotations will suffice.

(pp. 5 and 6) (Referring to consumption of cotton compared with pre-War amounts)—“It is estimated that in India, with a population of 325,000,000, the decrease may amount to 7 per cent . . . Though world consumption has not decreased, world trade, especially in yarns, is smaller, and, since Great Britain for half a century was responsible for the major part of extra-European trade in cotton goods, this change has fallen most heavily on the Lancashire industry. On the other hand, India has been particularly affected by the contraction of the trade in yarn and now weaves the products of her spinning mills, thus reducing her demand for British piece goods. Under the stress of high prices during and immediately after the war, China, the other great textile market, began to supply an increasing proportion of her own needs. The reduction in total trade was accompanied by a change in the shares of the various exporting countries. While Great Britain, Poland and Germany have lost part of their markets, the United States of America, Japan and China have profited. . . .

“Many of these changes had begun to make themselves felt before the war; they were accelerated during the war, and some of them are likely to prove permanent in character. Countries which had difficulty in covering their requirements in Europe during the war increased and perfected their own equipment, or began to buy increasingly from Japan and the United States. . . .

“The decline in the trade is most serious for Great Britain. In the last four years, however, the variations have been slight. The question with which Lancashire is now struggling is how to adjust an industry with heavy capital charges to a smaller production and at

---

\* Constable & Co., London.

It might almost seem, indeed, as if the low purchasing power and enormous unemployment of India are acting like jiu-jitsu to overthrow competition of foreign cloth against khaddar. The very productive weakness of India may slowly tend to exclude foreign mill cloth.

Consideration of the various savings obtainable by small scale, decentralized production and of the existing but decreasing excess cost of khaddar over mill cloth suggests that the situation may be analogous to the high initial cost of installation of a large new machine in a factory. As soon as it once gets well under way, its greater efficiency results in considerable reduction in costs. But if the whole first cost of installation has to be applied to its first product, and cannot be spread over a considerable future period, it might seem at first as if the installation was a mistake. So, it seems probable that the present high price of khaddar is largely due to difficulties of initial organization and development, and that once these are straightened out, the savings will become effective and the price in comparison with mill cloth will considerably decrease.

The actual decrease in imports of cotton piece goods from Great Britain to India as compared with 1913-14 is only a part of the general decrease of world trade in cotton goods. Each country is tending to produce more of its own cloth. India is no exception.

This is not merely the result of an increase in the use of machinery by each country. It means that all countries are now imitating the earlier industrialized countries in the idea of using more available power—either fuel, hydraulic, or man power,—and using it with less waste (i. e., more efficiently). India is not only using more fuel and hydraulic power, but also more man power,—the latter partly through the charkha and hand-loom. The steady increase in both charkhas and hand-looms since before the War indicates that the hand instruments are economically efficient.

The foregoing statement of tendencies seems to be borne out by the Memorandum on Cotton, prepared for the International Economic Conference of the League of

Taking all the foregoing into account, it seems reasonable to suppose that competitive pressure against khaddar will gradually diminish.\*

If it is said that the foregoing reasoning is a denial of the historic fact that modern machine industry practically put an end to the old Indian hand production of cloth, the answer is simply that such was not the historic fact. The hand production of cloth in India was never totally destroyed. And to the extent that it was destroyed, the destruction was not primarily due to superior efficiency of the mill machines but to British customs laws imposed for that very purpose, and to the organized, deliberate break-up of Indian manufacture and trade in India by economic and forceful pressure. From the data in Chapter VI we have seen that the Indian charkha spindle is now perhaps as efficient as was the mill spindle 75 years ago. And the superior skill of the Indian craftsmen and the resulting durability and fineness of Indian textiles gave them an assured large sale in England and the Continent up to 1813-14, the time of the imposition of very onerous customs duties on Indian piece goods imported into England. This was from forty to fifty years after the invention of the fly shuttle, the spinning jenny, the steam engine and the power loom. The destruction of Indian cloth manufacture and trade put an end to the discontent among British textile workers caused by unemployment and provided England with a medium of payment other than gold or silver for the food stuffs and raw materials which she wanted from India. The Indian aspect of the matter has not received full consideration. But as this book is not a history, we can merely refer the interested reader to the records.†

---

\* Cf. P. P. Pillai *Economic Conditions in India*, Routledge, London, 1925, pp. 136 — 157. Also V. G. Kale, *Indian Economics*, 1924, ed., Aryabushan Press, Poona City, pp. 152-53.

† See P. J. Thomas — *Merchantilism and the East India Trade*, P. S. King & Son, London, 1926; W. H. Moreland — *Akber to Aurangzeb* Macmillan, London, 1921, pp. 58 — 62; Bal Krishna — *Commercial Relations between India and England, 1601 — 1757* — Routledge, London, 1924; W. Foster — *The East India House* — John Lane, London, 1924; Dutt —

the same time maintain an export trade which depends upon being able to compete in the world markets with newer industries employing cheaper labour in Eastern countries. The competition is mainly with the industries within the importing market itself.

(p. 17) "The figures. . . . of Indian piece goods production are those of the mills only. But the consumption of yarn by hand-loom has steadily increased. .... Total Indian consumption in that year (1924-25) was reckoned at 4,930 million yards of which 36.5 per cent came from Indian mills, 35.5 per cent from imports and 28 per cent from hand-loom."

It then cites Professor Daniels' estimate of annual consumption of cotton cloth in India, including estimated hand-loom production in the period 1910-14 as 1,056 million yards and in 1922-26 as 1,226 million yards, the latter being 28.4% of the total average annual consumption of 4,328 million yards during the latter period.

(p. 30) "The shift in the consumption of cotton and in the production of yarn and cloth from the exporting countries of Europe to the great consuming markets in Asia has carried with it a reduction of world trade in cotton goods."

(p. 32) . . . "During 1923-5 the United Kingdom exported per annum nearly 24 per cent less yarn and 31 per cent less piece goods than during the years 1909-13. But she still remains by far the most important exporter of manufactured cotton products, and since it is mainly the Asiatic markets which she has lost the falling-off has been in the cheaper varieties of goods, and the change in values has therefore not been commensurate with the decrease in quantity. Moreover, even in other markets, the loss appears to have been in the cheaper qualities of goods."

The Memorandum goes on to show that of the total exports of cotton piece goods from the United Kingdom the Far East took 61.6% in 1913 and only 41.8% in 1925.

## VIII

### SOME COTTON TECHNOLOGY

A large part of the khaddar being made today is coarse, heavy, and less durable than mill cloth. Yet it has greatly improved in regard to all these qualities since the beginning of the movement in 1920. This was clearly shown by samples of khaddar made in each of the past six years displayed at such exhibits as the National Congress at Cawnpore in 1925 and the South Indian Khaddar Exhibit at Bangalore in July, 1927. That is also the testimony of those who have worn it year after year. Three or four years ago the country-wide average count of charkha yarn was not over 8 or 10. In 1927 it had improved to the fineness of 16. And it is to be remembered that relative coarseness and heaviness is not a drawback for the every day wear of the ordinary farmer.

Such defects are not inherent or unavoidable. The khaddar made before the advent of mill cloth was fine and very durable, both according to the testimony of many early travellers, and East India Company officials, as proved by the great demand for it in the former trade with Europe, and as appears from the samples in the multiple collections made by Dr. John Forbes Royle and Mr. Forbes Watson, now on exhibit in the Art Museum at Calcutta, the Royal Asiatic Society in Bombay, in the Indian Museum Library of the Victoria and Albert Museum, London and presumably in Manchester; also in a similar collection by Schlagintwert in the Museum of Ethnology, Munich, Germany.

An increasing amount of really fine and durable khaddar is being made. Carefully spun charkha yarn has been tested by modern scientific textile testing apparatus by the Technical Department of the All India Spinners' Association and found

In referring to this systematic destruction of Indian textile manufacture, no moral condemnation is necessarily intended. Bandyng harsh moral adjectives is seldom useful. All British groups, both in England and in India, (and indeed most of the Western people) were then and still are entangled and blinded in the net of a commercial, industrial and financial system whose implications and results to themselves and to others we are only now beginning to understand and realize. Yet this ignorance did not and does not make the hardships any the less, nor does it constitute any reason for not trying to correct promptly any economic mistake then committed.

---

*Economic History of India*, 5th ed. Kegan Paul, London, pp. 261—290; Wilson's *History of British India*, Book I, Ch. VIII; Lord Wellesley's Letter of 1804 quoted in R. Rindhard's—*India*, London, 1829, Vol. I. p. 84, note; F. List—*The National System of Political Economy*, 1844, trans. by S. S. Lloyd, London: 1885, p. 42; Baines—*History of Cotton Manufacture*, London; Hansard's Debates, 1813; Original records of the East India Company; Record of hearings before Parliamentary Committees in 1813 and earlier years. Brief quotations are also given in *Hand Spinning and Hand Weaving*—by S. V. Puntambekar and N. S. Varadachari, All India Spinners' Association, Ahmedabad, and in a series of articles entitled "Shopkeepers Turned Rulers" published in Mr. Gandhi's paper, *Young India* in 1927. See also some of the historians and officials cited in the long note in Chapter IV.

seeds or bolls, fields or regions, or as between different varieties, are still greater. The important thing to realize is that no two fibres are wholly alike.\*

This fact is the basis of one great advantage which hand manufacture has over machine manufacture. In every process of hand operation the sensitive touch and sight and discriminating judgment and skill of the worker is present and able instantly to change and adapt itself and the working of the implements to the variations in the fibre. † A machine, however, is necessarily uniform in its action and cannot adapt itself to variations in the fibre. Of course the hand cannot adapt itself to variations between individual fibres, but to the larger variations it is more adaptable than machines.

To get over this difficulty in the machine it is necessary to treat the fibre so as to obtain as much uniformity as possible preparatory to spinning. To this end the cotton for mill spinning must be cleaned, mixed, beaten, and drawn many, many times. The necessity for great output from the machines results in all these processes being done at high speed and with great force. Tremendous beater and roller speeds in power-ginning, enormous pressures in baling cotton; further violent, high-speed beating in the opening or blowing process, high-speed machine scutching and carding,—all these bruise, scrape, strain, cut and injure much of the fibre, and waste much of it, and decrease the elasticity, strength and "vitality" of what survives.‡ The corresponding hand

---

\* See F. H. Bowman *Structure of Cotton Fibre*, Macmillan London, 1908; W. S. Taggart *Cotton Spinning* Vol. I, pp. 26-30, Macmillan, 1924. M. B. V. A. Talcherkar *The Charkha Yarn* publ. by the author, Bombay, 1925. See appendix E.

† Talecherkar, above cited.

‡ See Talcherkar, above cited; Sir George Watt *Commercial Products of India* pp. 593, 611; W. H. Johnson *Cotton and its Production* Macmillan, London, 1926. For example, the percentage of waste in American power gins in 1881 was from 12.78 to 22.87. Waste from yarn to finished product was 4.81 per cent. See *The Cotton Plant Bulletin* 33, Office of Expt. Station U. S. Dept. of Agric., Washington, D. C., 1896, pp. 355 to 357. See also Report of Proceedings of the East India Company in regard to production of Cotton Wool in India, London, 1836, p. 227.



fully equal to that made in the Ahmedabad mills.\* Various experts have written of the durability of the modern khaddar.† Steady progress is being made all over the country.

It is difficult, however, for anyone acquainted with the great development of scientific textile technology in the West or who has never seen samples of really fine handmade cotton cloth to believe that it can possibly, be as fine or durable as mill cloth. It may be desirable, therefore, to explain briefly some of the technical details about cotton fibre and processes of cloth manufacture which make such results possible.

By way of simplifying the discussion we may set aside the question of fineness of yarn. Yarn has been and now is being spun by hand in India up to 400 count.

The only questions are as to the comparative strength, uniformity, and elasticity of mill and hand spun yarns of the same count, and the comparative durability of mill woven and hand woven fabrics of the same weight, as they are being made for actual use and trade. Here we are concerned not with quantity of production, but solely with quality.

The consideration of quality begins with the nature of the tiny individual cotton fibre. A cotton fibre is a single elongated cell in the form of a flattened hollow cylinder or tube with very thin walls. It has a spiral twist, sometimes in one direction, sometimes in the other, often reversing its direction several times in the same fibre. The twists differ in tightness, length or extent. Often parts of the fibre have no twist. No two fibres are alike. Fibres even from the same seed differ in ripeness, length, flatness, thickness of walls, maximum and minimum diameters, smoothness, evenness, softness, suppleness, elasticity, strength, moisture and volatile oil content, and thickness of wax covering. Of course the variations in all these qualities between fibres from different

\* See *Young India*, 19th August 1926.

† I. G. Cumming *Review of the Industrial Position and Prospects in Bengal*, 1903, pp. 7-9; Bengal Secretariat Book Depot, Calcutta; H. H. Ghose *Advancement of Industry*, R. Cambray & Co., Calcutta, 1910, pp. 151, 153.

which goes to make the yarn than there is in the hand-made slivers from which most charkha yarn is spun. Yet as between Surat cotton (an Indian variety) and that of other countries, Dr. Bowman reports that Surat is most uniform in length of staple.<sup>†</sup> If this holds true also of other purely Indian varieties, it would tend to add to the strength of hand spun yarn of India as compared with that of any other country. Surat has also the strongest individual fibre, but its greater diameter offsets this, as it results in fewer separate fibres in any given diameter of yarn than there would be with the more slender fibers of other varieties. There is need for further research on these points.

Aside from devices to secure speed and volume of production, it might be said that the chief contribution of the West to cotton technology lies in an elaboration of certain of the processes between ginning and spinning (disregarding baling, opening, breaking, blowing and scutching). These processes,—known as carding, sometimes combing, drawing, slubbing, "intermediate", and roving,—give great uniformity to the sliver or roving, ensure that all the fibres lie parallel, and give a preliminary, partial twist. All this adds much to the final uniformity and strength of the yarn.

A simple form of these processes was developed originally in India and is still practised there in certain localities. In the making of the finest Indian handspun yarn, as is done in parts of Madras Presidency, very great care is taken to comb out the separate fibres so that they lie practically parallel. They are not rolled into the usual sliver or *pooni* form, but are placed between strips of plantain leaves and thence fed to the charkha spindle. It is possible that some such procedure was followed in former times in all parts of India.

To the author it seems probable that the further development of some such processes between ginning and spinning might do more to improve the quality of khaddar than any improvement of charkhas or other implements now in use.

---

<sup>†</sup> Bowman, p. 124.

processes are vastly more slow and gentle, and tend far more to preserve the valuable qualities of the fibre. This is perhaps especially true of Indian cotton in the ginning process, because its fibres cling more firmly to the seed than is the case with other varieties. Hence high-speed gins tend to injure and tear it more than other kinds of cotton.\*

Durability of cloth does not result directly and solely from mere uniformity or evenness of fibre or yarn. Yarn or weaving may be uniformly poor as well as uniformly good. Durability results rather from uniform strength, uniform pliability and uniform elasticity of yarn and uniformly close weaving. Let us consider these elements in turn.

"The strength of yarn does not depend upon the individual strength of cotton fibre but upon the number of twists and fineness in diameter of each individual fibre as well as upon the staple of the fibre. . . . The strength of a single thread of yarn will vary with the number of fibres in its cross-section."

"The object of the spinner is to produce the nearest approach to a perfectly cylindrical thread of equal diameter throughout its length and containing at every point the same number of fibres in its cross-section as at any other points."†

"The strength which any yarn possesses depends not only upon the ultimate strength of the fibre of which it is composed, but also upon the degree of friction which the surfaces of the individual fibres possess, and which enable them to receive the twist of the yarn, and thus resist being drawn out when the thread is subjected to strain. . . . In the case of cotton, the friction is no doubt due to the twisted form assumed by the collapsed tubes."‡

It seems probable that there is in mill processes a more uniform distribution of different kinds of fibres in the roving

\* W. H. Johnson *Cotton and its Production* p. 140.

† Talcherkar *The Charkha Yarn*, above cited, pp. 18, 41, 46. In accord see W. S. Taggart *Cotton Spinning*, above cited pp. 24-30.

‡ Bowman—*Structure of Cotton Fibre*, above cited, p. 275; also W. S. Taggart—*Cotton Spinning*.

fibres upon which the strength of the yarn so largely depends. Dr. Bowman says:

"This peculiar characteristic twist, which is so marked in cultivated cotton, and which gives to it the necessary quality which enables it to be spun into a thread, which is impossible in the wild fibre, is not possessed by the fibre in its early stages, or indeed until it has been subjected to air and sunlight. The fibres taken from an unopened pod have no twist. They are always moist from imprisonment within the seed capsule, which is saturated with sappy juices and mucilage and there is no tendency to desiccation on the part of the fibre unless it is placed in a dry position. The twist only appears after the fibre, which reaches the full length in the boll, or almost so, is exposed to desiccation . . . . . This peculiarity seems to manifest itself very shortly after the opening of the boll, and to be increased by the gradual accumulation of secondary deposits and the collapsing and desiccation of the fibre after the separation from the seed."\*

Exposure of the raw cotton to the sun is said to increase the elasticity of the seeds and thus helps them to avoid being crushed in the gin and mingling with the fibre.†

There is one more peculiarity of Surat cotton which may tend to add strength to yarn made from it; and if this peculiarity is also found in other varieties of Indian cotton, it would be another factor of strength for charkha yarn. In Dr. Bowman's book, at page 118, there is given a table of the maximum, minimum and average number of convolutions in the individual fibres of five different kinds of cotton,—Sea Island, Egyptian, Brazilian, American (Orleans), and Indian (Surat) taking fifty samples of each. By deduction from these figures it appears that the range between maximum and minimum is least with Surat. The range figures are.—Sea Island 120, Egyptian 105, Brazilian 102, American 96, Surat 70. This would mean "a greater

\* *Structure of Cotton Fibre*, pp. 116, 275.

† See page 296 of Report of Proceedings of the East India Company in regard to the Production of Cotton Wool in India, London, 1836.

Western cotton and chemical technology has also greatly improved the dyeing process. Indian indigenous dyes are of excellent colours and variety, but do not seem to be capable of complete control as to shade, and most of them are not fast. It is hoped that further research now proceeding will remedy these defects.

Charkha yarn has other advantages which may offset the greater uniformity of fibre distribution in mill yarn. Since hand spun yarn has not been subjected to all the severe strains imposed on mill cotton, the individual fibres going into charkha yarn are doubtless stronger and more elastic than those of mill yarn. Charkha spinning, like mule spinning which makes the finest mill yarns, draws the thread out long and permits the twist to run into and strengthen the weak places, and promotes greater uniformity of twist than is possible with ring spinning in mills.\* Again, in charkha spinning there is no electricity developed; whereas in mill processes the high speed and friction of cotton on metal and wood and leather parts often develops so much electricity that the cotton fibres repel each other and refuse to lie close and parallel while the slubbing or spinning is taking place.† If the individual fibres will not lie close and parallel during spinning, the yarn is weakened. Also the speed of the mill spindles, especially in ring-spinning, is so great that the centrifugal force on the fibres may tend to keep them further apart than on the slower charkha spindle.‡ Then they cannot lock well together and make strongest yarn.

Further, hand-processed cotton is usually given a longer period in storage for ripening and drying than is done in the case of mill cotton; and the cotton in the first case not being baled, the drying process is more thorough. Also, such cotton is always placed in the hot sunshine for an hour or more before being ginned. All this drying helps to give more twist to the individual fibres, and it is this twist which provides the friction and locking together of separate

\* Bowman, p. 374; Talcherkar, pp. 9, 10, 42-43.

† Bowman, pp. 240-241; Talcherkar, p. 21.

‡ Talcherkar, pp. 9, 10, 39.

"The aforesaid methods show that the indigenous weaver sizes his yarn previous to warping it, which is a more efficient system of yarn preparation than the reverse method followed in the power industry. Sized yarn possesses strength sufficient to resist the stretching and breakage which it is subjected to in the warping process; but unsized yarn gets its elasticity drawn upon in the warping process and breaks by the slightest undue strain in the loom. In the power industry, sizing is never done before warping, as to make the former process expeditious many strands of yarn are sized together, and when a large number of threads are to be arranged as a preparatory process to sizing, it is more economical to warp them than to merely arrange them. But it is this warping of unsized yarn that destroys a portion of its strength."

He further describes (p. 154) a method of single thread sizing used for certain cloths in Bengal, which though slow produces cloth of superior durability.

Again, Mr. Amalsad, in his pamphlet above cited, says in regard to the greater durability claimed for hand made fabrics :

"May it not be attributed to larger percentage of stretch left in the yarn during hand weaving and to the closer beat-up of the wet weft ?"

It is possible that for various reasons such as those suggested above, khaddar may be able better than mill cloth, to resist deterioration from the action of the sun's rays. This would be important in a tropical country like India.

In the present stage of only partial recovery of the old Indian art and technical processes of cotton cloth manufacture not all of the above described qualities of the fibre and the processes have as yet been fully utilized and taken advantage of. This point is deliberately repeated because of the depth of our prejudices in favour of machinery. Steady improvements in khaddar are taking place, and the machines may yet have to look to their laurels."

---

\* See Appendix A.

uniformity of twist in the Surat fibre, and thereby help toward greater uniformity of yarn, with whatever strength that uniformity may bring.

Whether or not the processes preparatory to hand spinning tend to create more reversals of twist in individual fibres, we do not know. Such reversals of twist would increase the strength of the yarn. Dr. Bowman says, as to this: (p. 118 of his book):—"It may be noticed that this reversion of twist is an additional advantage in cotton spinning, because it increases the locking action of the fibres when the twist is put in, as they are analogous to the holding power of a combined right and left-handed screw and so hold in whichever direction the torsion comes."

The property of elasticity in yarn depends partly on the elasticity of the individual fibre and partly on the ratio of number of twists per inch in the yarn to its diameter. The gentler preparatory processes for hand spinning tend to leave greater elasticity in the fibre. Charkha spinning, like mule spinning, secures better conditions for twist than ring spinning, and the presence of the delicate touch and "feel" and discrimination of the hand spinner probably makes possible the attainment of more elasticity of yarn on the charkha than even on the mill mule spinning machine.

Pliability of yarn may be greater where it is hand made than mill made, largely because of slower and gentler preparatory processes preliminary to hand spinning.

All these considerations are not inconsistent with the fact that much of the khaddar now being made is less durable than mill cloth. They simply indicate perhaps some of the reasons why the khaddar of former times was so very fine and strong, and that it is possible even now to make superior khaddar. These technical details have not yet been fully taken advantage of, but when they are we may find superior khaddar all over India.

In the processes between spinning and weaving the hand methods have some further advantages over machine methods. Mr. H. H. Ghose explains this in his above referred to book, *The Advancement of Industry*, at p. 158. He says:

## IX

### DOES IT WORK ?

One of the tests of the soundness of any economic movement is its ability to survive and grow in the midst of conflicting forces. The khaddar movement meets that test. And the foregoing chapters indicate good reasons for believing that it will carry on and grow regardless of the coming or going of any particular personalities connected with it. Its vitality is further indicated by comparing its growth with that of other somewhat similar privately organized and supported enterprises. We may fairly compare it with the early co-operative movement in England and the cotton mills in India. Comparison with the co-operative movement in India would not be fair because that was initiated by Government and has received strong and constant legislative, financial and administrative support from both Central and Provincial Governments. Comparisons with other revivals of earlier activities, such as Danish or Irish agriculture or Swedish hand weaving, would be instructive, but we do not possess the necessary information.

As for early co-operation in England, Robert Owen's first Co-operative Society was started in 1821. Nine years later there were 250 such societies. Then the number dwindled for a few years, before its later upward course. The Rochdale distributive store began in 1844 with 28 members and a capital of 28 pounds sterling. Ten years later, in 1854, the membership had increased to 900, with funds of 7,172 pounds and a total annual business of 33,364 pounds sterling. By 1851 there were 151 co-operative shops in the north of England and the Midlands of Scotland, but the membership of each rarely exceeded 50 persons. In 1863, nineteen years after the Rochdale movement began, there were 381 co-operative societies which made returns, and their membership was 108,000.\*

\* See G. J. Holyoake—*History of Co-operation* Vol. I, pp. 272, 297, E. P. Dutton, New York, 1906; E. P. Harris—*Co-operation the Hope of the Consumer*, p. 220, Macmillan, New York, 1918.



It is now perhaps apparent that Indian hand processes have certain technical advantages in relation to quality of product which machine processes lack, and which may be and probably are, when fully utilized, sufficient to counter-balance the advantages of machine processes. It is really not surprising that this should be so, for cotton cloth has been made in India since the dawn of history. Indians are a sensitive and keenly observant people, attentive to detail and given to profound thought. These thousands of years of experience have afforded a wealth of experiment which no modern scientific laboratory can equal. True, the process of observation and induction was slower than in modern laboratories, but the process was essentially the same as that of modern scientific research. Much of that knowledge has been lost, but much has been and further can be recovered. There is still much room for invention and discovery of improvements at every stage of manufacture. Experiment, training and determination are all present, active and growing. It is quite possible that modern hand processes of making cloth in India may prove on a wide scale qualitatively superior to power-machine technique. And if so, the result will enrich the meaning and applications of science, increase our human tolerance, and improve our sense of proportion.

TOTAL PRODUCTION OF KHADDAR  
IN ALL PROVINCES

( Fiscal year ends in September )

Year	Value in Rupees	Per cent increase or decrease	Amount of increase or de- crease, in rupees
1922-23*	9,49,348§	—	—
1923-24	25,12,510	+ 164.4	15,63,162
1924-25	19,03,034	- 16.9	- 4,25,507
1925-26	23,76,670	+ 13.8	2,89,667
1926-27	24,06,370	+ 1.2	29,700
1927-28	24,16,382	+ 0.4	10,012
1928-29	31,55,487	+ 30.5	7,39,105
1929-30	53,00,816	+ 67.9	21,45,329

TOTAL SALES OF KHADDAR IN ALL PROVINCES

( Fiscal year ends in September )

Year	Value in Rupees	Per cent increase or decrease	Amount of increase or de- crease, in rupees
1922-23	19,16,411†	—	—
1923-24	41,03,842†	+ 114.1	21,87,431
1924-25	33,61,061†	- 18.0	- 7,42,781
1925-26	28,99,143	- 13.7	- 4,61,918
1926-27	32,88,794	+ 13.4	3,89,651
1927-28	33,08,634	+ 0.6	19,870
1928-29	39,43,077	+ 19.1	6,34,443
1929-30	63,44,553	+ 60.9	24,01,476

During 1928-29 an attempt was made to get the figures of yardage and weight of khadi produced. Though the figures are not quite complete, they show the production of that year to be 60,67,246 square yards, weighing 20,15,170 lbs.

\* No accurate figures available for earlier years.

§ See note, Chap. III.

† These figures involve considerable duplication, because they included sales between provinces and between sales depots in the same province, as well as sales to ultimate consumers. Hence the increases and decreases for these years may not have actually been as indicated.

The first Indian cotton mill was started in Calcutta in 1838. The second was established 15 years later, in 1853, at Bombay, with 5,000 spindles. By 1879 there were 56 cotton mills in India employing 42,914 people.

The khaddar movement was started in 1920. In "Young India" for March 7, 1927, Mr. Gandhi stated, "It did last year at least twenty times as much work as during 1920. It is now serving not less than 50,000 spinners in 1500 villages, besides weavers, washermen, printers, dyers and tailors." During the fiscal year 1928-29, nine years after its inception, the movement furnished employment to over 96,000 carders, spinners and weavers alone in over 2,198 villages. It then had a capital investment of Rs. 21,57,160 (about 161,787 pounds sterling), and sales amounting to Rs. 49,84,170 (about 373,812 pounds sterling.)

This comparison is certainly favourable to the khaddar movement.

Of course even before the movement started many peasants were accustomed to spin and weave their own yarn and cloth.

During the year 1930 and up to the time of the revision of this book in 1931 there has been an immense growth in the movement because of its close association with the political developments of those years. The following figures furnished by the All India Spinners' Association illustrate further details of the growth and status of the movement up to the end of the fiscal year 1930. The figures cover only the work of the All India Spinners' Association. They do not include the work of several khadi production and sales organizations, some large and some small, which are not affiliated to the A. I. S. A., nor does it include the cloth produced by villagers for their own consumption. This last is known to be far in excess of that produced under the guidance of the Association.

It is unfortunate that the figures of production and sale are not given in square yards or pounds of cloth as well as in rupees. Price fluctuations prevent the rupee figures from showing the real growth.

Although the figures for 1929-30 are also incomplete, they show a great increase, namely that in that year the production activities of the Association covered 6,494 villages and found employment for 1,39,696 spinners, 11,462 weavers and 1,006 carders.

PRODUCTION CENTRES AND SALES DEPOTS, 1927

Province	Production centres	Sales depots
Andhra	21	14
Bihar	9	16
Bengal	17	20
Bombay (city)	—	2
Burma	—	1
Delhi	3	2
Gujarat	6	3
Karnatak	7	10
Kerala	2	3
Maharashtra	6	24
Punjab	6	12
Rajasthan	9	3
Tamil Nad	17	25
United Provinces	3	6
Utkal	4	9
Total	110	150

At the end of the fiscal year 1929 there were 155 production centres and 209 sale depots. At the end of the fiscal year 1930 there were 359 production centres and 241 sales depots, also 298 sales depots independent of the A. I. S. A. The number of production centres was more than doubled. Besides the work of the sales depots, a considerable amount of khadi is sold from door to door by individual hawkers.

PRODUCTION AND SALE BY PROVINCES, 1929-30		
Province	Production Rs.	Sales Rs.
Andhra	7,98,086	7,76,688*
Bihar	3,87,732	4,35,519
Bengal	4,25,998	8,85,141
Bombay	—	4,98,941
Burma	—	37,203
Delhi	2,50,293	1,58,744
Gujarat	37,090	2,40,914
Karnatak	94,342	4,43,904
Kashmir	2,33,308	76,756
Maharashtra	1,03,099	3,85,393
Punjab	3,02,772	2,55,630
Rajasthan	4,59,112	1,86,309
Sind	—	97,796
Tamil Nad and Kerala	16,19,949	11,85,311
United Provinces	5,11,186	5,78,932
Utkal	77,849	1,01,342
Total	53,00,816	63,44,523

NUMBER OF VILLAGES COVERED AND PEOPLE  
AIDED BY WORK OF

ALL INDIA SPINNERS' ASSOCIATION, 1929

Province	Villages covered	Carders	Spinners	Weavers
Andhra	362	260	13,157	1,111
Bihar	Figures	not	received	...
Bengal §	48	—	2,350	104
Delhi §	63	—	977	68
Gujarat §	129	23	1,457	193
Karnatak	180	—	2,992	232
Maharashtra	53	88	829	90
Punjab	82	—	42,712	487
Rajasthan	171	200	5,176	613
Tamil Nad & Kerala	925	—	18,225	1,474
United Provinces	121	132	1,209	268
Utkal	64	—	1,188	32
Total	2,198	703	90,272	4,672
				95,647

Grand total of three occupations

\* These names of Provinces are those used by the Indian National Congress, and do not coincide with the British-made political divisions. They more nearly coincide with language groupings.

§ Figures incomplete.

At several other places training is given in these subjects, also in printing cloth.

There is an Information Bureau of the Association, which publishes both technical and general bulletins and books. Every year at the Indian National Congress meeting there is a khadi exhibition. Numerous provincial exhibitions have also been held.

The two classes of members of the Association are all voluntary spinners whose yarn is not sold but donated to the Association by way of interest and support. Both classes of members are pledged to wear khaddar habitually and have the duty of carrying on propaganda for hand spinning and khaddar. " A " Class members spin 1000 yards of yarn per month, while " B " Class members, spin 2000 yards per year. There is also a " Juvenile Class " of members, under 18 years of age, numbering 185, who also wear khaddar habitually and contribute 1000 yards of self-spun yarn per month. There are thousands of members, but no recent figures are available to the author at the time of revision of this book.

Both charkha and takli spinning are taught in numerous municipal and district board schools. While it is known that the pupils so instructed numbered over 6000 in 1927, the recent detailed figures are not available. There are numerous private spinning clubs and associations, some of men and some of women. Just as in the West ladies often have their knitting or embroidery with them on social occasions, so many Indian ladies do likewise with takli and cotton slivers (*poonies*). Often there are formal spinning competitions in which speed, count and quality of yarn are considered.

Further information as to progress may be found in the annual reports of the Secretary of the Association, publications issued by the Information Bureau, publications of various Provincial Khadi Boards or production centres, and in the files of Mr. Gandhi's paper, *Young India*, published from Ahmedabad. A list of many of these is given in Appendix D.

Of course it will require much more educational work and organization before the programme is a permanent success. When so many millions of people have formed

NUMBER OF STAFF WORKERS IN THE  
ALL INDIA SPINNERS' ASSOCIATION AND SEVERAL  
PUBLIC ORGANIZATIONS AIDED BY IT, 1929

A. I. S. A.		<i>Aided Organizations</i>	
Central Office	9	Khadi Pratisthan	95
Technical dept.	8	Abhoy Ashram	63
Andhra	46	Prabartak Sangh	15
Bihar	77	Khalispur Ashram	12
Bomhay (city)	20	Vidyashram	17
Burma	3	Arambagh Khadi Karya	5
Karnatak	26	Gandhi Ashram,	
Maharashtra	41	Tiruchengodu	10
Punjab	26	Gandhi Ashram, Meerut	13
Rajasthan	28		
Tamil Nad	144	Total	230
United Provinces	30		
Utkal	53		

Total      511

Grand Total      741

Together with the staff workers in other private khaddar organizations not named above, the actual total number of such workers in 1929 must have been nearly one thousand.

One hundred and thirteen mills, including all those in Ahmedabad, signed agreements during the fiscal year 1929-30 to refrain from producing cloth with yarn below 18s. count, except in stated sorts, and not to produce cloth that might pass for khadi. This tends to eliminate competition between mill cloth and khaddar.

Yarn testing by modern scientific apparatus like that used in Europe and America is established in ten or more production centres, and definite rules for yarn testing have been promulgated by the Technical Department of the All India Spinners' Association. The Association has also in operation at Sabarmati a three years' course of training in almost all the technical processes of khaddar manufacture, and in dyeing, accounting, organization and the other work necessary for the operation of production and sales centres.

machinery, a blind and impossible atavism, a false asceticism. This objection has been partly answered already in Chapters I and II, but some other elements of it may be discussed here.

We sometimes forget that science and technique are not concerned primarily with size or appearance. There is as much science in studying the atom as in studying an ocean steamship. The watchmaker or spider have as fine a technique as the boiler-maker or the bridge-builder. The smallness and relative simplicity of the charkha or the slightness of power required for its use do not make it unscientific. Size and simplicity are only relative terms. Many users of the charkha may, and the technicians of the movement should have as much scientific knowledge of cotton fibre as the most advanced technicians of England Germany, Japan or the United States.

Instead of being a rejection of science, the khaddar programme is a very wise application to economics of what is known to scientists as the Second Law of Thermodynamics. The hand-gin, carding bow, charkha, and hand-loom are simple machines, better adapted than any others to existing Indian conditions. Lovers of the antique may prefer coal to daily sunshine, but there is nothing more *scientific* in the use of coal, as ancient, stored-up solar energy, than in the use of food and bodily force as the present annual income of that energy. We must not confuse science with technology, nor with concentration of power. Science applies to all forms and degrees of power and to all modes of technology.

In our admiration for the steam engine and dynamo and machinery in general we must not forget the wonderful efficiency of the human body. After all, we did not make the power that resides in coal and oil. An engineer who builds a hydraulic power generating station need not feel any more proud of using water stored in a reservoir than of using the current flow, as at Niagara. So also with the stored and current flow, of solar energy. Great size, quantity and speed are doubtless impressive and oft-times admirable, but they are a bit like a very loud noise. We must not fall into the error of the savage and allow ourselves to be



habits of enforced idleness for several generations, and have suffered so severely from such diseases as malaria, kala azar and hookworm, it is not easy to arouse hope, ambition, initiative and energy in them. Nevertheless, the growth to date is healthy and promises a satisfactory future.

## X

### VARIOUS OBJECTIONS

An oft-voiced objection to the khaddar movement is that the wages which spinners can earn are so microscopic that, as an occupation, spinning cannot attract a sufficient number of people to make it an economic success. It may do, they say, for widows and some other unemployed or under-employed village girls or women, but for no others.

The major answer to this, as Mr. Gandhi has so often reiterated,\* is that the charkha is not proposed as a full time occupation, but as a part-time job during portions of any day or season when there is spare time. Used in this way in South Indian villages, it has shown itself able to supply from 15% to 66% of family income.†

The wage objection may hold true at present to a certain extent in certain districts, but it will have less and less weight as improvements are made in the efficiency of the charkha and other implements.

This objection is connected with the proposal that hand weaving is more remunerative than hand-spinning and that therefore weaving should be encouraged rather than spinning. Mr. Gandhi's answer is best, as given in Appendix A. The correctness of his judgment is verified by the fact that endeavours by Governmental departments in many districts to stimulate cottage weaving, have as a whole not grown or prospered.

To other minds the prime defect of the scheme is that it is apparently a rejection of all modern science and

---

\* See Appendix A.

† *Young India* for Aug. 13, and Sept. 10, 1925.

and until she has wrought various changes in her organization and certain disciplines. By waiting, it might be possible to select and utilize some of the good features of Western civilization without having to wade through all its evils. And perhaps a very little machinery may suffice, provided other difficulties are cleared out of the way.

As to the accusation of asceticism, most people forget that the original meaning of the word was the training which a Greek athlete underwent, a dropping of unessential luxuries in order to win a race. It is possible that such a concept of the term may be applied to the Indian situation usefully and without any apologetics.

Closely allied to this charge of rejection of Western culture is the more philosophical objection to the scheme as being merely a manifestation of Mr. Gandhi's ideas of non-co-operation. The opponents object to non-co-operation because it is essentially negative in character and therefore, can never be the basis for a great national renaissance.

History indicates that almost all instances of national or racial cultural progress have come from contact and organic fusion and inter-fertilization of two or more prior cultures. May not the present situation in India be exactly that process? May not the non-co-operation idea be a negative statement of what is in essence a positive process; namely the *selection* by the members of one culture of certain elements of the other culture which can be truly assimilated and made an organic part of a new growth of spiritual, moral and mental elements entering into a civilization now in process of reformation? In such an aspect, non-co-operation, instead of a negative or ill-natured rejection or assertion of absolute right or wrong, becomes an expression of *preference* for the sake of wisest adaptation to an existing environment and tradition. The apparently negative phraseology or action is only a reflex from the existing political and economic circumstances, and may not be the real heart of the movement.

By analogy, healthy physiological processes involve a constant katabolism and anabolism, a rejection and assimilation. Rejection is all right provided that there is at the

real problems centre today around the mere provision of wealth. The difficulties arise rather in getting rid of even a small part of what can be made, without fighting for the privilege of either making or selling it. But to people who think of wealth not in terms of energy and human endeavour, but in terms of money tokens, there seems to be nothing incongruous in the continuance of the acute economic suffering into which Europe has been plunged, nor any evidence of failure in the most elementary function of government in the spectacle of unemployment and poverty at one and the same time."

It is true that Mr. Gandhi has said some severe things about machinery and modern industrial civilization. But careful analysis shows that his real objection is to the use to which they have been put, rather than to the things themselves, however closely the two aspects may be interwoven.\*

If capitalism† could be removed from the world and be replaced by the motive of service, as it was with so many people during the Great War, much machinery would automatically disappear and many of the evils of Western civilization along with it. After all, Mr. Gandhi's position is not so far removed from the doubts of many thoughtful engineers, scientists and historians who feel that science is being distorted and used for the satisfaction of harmful motives.‡

India can well afford to postpone a thoroughgoing adoption of machinery at least until she has got a clearer knowledge of the implications of both machinery and industrialism,

---

\* See Chap. XIII.

† Cf. Mr. Keynes, the distinguished British economist in his booklet, *The end of Laissez Faire*, Hogarth Press, London, 1926, p. 50. "What seems to me the essential characteristic of Capitalism, namely the dependence upon an intense appeal to the money-making and money-loving instincts of individuals as the main motive force of the economic machine."

‡ See the writings of F. Soddy, W. N. Polokov, Count Korzybski, Bertrand Russell, H. G. Wells, Trevelyan and others.

and ought to continue to function without modification. Also they forget that khaddar cannot come at a bound. Its slowness of growth will leave time for capitalists to shift their investments, for trade in different goods and in different directions to be developed, for economic readjustments of many sorts, for new international and industrial relations to grow up. The difficulties in Lancashire up till 1930, anyhow, seem to have been due more to the inflation of capitalization just after the war, the development of rayon and other artificial silks, the continued operation of inefficient units, inefficient selling methods, and the Chinese boycott, than to the decreased demand from India.\*

Yet even with the two foregoing qualifications, it must be admitted that the righting of an old wrong often necessitates further suffering. But in such cases the additional suffering is not necessarily an injustice, but perhaps only a distributing of a burden which should be common.†

The situation is too complex to predict any future development with sureness. But we may be sure that sound economics will be sound for all nations and individuals at the same time, none suffering because of prosperity of others.

The Indian, Lancashire and Japanese mill interests or their friends need not look upon the khaddar programme with hostility or anxiety. It is only a part, but an inevitable part of a general change in the cotton trade and manufacturing industry all over the world as shown in the last part of Chapter VII.

The railroads have lost somewhat by the advent of automobiles, motor trucks and airplanes. But it has meant simply more specialization in different kinds of service and at the same time a growth in the absolute total of traffic. Each kind of traffic feeds the others.

This is also the answer to the fears of the cotton mill interests. The development of the charkha should be no

---

\* See Memo. on Cotton for International Econ. Conf. The article on Cotton in 12th ed. of *Encycl. Brit.* and article on Lancashire situation in the *Nation and Athenaeum* Nov. 13, 1926.

† See the end of Chap. VII and the footnote at the end of Chap. IV, also Appendix III.

same time an acceptance of something else that is more fitting or useful. This dual process is only putting each thing in its most fitting and useful place. What is waste and poison for me is useful to plants, who in return give me something more useful to my form of life.

This two-sided activity enters even into aesthetic creation. "The creative process is a process of exclusion to the same extent that it is a process of inclusion. In this connection 'to exclude' means to relegate to irrelevance in the aesthetic unity, and 'to include' means to elicit relevance to that unity."<sup>\*</sup>

Again, we must not let the newness of an action or the size of the factors involved confuse us. For instance, if we see a mill manager or a European declining to buy khaddar, or an artist refusing to purchase a picture whose colour or lines do not suit him, we do not characterize the actions as "negative" or "stultifying" or harmful. When we see the United States, for example, erecting a very high customs tariff, which is in effect a partial refusal to buy goods, we do not ordinarily regard it as a mere naive futility. The khaddar movement is young and, compared with industrialism, rather small; but that does not necessarily prove that the rejections involved in it are purely negative. The spirit and purpose count more than the form of the act.

Another group of critics condemn the khaddar movement as being morally inconsistent with Mr. Gandhi's professions of love for all mankind. They point out that the displacement of all foreign cloth by khaddar would mean "terrible unemployment and suffering in Lancashire and Japan, and a moral as well as economic separation and opposition between India and other countries. They say that Mr. Gandhi, in his eagerness to help the masses of India, is willing to injure the labouring masses as well as the manufactures of other countries.

These critics overlook two points. They assume that the existing industrial and financial system of the West can

---

\* A. N. Whitehead, *Religion in the Making*. Macmillan, New York, 1926, p. 113.

providing their own cloth. In the West, transportation and sales,—the distributive part of the economic process,—are steadily increasing their proportionate share in the total costs of all articles. The farmers feel this as a constantly mounting burden. This being so, co-operative movements can act only as mitigations of the cost of living. But if farmers, for instance, could escape from the web of capitalistic industry in respect to certain prime essentials, as by manufacturing their own clothing and growing their own food, the relief would perhaps be greater, more easily attained, and more subject to individual control. § No one can fairly accuse Mr. Gandhi of being blind to the sufferings of peoples of other nations.

Another aspect of the international situation is the matter of emigration. If Oriental emigration to other lands is to be restricted, then the Orient must utilize its natural resources and "surplus" population to the utmost where they are. For Europeans and Americans to exclude Indians from various territories and yet ridicule them when they attempt in their own way (e. g., the charkha) to make a living in India is inconsistent. Full use of India's solar energy will go far towards relieving her excess population problem, and thereby help to remove certain causes of international friction.

An objection to khaddar felt by certain of the middle classes, especially those who have large families or who live in the cities, is the extra expense growing out of its texture. They point out that its roughness causes it to soil more quickly than mill cloth. Their standards of living require clean appearance of clothing at all times. Hence, washing must be more frequent, with corresponding increase of wear on the cloth and larger washing bills, or more servants to do the washing. The heaviness of the cloth makes it dry more slowly, especially during the rainy season. So one must have more clothes to provide for the delay. The thickness of the cloth uses up more soap in the washing and thus increases

---

§ See S. A. Reeves: *Modern Economic Tendencies*, E. P. Dutton, New York, 1917.

more a cause of bitterness to them than is the development of rayon. The total increase all over the world in the effective utilization of solar energy, stored or current, and the consequent increases in purchasing power of all countries will tend to provide the mills with markets for some sort of goods. For example, more prosperous farmers would mean more grain and sacks and bags to hold it, — hence a demand for cloth for the sacks. The problem is one of adaptation and specialization for greatest and most enduring service — not how to crowd out hand implements or one another.

This process of specialization need not mean economic or other kinds of isolation or barriers. Rather, by lessening exploitation, it will tend to promote mutual trust and respect. There can be no truly sound economic internationalism until there is mutual economic respect between the nations, founded on economic strength and a certain economic self-reliance in each nation, and a full recognition thereof by other nations. For anyone to fear that economic self-reliance in the prime essentials of life, — food clothing and housing, — will result in isolation seems to betoken an assumption that there is only a limited amount of materials, products, ideas and ideals which can be shared. That is not true of either individuals or nations.

To the author, the developments in India appear to be only a part of the growth of a whole new world order. To condemn Mr. Gandhi personally for his great part in it seems to evince a lack of appreciation that history is not mere static architecture built up in the past, but a present working process acting through groups and individuals. Whatever mistakes have been made by Western civilization will have to be paid for by the sufferings of its peoples, regardless of Mr. Gandhi and khaddar.

Moreover, as suggested in the chapter on Unemployment, the khaddar idea is a gift also to the West and may be utilized by its unemployed people in their own countries, spinning cotton, wool and flax, for their own use and for sale. Many Western farmers also are not prosperous and not always busy, and might well be able to reduce their expenses by raising flax or maintaining a few sheep and

It has been said by critics that although the khaddar programme may be temporarily useful in India, as a permanent affair it would be absurd. We doubt that assertion. Khaddar will always be useful to protect the economic security of the poorer groups of peasants, and as these groups are large, their economic stability is a matter of great importance to the nation. Furthermore, in all countries hand-spinning and hand-weaving are now carried on and homespun cloth is worn by a few wise artistic people. In all countries, hand-spinning and hand-weaving ought to be done by the poor as a means of economic self-insurance, at least until the conditions that compel involuntary poverty are abolished. If poverty is ever abolished, the number of wise artists will considerably increase, and so, I believe, will the production of individually spun yarn and hand-woven cloth increase. §

The last objection to be touched upon is that for ages in India spinning has been an occupation only of women, and that men consider it effeminate and undignified, and that therefore they cannot easily be induced to take it up. To a considerable extent this is true. But among shepherds, in both the plains and the hills of India, men regularly spin. The tremendous moral influence of Mr. Gandhi has been and will continue to be a powerful solvent of such old prejudices. If large numbers of the middle class and intelligentsia could learn to see the matter in a clearer light, their example would reinforce that of Mr. Gandhi.

Possibly some of the considerations set forth in this book may help toward a reconsideration of certain ideas of this sort. Manual labour, regarded as a mode of transformation of solar energy, is as dignified and fine a kind of work as that of a superintendent or engineer of a power house or factory. They are really only different modes of the same operation. The manual labourer has more to do with the actual creation of the physical power he uses and directs than the engineer has with the power which he uses

---

§ Certain other permanent values implicit in the khaddar programme are discussed in Chapters XIII and XV.



the expense. Again, if the cloth is dyed, the thickness of its texture causes it to absorb from two to three times more dye than lighter weight fabrics, thus increasing that expense also.

These difficulties are real and practical. They will not be met by asking such people to alter their standards of living. Except in times of political excitement only a few may do so, but the majority will be apt to refuse and will simply be alienated from the movement by such a demand. Such difficulties will be met only as improvements of technique and organization are made which will provide lighter weight and more durable khadi at cheaper prices. While that improvement is taking place, the situation offers an opportunity for such khadi centres as can now supply cheap light weight durable khadi to help both themselves and the movement by wider advertising of their stocks, especially in cities. At the same time, it is to be realized that these are not objections which seriously affect the vast mass of village people. Yet to the extent that the cooperation and assistance of middle class people is desired, efforts ought to be made to meet these objections promptly.

Still other critics maintain that it is economically wrong to ask people to buy khaddar when it is necessary to pay for it a higher price than for mill cloth. But the situation may be compared to that of the citizen of the United States who paid extra prices for iron and steel because his government imposed a customs tariff on such goods in order to "protect the infant industry" of the U. S. Steel Corporation. On the whole it seems as economically sound to pay a (cloth) tax in order to help revive an ancient industry which directly supplies a prime necessity of every person, and is capable of developing and distributing equably the real wealth of the country contained in its solar power, as it is to pay a governmental tax to help develop a relatively new industry whose products are not a prime necessity and whose profits and control are mostly in the hands of relatively few. The khaddar programme is a large scale effort to correct a national mistake, and it needs the assistance of all Indians.

## XI

### COMPARISON OF CHARKHA PROGRAMME WITH OTHER REFORM SCHEMES

Naturally, in a country so large as India and with so many and such complex social and economic problems, there are many schemes of reform and improvement, both in operation and on paper only. Their advocates are active, devoted and enthusiastic, and great good is being accomplished in many directions. The ferment of thought and activity betoken a renaissance similar to that which is taking place in many other parts of Asia.

While not decrying or wishing to detract from the efforts or accomplishments of a single one of these reforms, there seem to me to be certain advantages which the charkha movement possesses which deserve to be set forth in any careful examination of its validity.

Inasmuch as India is primarily an agricultural country, agricultural reform and improvement naturally receive first consideration by most people. India cannot be truly prosperous until her agriculture improves. Undoubtedly, it is less productive and more hampered than that of many countries. § As perhaps the greatest user of solar energy, agriculture is of enormous importance to every country.

There are various schemes of agricultural reform: co-operative agricultural loan organizations, farmers' co-operatives for all kinds of production and sale, co-operatives for amalgamation and redistribution of fragmented

---

§ Yet see *Intensive Farming in India* by John Kenny, formerly Director of Agriculture, Hyderabad, Deccan, Higginbothams, Ltd., Madras, 1922, p. 18; *Report on the Improvement of Indian Agriculture*, 1889, by Dr. Voelcker, Consulting Chemist to the Royal Agricultural Society of England, Eyre and Spottiswood, 1893, London; and Evidence of Dr. Wallick, Superintendent of East India Company's Botanical Garden at Calcutta, Aug. 13, 1832, before a Select Committee of the House of Commons (Vol. 11, Part I, p. 195, of the Report thereof).

and directs. The manual labourer, therefore, has perhaps even better ground for pride in his accomplishments than the engineer has for his.

It does not seem any more weak or undignified for a farmer to transform solar energy into cloth than into rice or *dal* or wheat. A farmer going to a mill town for work willingly takes a job in the spinning department of a cotton mill. Why not at home? Sheer prejudice. The hope of undermining it is stronger now than it used to be. And as for educated middle-class young men, if they have any imagination, the organization of the use of the sun-power of India is as mighty and thrilling a task as can be found anywhere in the world.

Of course the movement, like all others in the world, has its exaggerations, absurdities and mistakes. But these have been sufficiently played upon by the scoffers, so as not to require elaboration here. They do not affect its essential validity.

through that organization stimulating the adoption of best methods of dairying, use of hides, selection, breeding, feeding and care of cattle, all by methods adapted to Indian conditions, beliefs and customs. He formerly provided for the manufacture and sale practically at cost of a very simple, ingenious and effective water-lift to be applied to wells and operated by bullocks or buffaloes, but this project had to be abandoned for a number of reasons.

The khaddar programme, like the co-operative movement, involves a change in consumer's demand, but it differs in that it changes both the scale and nature of demand and the scale, nature and organization of production and supply also, and helps to create a better balance between production and consumption. Thus it improves the conditions and results of both production and distribution more fundamentally than does the co-operative movement.\*

Practically all forms of social and economic organization, in order to be successful, have to be indigenous to the civilization in which they are to grow. The khaddar movement, in spirit, method and tradition, is indigenous to India, and therefore has a great advantage over all reforms of Western origin.

Another sort of reform advocated is the adoption of modern power-driven machinery, that is, the industrialization of India as rapidly as possible. This might take the form of large city mills and factories or small "cottage" electrically-driven units of machinery.

Those who advocate industrialization of India do so on the ground that it is the only way to increase production of material wealth sufficiently to abolish poverty, and that with the abolition of poverty will come leisure for the masses and the opportunity for health, education and culture for all. Logically that would seem to be sound, but there are various factors such as greed, the desire for power, bewilderment due to the complexity of a machine civilization, and sundry conceptual and institutional survivals which step in to spoil that logic for the majority of mankind.

---

\* Details as to this are set forth in Chapters I and VIII.

areas, irrigation works, cow-breeding or cow-protection associations, selection of seeds, governmental agricultural education, etc.

Most of these are outgrowths of European conditions and experience, and call for forms of organization and methods of work and control which are new and foreign and difficult for Indian peasants to grasp and master. Most of them require special legislation or governmental financial or administrative aid. It is pointed out in *India in 1925-26*<sup>\*</sup> (p. 152) that co-operative societies seem to have had greater difficulties in developing and carrying on in those provinces where the land tenure of the cultivators is on a rental basis (Bihar, U. P., C. P., Bengal) than where the working farmer is a land owner (Punjab, Madras, Bombay). This is said to be because in the first named conditions tenants cannot give what is considered adequate security for loans. The khaddar movement has no such handicap. It is more simple and fundamental than legal rules for security of money lenders. It is actually stronger in Bengal and Bihar than in the Punjab or Bombay.

Irrigation works are exceedingly expensive and require months and years in construction before they become useful. Such characteristics delay and limit the usefulness of these projects in helping those who most need the help.

Consolidation of fragmented land holdings is slow, very complex, full of possibilities of injustice, hardship and discord, and usually requires special legislation. Its complexities are greater than they would be in the West because of survivals of the joint family system, the prevalence of heavy debt, and in certain instances various caste rules.

Although Mr. Gandhi stresses the use of the charkha ahead of agricultural reform, he is not blind or inactive as to the need of the latter. The three great agricultural needs in India are more water, better cattle and more manure. Mr. Gandhi is actively promoting one of these. He is acting as President of the Cow Service League, and

---

\* Edited by J. Coatsman, Director of Public Information, Government of India, Government Central Publication Branch, Calcutta.

trialism people get for a time more material wealth and comfort, but they tend to lose joy, wisdom, art and religion; they cut themselves off at the root.

If, on the other hand, the proposed industrialization were to come under socialism, it would still mean so vast and profound a disintegration and reforming of economic, social and religious life that the rate of recovery would be at least as slow and probably slower than that of Russia.

But no matter whether the proposed industrialization were controlled by capitalism or socialism, there would be an intermediate period of at least ten years during which there would probably be very slight rise, if any, in the standard of living of the peasants as a whole. For the peasants some provision must be made which will be more swift than industrialism of any kind to give them more income. Furthermore, neither capitalism nor socialism nor industrialism can prevent agriculture from being a part-time occupation, with idle time which the farmers could use to increase their income. Even if it were planned to electrify the entire country so that each peasant who wished could have an electrically driven charkha or other small productive machine in his house, such a scheme would require at least thirty years to put into effect under even the most favourable conditions and disregarding the stupendous problem of education involved. Why not let the peasants be earning with the simple hand-driven charkha until these proposed changes are made?†

Perhaps India will yield to the pressure of industrialism; but if it must come, it would be better to have it come gradually and let the mass of the people grow slowly into the new forms of social life and discipline. As we have already pointed out, the charkha is a machine and sunshine is a greater power resource than fuel. To use it is not backward and unprogressive, but the wisest step forward. What is most needed in India today is not more and expensive factories and mills, but the simplest and quickest utilization of the existing idle man-power.

† See *Economics of Khadi*, by Rajendra Prasad, Bihar Charkha Sangha, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, 1927.

If the proposed industrialization were to come under capitalism, it would mean control by bankers (Indian and foreign), a grossly uneven distribution of whatever wealth is created, endless haste, mechanism and complexity of living, urbanization, slums, class warfare, probable eventual wars with some other nation or nations, steadily increasing industrial unemployment, economic insecurity, any improvement of the condition of the masses being only like fattening cattle so that they may yield more milk and work for the masters, the masses becoming disciplined troops used for the commercial combat of their masters.†

Education and leisure are of slight value if they lack individual direction. Direction is given to them by personal poise, serenity, sense of proportion, simplicity, a unified conception of life, a wise inner attitude toward life and the world. It is the experience of all the thoroughly industrialized Western nations that capitalist industrialism destroys these things and thereby largely destroys the value of education and leisure; turning them, for the masses, into mere literacy, noisy, vicarious sport and "killing time". Whether that would also be the effect of industrialism under socialism, we do not yet know. It may be claimed that the spiritual strength of her culture will enable India to avoid such evils. But the fundamental principles of capitalism are divisive and therefore destructive of man's realization of the unitive assumptions of the spirit. To adopt capitalistic industrialism will involve a gradual abandonment of the spiritual realization of life. If you undertake to live and work under a certain system, the fundamental assumptions and conditions of that system will yield their characteristic results. Under capitalistic indus-

---

† This does not mean that bankers and industrialists, as persons, are inherently any worse than any other group. But the ideas by which they work do much harm. These men greatly desire power, and money and other forms of wealth do tend strongly to limit the imagination of their possessors, in regard to the lives and difficulties of others.

\* See chapters XII and XIII. Also B and S. Webb: *Decay of Capitalist Civilization*; R. H. Tawney: *The Acquisitive Society*; W. Sombart: *The Quintessence of Capitalism*. London.

made to happen in India. Like Russia prior to 1919, India is predominantly agricultural and illiterate, very poor, and ruled over by a bureaucracy not responsible to the people. But there are certain differences which operate, I believe, to prevent the Russian type of Communism from becoming effective in India. (1) The city industrial workers in India are constantly shifting and returning to their home villages and are, I believe, much less affected by the discipline of machine industry than were the Russian urban workers. (2) The entire social and economic system of India is more firmly knit and solidly established through the ages of Indian civilization than seems to have been the case in Russia. (3) Both the Indian masses and the majority of their leaders have not lost faith in their indigenous social and economic system so much as was the case in both Russia and China. (4) The temperament of the masses is predominantly gentle and pacific. Russian Communism insists upon strong faith in large-scale, highly centralized executive organization of national life, in the value of much large scale machinery, and in violence. All of these, are, I believe, foreign to the spirit of the Indian people as a whole, and therefore Communism is not likely to grow in India. There are trade unions, and Mr. Gandhi has fostered one union in Ahmedabad. He took an active personal part in one of its strikes and thereby did much to win it for the union. Trade union methods in India are different from those elsewhere, and may to some Westerners seem ineffective, but all that is a natural matter of evolution. The last census figures show that the total number of people employed by all industries in both British India and the Indian States combined is only 1,480,123. Comparing this with 107,000,000 agricultural workers indicates the slight extent to which the atmosphere necessary to Western forms of socialism can as yet exist among the people of India, even allowing for the growth of industrialism since 1921. If something akin to socialism comes it will have an indigenous Indian form.\*

---

\* In this connection it is interesting to note that the old Indian law-giver, Manu, condemned the ownership and operation of large machines by private individuals as a minor crime. (Manu XI. 63, 64, 66.)



"Cottage industries" are strongly backed by many. Usually government aid is requested for them. The name, as generally understood, applies mostly to the manufacture in the home, of articles of luxury or for which the demand is very limited. Obviously the khaddar programme is superior because of this fact. To those who urge the promotion of hand-weaving alone as a supplemental occupation, the answer is given by Mr. Gandhi in Appendix A.

Technical education is proposed, chiefly engineering and agriculture. But why educate boys to be engineers before there is a wide and steady need for their services? And those who talk of agricultural education are usually thinking in terms of tractors, artificial manures, large scale farms and plantations. Indian farmers are too poor to buy tractors, their land is in too small parcels to permit economic use of many Western methods, and it will take a long time for them to learn the value and ways of using expensive units such as tractors or good bulls co-operatively. The problem is in part a race with time, and there should be the least possible delay.

Compulsory universal education is advocated by many. Excellent as it is, it is a slow and very expensive process; nor is it a cure-all, as the United States has plainly shown. Furthermore, to be sound, it should be much more closely adapted to Indian life and civilization than it is at present. A whole new generation of differently trained teachers must grow up. Wholesale plastering of Western ideas and ideals onto an Indian mind will not do. Mere literacy is not the source of either wealth or wisdom. By all means let true education proceed as rapidly as possible. But economic strength is a pre-requisite to universal education.

During the winter of 1926-27 Mr. Saklatvala, the Communist M. P., visited India and roundly condemned Mr. Gandhi for not using his talents and influence over the masses to organize them after the fashion of European labour unions, and permeate them with Socialist and Communist ideas.

Offhand it would seem that India resembles Russia sufficiently so that what happened in Russia might also be

self-reliance. When attempting reforms and improvements among such people, the advance steps must be very small, easy of accomplishment, concrete, immediately productive of appreciable improved bodily welfare. It is like a man learning to walk again after a long melancholy sickness. Beginnings can be only very slow and small. A big task at first would be impossible, and the failure would only throw the patient into complete apathy and despair. But a few tiny triumphs are the right form of stimulus. Once growth is started and right conditions provided and maintained, then strength and betterment may soon increase and rapidly become normal. In respect to these psychological and moral conditions the charkha is superior to all other proposals.

To summarize : the charkha programme need not displace other efforts toward reform, but it seems to possess certain advantages which make one wonder whether it does not deserve the strongest emphasis among them all. These advantages are the closeness of its adaptation to the ingrained habits and modes of thought, action and institutions of the great majority of the people, its simplicity, its ability *immediately* to produce necessities, the relative ease of learning, its cheapness of installation and operation, the relative simplicity of organization required, its absence of need for any special legislation or Government aid of any sort, its ability to do without foreign capital or indeed of great capital from any source. It taps very great existing but unused sources of physical power and raw materials by simple, inexpensive means, requiring slight skill. It provides one elemental security and removes its provision from the field of profiteering. It does not need as much capital or education as either agriculture or sanitary reform. It is an indigenous industry. It tends immediately to develop the moral qualities of the people : hope, initiative, perseverance, self-reliance, self-respect. It needs a minimum of assistance from " educated " people.

The practice of spinning will lay the foundations, both economically and by reformation of individual and group habits for reforms of other kinds. As Mr. Gandhi wrote in *Young India*, Nov. 1, 1925 : " Round the charkha, that

Another improvement for which there is urgent need is public health work and sanitation of all kinds. But these things also are expensive. The difficulty is plainly set forth by Dr. Norman Leys M. B., D. P. H., in his book on *Kenya* (pp. 275 and 286).†

"Sanitation is not merely a matter of engineering. In the last resort it depends on personal acts and habits. And these in turn depend on the incomes people have. Our own urban poor were once the despair of sanitarians. . . . Our partial progress is due mainly to universal and compulsory education and to the rise in real wage rates between 1840 and 1900. . . . Malaria is fully as hard a problem. It can be prevented by destroying the breeding places of mosquitoes and by protecting people by mosquito nets. The first means constant labour in keeping streams and pools clear of the grass and weeds in which the larvae hide. But there is no money in the villages for either drainage or mosquito nets. . . . Similarly with ankylostomiasis (hook-worm). . . . Boots are the real remedy. . . . Sanitation in Kenya, in short, is a part of economics." That is the case also in India.

Mr. Gandhi fully recognizes the crying need of sanitary reform. From the beginning of his career in India he has urged this, and by simple, inexpensive methods in effect at his Sabarmati Ashram he shows how part of it can be done.

Many of the reform schemes seem to overlook the psychology of the Indian situation. Here is a peasantry that for about nine hundred years (since the Mohammedan conquest) have been a more or less oppressed and subject people; poverty-stricken, ridden by fevers and other diseases and occasional famine and during the last 100 years subject to annual unemployment on a huge scale. They are in general enfeebled in body (though this varies much between different provinces and districts), illiterate, intensely conservative, apathetic, disheartened, generally (up to the movement of 1930 anyhow), lacking in initiative and self-confidence and

† Hogarth Press, London, 1924. In accord see Mark, F. Boyd, M. D.: *Studies in Epidemiology of Malaria*—*American J. of Hygiene*<sup>c</sup> May, 1926. Baltimore, Md. U. S. A.

accurate and adequate yard-stick. It summarizes and measures all the other factors. In this respect he agrees with most economists, bankers and business men. The development of industrial engineering and sociology, however, has begun to raise some questions.

Suppose we think of capital as being the result of a previous expenditure of energy: human, animal, water-power or fuel. This is in fact the case. Also modern physics tells us that all matter is only a form of energy,—“frozen energy” as it were. So we might call our capital, whether in the form of money or buildings or equipment, a sort of locked-up energy. It is really a part of the ceaseless flow of solar energy which has been coming to the earth since the dawn of creation. And by enlarging our time sense so as to think of say 100 years as a unit, we can readily see that this temporary storage of energy in the fixed form of a textile mill is like only a little momentary obstruction or eddy or pool in the great stream of energy by which mankind lives. The accounting features of depreciation and obsolescence are partial recognitions of this fact.

Viewed from this standpoint, that is to say, measuring our costs in terms of energy expenditure instead of rupees or pounds sterling, it appears that a textile mill is a far more expensive method of making a yard of cloth than that of the charkha and hand-loom. The manufacture of all the steel girders, the boilers, engines, machinery, tools and other equipment of the mill requires the use of many thousands of horse-power of energy from coal, together with the proportional part of the energy of all the workmen in those factories and machine shops, the coal used in the railway and ocean transport of all that equipment to the place where the mill is built, and the energy of all the employees of the finished mill.

As compared with this, consider how little solar energy is expended by the men and women who make an equivalent amount of cloth by hand implements. Measured only by labour-units (man-hours) applied directly in the making of cloth, the mill may be 206 times more efficient than the charkha, but measured in horse-power hours and including

is amidst the people who have shed their idleness and who have understood the value of co-operation, a national servant would build up a programme of anti-malaria campaign, improved sanitation, settlement of village disputes, conservation and breeding of cattle, and hundreds of other beneficial activities. Wherever charkha work is fairly established, all such ameliorative activity is going on according to the capacity of the villagers and the workers concerned."

The khaddar programme is not a cure-all, but it does seem to be the most effective first step toward a renaissance of Indian economic life.

## XII

### MONEY PRICE CRITERIA

Mr. D. M. Amalsad, Textile Expert to the Government of Madras, states that, "Notwithstanding the large preliminary outlay required for a power-spinning factory, with current quotations for machinery, such a concern should be capable of producing yarns of 20s at a total cost of 11 annas a pound after making ample allowance for depreciation on machinery, buildings, insurance and other charges and after meeting the price of raw cotton. On the basis of a sale price of even Re. 1 per lb. as against Rs. 1-2-6 quoted in the yarn market at present, the mill will yield a dividend of 16 per cent on the capital invested."\* He then proceeds to argue that therefore the mill is better than the charkha, even though the same output of yarn may be secured by the investment of only 1/80th of the initial cost of the mill.

This means, then, that Mr. Amalsad judges the validity of a method of production of material goods by criteria of money prices and money profits. A reading of his pamphlet shows that though he admits the existence of other human needs to be met, yet money is to him the one indispensable,

---

\* Handloom Weaving in the Madras Presidency by D. M. Amalsad. Government Press, Madras, 1925, p. 18.

which though often imponderable, are essential to a stable civilization.

To realize why this is so, we must understand more clearly the nature of money itself. Money is a symbol or token of credit, that is to say, of human trust in relation to human needs and desires.\* A gold coin or a government note for ten rupees does its work because we all have faith that almost everyone will want it enough to be willing at any time to give us in exchange for it some of the things we desire. It is a symbol of purchasing power.

As a symbol, money is exceedingly useful and powerful in human affairs. All symbols are, psychologically, carriers of energy.† Credit or expectancy is itself a most potent energizer.‡ Trust or credit is necessary to all community life. Almost all notations or systems of symbols are of untold value to human thought and action; for example, words, arithmetical numbers and algebraic symbols.§ The subtle power of money credit has been one of the major causes and agencies for the immense developments of the past five hundred years in science, machinery, industry, transportation and commerce.

Yet despite all its power, the money symbol has many grave defects. I do not speak here of its abuses by those who are greedy of power; I speak only of the defects which largely make such abuses of power possible. Although money is a symbol of trust, it is capable of expressing only a small part of either the quality or extent of human trust. And when people try to express trust or recognition of service

---

\* H. D. Macleod — *Theory of Credit*, Longmans Green, London, 1893. Vol. I. pp. 75, 88, 90. Normally, when a person works he really earns not money coins but people's faith and trust in his ability, strength and character, and a claim on some commodities. The coins are only a partial symbol of this.

† W. A. White — *Mechanisms of Character Formation*, Macmillan, New York, 1920, pp. 113, 114, 333.

‡ S. A. Reeve — *Modern Economic Tendencies*, Dutton, New York, 1921, Chap. V.

§ A. N. Whitehead — *Introduction to Mathematics*, London and New York, pp. 61-63.

the energy used in making the buildings, machinery, engines and men and their operation, the charkha is certainly far more efficient and cheaper, per machine or per unit of cloth produced.

Inasmuch as world supplies of fuel energy are slowly increasing in cost, is it not time that economists began to measure economic activities in terms of energy units expended as well as in terms of money expended? If we believe in having a stable and permanent civilization, — one that will last at least a thousand years, — must we not begin to face the realities of the situation in terms of total fuel energy available to man-kind? Is it truly patriotic to live like spendthrifts on our capital of fuel energy, instead of on our annual income of solar energy?

Nor are energy units adequate for such measurements. In the West, efficiency is often confused with speed, so that people come to think that the shortness of time of an operation is an indication of its efficiency. They also frequently confuse mechanical efficiency, with economic efficiency. But time is not always an adequate measure of economic efficiency. To take an extreme example, a house may be taken down very rapidly by dynamite, but such a method might be economically very inefficient because of the destruction of many valuable materials and things in the house and neighbourhood by the explosion. So also, certain machines or factories which produce goods very rapidly may yet be economically inefficient because of their destruction of so many other individual and social values among the owners, employees and consumers. Economic values are probably too complex to be measured by any single unit or standard.

Granted that money is a well established and useful unit for measuring economic activities, nevertheless the results of such measurement do not contain all the economic truth. They must be supplemented by other standards of measurement, by other considerations. Money does *not* summarize all the important economic considerations. Not only are the energy elements imperfectly measured by money, but also the social, psychological, moral and aesthetic elements,

quantitatively measured. Lastly, money has come to be very harmful because so much of its manipulation and control has passed into private hands,—the great bankers such as Rothschild, J. P. Morgan & Co., and others.

Money, with all its power and defects, is the heart and essence of capitalism.\* It seems probable that large organization has been made possible and actual as much by the device of money credit as by the development of science. Probably through money control the empires of Babylon and Rome grew large, even though machinery was almost non-existent and transport was rude and telegraphs unknown. Money is both a means and a cause for the modern large organization of industry, commerce, transport, finance and government. Money is probably largely responsible for the organization of the wages system of labour which some people consider the essential characteristic of modern capitalism. In some of its aspects and functions money is precise and sound (i. e., as a symbol corresponds fairly well with the underlying reality), and is very useful to the poor as well as to the rich. But the defects of money,—its ambiguity, frequent lack of precision and of stability, its symbolic inadequacies and distortions from reality, its misuse in the form of interest, its misapplication to fields for which it is not adapted,—all these have been at least the permitting cause of vast evil and misery.

Indeed it may be said that in so far as capitalism is an arrangement in which money is taken as the preponderating symbol, standard and measure of values, it is an unscientific and demoralizing system based on inadequate, defective and sometimes false weights and measures. Those defects of the scheme of standards form perhaps the root vice of capitalism, for they so subtly and darkly distort all attempts at right use of the mechanism. Could physicists or chemists or engineers or carpenters get valid and lasting results in

---

\* J. M. Keynes—*The End of Laissez Faire*, Hogarth Press, London, 1928; W. Sombart—*The Jew and Modern Capitalism*, Fisher Unwin, London, 1913, pp. 160, 274.



only by means of money, the money acts mechanically like an axe to trim off and cripple the trust, and like a sieve to strain away the finer feelings associated with trust which give it quality and help sustain its existence. Secondly, money is imperfect because, as a measure of commodity values, it fluctuates so often and so much.\* Money is also defective because it fulfils so many different functions.† This ambiguity of function, and therefore of meaning, confuses people's minds, and therefore helps to make the money system easy to abuse and difficult to control. Money symbols have become harmful because people take the symbol as being the important thing, and forget the reality behind it. This has happened also with religious and some patriotic symbols. Human faith, trust and credit are the realities, of which money is only a symbol. Again, despite the fact that much the greatest part of money is now in the form of paper instruments of credit issued at the will of governments and bankers, money, because of its historical derivation from gold and other metals, is still treated, mistakenly, as a commodity, with a false idea of scarcity attached to it. As one result of this idea, the practice of exacting interest has developed, until interest is now an integral part of the modern money system, and has become an immense burden and harm.‡ So many people take part in this custom that its evil is not fully recognized. Money has become harmful furthermore because it has been so effective in some fields that people often try to use it to measure qualitative kinds of value which can be appreciated but cannot be

---

\* Irving Fisher — *The Money Illusion*, New York, 1928; Keynes. J. M. — *Monetary Reform*, London and New York, 1924.

† Namely, (a) a common medium of exchange; (b) a common measure by which the comparative values of those exchanges are estimated; (c) a standard for estimating the present value of a future act, payment or obligation; (d) a store of value; (e) an agency for transmitting value from place to place. Some of these functions deal with simple concrete things, and others with intangible and very complex ideas and judgments.

‡ S. A. Reeve — *Modern Economic Tendencies*, above cited; F. Soddy — *Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt*, Allen & Unwin, London, 1925.

implications of the khaddar movement,\* nor does he believe that these larger considerations are irrelevant to economics. As Mr. Gandhi so well said, "That economics is untrue which ignores or disregards moral values".† Such considerations have entered into all the discussion, though not explicitly. Considerations of space and simplicity forbade. It is the author's belief, however, that the humility and profound love of humanity found in Mr. Gandhi have given him a keener and more profound insight into the economic realities of the situation than could have been attained by any other man who has tackled the problem. Mr. Gandhi is one of the very great economic reformers of the world because he so earnestly believes and so steadily insists, and so constantly shows by his own example, that the real change required is a change of heart.‡ The rest is only an outward expression of that accomplishment.

---

\* See his articles: "The Morals of Machinery", *Current Thought*, Madras, Feb., 1926; "Aspects of Spiritual and Moral Beauty in Charkha and Khaddar", *Modern Review*, Calcutta, Nov., 1925. Also an article entitled "Khaddar" by Norah Richards in *Modern Review*, Calcutta, March, 1926.

† Presidential Address to National Congress at Belgaum, reprinted in *Young India*, Dec. 26, 1924.

‡ Cf. Lawrence Hyde—*The Learned Knife*, Gerald Howse, Ltd., London, 1928.

their realms of activity, if they used units of measurement and transmitters of meaning which were so variable, ambiguous, inadequate and often inappropriate? No set of inadequate and only partly true units of value can in the long run give true or fine results. No managers of such a going scheme, no matter, how wise or kind, could continue to get good and satisfactory results from it, excepting haphazard and as a sort of inevitable by-product of all human affairs. And probably no other socio-economic system, whether Socialism, Communism, Fascism, Anarchism, Co-operation, Guild Socialism, Syndicalism, "Industrial Democracy", Industrial Autocracy, or any other "ism" or "ocracy", which uses money with its present characteristics as its predominating measure or criterion of values could do well in the long run. Money is almost as insufficient a gauge of economic activities,—the material support of human life,—as a yard stick would be to evaluate a beautiful statue of the Buddha.

Such considerations are implicit in most of the chapters of this book. They enter into the matter of engineering aspects of khaddar, competition, savings of cost, and unemployment. But it is perhaps desirable to emphasize the point and make it explicit. Money alone is not an adequate measure of exchange values for the Indian peasant, partly for the above stated reasons and partly because of the peculiarities of his agricultural and social systems. To apply the money criterion rigidly results in an economic system which condemns him to idleness and India to decay. Let us therefore try to use more accurate and adequate valuations and measures, and thus help make economics more like a science, more useful and more worthy of trust and respect, and incidentally thereby increase our own wisdom.

In limiting this discussion to purely economic aspects, and using only economic and engineering terms, the author does not at all intend to suggest the desirability of a purely materialistic aim for India. He is not blind to the aesthetic, psychological, humanitarian, moral or spiritual aspects and

such, but against its abuses which are so much in evidence today?"

"I would unhesitatingly say 'yes'; but I would add that scientific truths and discoveries should first of all cease to be the mere instruments of greed. Then labourers will not be over-worked and machinery instead of becoming a hindrance will be a help. I am aiming, not at eradication of all machinery, but limitation."

Ramachandran said, "When logically argued out, that would seem to imply that all complicated power-driven machinery should go."

"It might have to go," admitted Gandhiji, "but I must make one thing clear. The supreme consideration is man. The machine should not tend to make atrophied the limbs of men. For instance, I would make intelligent exceptions. Take the case of the Singer Sewing Machine.

"But in that case," said Ramachandran, "there would have to be a factory for making these Singer Sewing Machines, and it would have to contain power-driven machinery of ordinary type."

"Yes," said Bapu, "but I am socialist enough to say that such factories should be nationalized, or State-controlled. They ought only to be working under the most attractive and ideal conditions, not for profit but for the benefit of humanity, love taking the place of greed as the motive. It is an alteration in the conditions of labour that I want. This mad rush for wealth must cease, and the labourer must be assured, not only of a living wage, but a daily task that is not a mere drudgery. ...The individual is the one supreme consideration. The saving of labour of the individual should be the object, and honest humanitarian considerations, and not greed, the motive. Thus, for instance, I would welcome any day a machine to straighten crooked spindles."

A renewal of the conversation was reported in *Young India* for Nov. 20, 1924, from which we take the following brief quotation. Ramachandran asked, "If you make an

## WHAT ABOUT MACHINERY ?

In a pamphlet entitled *Indian Home Rule* written in 1908, Mr. Gandhi said, "Machinery is the chief symbol of modern civilization: it represents a great sin." In the introduction to its 1921 edition he qualified his remarks on machinery as follows: "Still less am I trying to destroy all machinery and mills. It requires a higher simplicity and renunciation than the people are today prepared for."

In an article in *Young India* for January 19, 1921 he wrote, "I would not weep over the disappearance of machinery or consider it a calamity. But I have no design upon machinery as such. What I want to do at the present moment is to supplement the production of yarn and cloth through our mills, save the millions we send out of India, and distribute them in our cottages." In a conversation reported in *Young India* for Nov. 13, 1924, he said:

"What I object to is the craze for machinery, not machinery as such. The craze is for what they call labour-saving machinery. Men go on 'saving labour', till thousands are without work and thrown on the open streets to die of starvation. I want to save time and labour, not for a fraction of mankind, but for all. I want the concentration of wealth, not in the hands of a few, but in the hands of all. Today machinery merely helps a few to ride on the backs of millions. The impetus behind it all is not the philanthropy to save labour, but greed. It is against this constitution of things that I am fighting with all my might."

"Then, Bapuji,"\* said Ramachandran with eagerness, "you are fighting not against machinery as

---

\* Bapu, or Bapuji, meaning father, is a name for Mr. Gandhi used by members of his ashram at Sabarmati, and also by many others of his followers.

multiplication of wants and machinery contrived to supply them is taking the world a single step toward its goal . . . It (the charkha) does not seek to destroy all machinery but it does regulate its use and check its weedy growth. It uses machinery for the service of the poorest in their own cottages. The wheel is itself an exquisite piece of machinery."§

His attitude for all practical purposes, then, is that the use of machinery ought to be limited. It would seem that the kind of limitation and control which he has in mind would mean that the majority of all machines should be small, inexpensive, and adapted to family or individual use, and obtainable by all. Further, that large or expensive machinery (perhaps including generators of electric power) should be strictly limited in amount, perhaps owned by the State, and operated only under the careful control of the State for the welfare of society.\*

Inasmuch as these views have laid Mr. Gandhi open to much adverse criticism and even ridicule, and thereby raised doubts in some minds as to the validity of the rest of his economic thinking, it may be desirable to examine their possible reasonableness more closely.

It is indisputable that the modern extensive use of machinery depends upon ample supplies of power,—chiefly coal and oil. It is also indisputable that Western nations are facing a gradual decrease in their fuel supplies. Until the last few years there seemed to be real danger of exhaustion of the world's resources of coal and oil by the unrestrained expansion of industrialism. But the weight of authority now indicates that the likelihood of fuel exhaustion or even of great increases in costs is remote, because of recent improvements in the efficiency of firing methods, boilers, turbines and internal combustion engines and the

---

§ Cf. also two interviews with Mr. Gandhi on this subject reported in the *Manchester Guardian Weekly* for Oct. 16, 1931 and *The New Republic* (New York) for Jan 6, 1932.

\* The ancient Indian law-giver, Manu, forbade the ownership and operation of large machines by private individuals. (Manu xi, 63, 64, 66.)

exception of the Singer Sewing and your spindle, where would these exceptions end?"

"Gandhiji replied, "Just where they cease to help the individual and encroach upon his individuality."

His Presidential Address at the National Congress at Belgaum (reported in *Young India* for Dec. 26, 1924) contained this passage: "I wish, too, you would dismiss from your minds the views attributed to me about machinery. In the first instance, I am no more trying to present for national acceptance all my views on machinery, than I am presenting the whole of my belief in non-violence."

Again in *Young India* for November 5, 1925, he wrote, "Machinery has its place: it has come to stay. But it must not be allowed to displace the necessary human labour. An improved plough is a good thing. But if by some chance one man could plough up the whole of the land of India and control all the agricultural produce, and if the millions had no other occupation, they would starve, and being idle, they would become dunces, as many have already become. There is hourly danger of many more being reduced to that unenviable state. I would welcome every improvement in the cottage machine, but I know that it is criminal to displace the hand labour by the introduction of power-driven spindles unless one is at the same time ready to give millions of farmers some other occupation in their homes." In the same paper for September 17, 1925, he stated, "The movement of the spinning wheel is an organized attempt to displace machinery from that state of exclusiveness and exploitation and to place it in its proper state." In the issue of June 17, 1926, in reply to a correspondent's question, "Are you against all machinery?", he wrote, "My answer is emphatically, No. But I am against its indiscriminate multiplication. I refuse to be dazzled by the seeming triumph of machinery. I am uncompromisingly against all destructive machinery. But simple tools and instruments and such machinery as saves individual labour and lightens the burden of the millions of cottagers I should welcome."

Still more recently, in an article in *Young India* for March 12, 1927, he remarks, "I do not believe that

natural resources.\* The rapid cutting of Indian and Sinhalese forests are examples. So if increased industrialism comes to India under capitalistic control, it would be harmful to India's natural resources, including the workers themselves as the chief resource. Therefore, on that ground Mr. Gandhi's opposition to machinery would seem justifiable. If, on the other hand, India should become socialist, it may well be that Indian socialism would want to control and limit machinery for the sake of the welfare of the masses, much as Mr. Gandhi does, although he does not call himself a socialist. An Indian socialism might find it advisable, for reasons of climate or otherwise, to be much more restrained in the pace and extent of mechanization than Russia has been, for instance. A socialist government would want to create and maintain the soundest possible balance between industry and agriculture. For the reasons suggested in the above quotation from Mr. Fairgrieve, this would involve placing the major emphasis on agriculture, or in other words, limiting machinery. Hence, Mr. Gandhi's ideas in this regard would seem valid whether India stays capitalistic or becomes socialistic.

The common assumption is that since machines can move faster and use more power than a man, the use of power-driven machinery saves time and therefore can and does provide more leisure for mankind. As a matter of fact this is not so. All Europeans and Americans realize this when they go to a country which has not yet become industrialized. Railways, motor cars and telephones have not increased, but decreased our leisure. Tractors have not made more leisure for American farmers. Mining machinery has not given leisure to miners, but unemployment instead, a very different thing. Although hours of work in Western industry have been materially shortened, the remaining hours have been filled with demands and urgencies of many sorts.

---

\* See Stuart Chase—*The Tragedy of Waste*, Macmillan, New York, 1926; R. Austin Freeman—*Social Decay and Regeneration*, previously cited, pp. 90-96.



new chemical methods of making oil out of coal.\* Nevertheless, as James Fairgrieve in his "Geography and World Power", remarks (p.349):

"Stores of coal and petroleum are of the nature of capital which has been accumulated long ages past, and in using them we are not really accumulating energy at all; they are on a somewhat different footing from the energy which man makes his own, in almost the only way possible till 130 years ago, by eating food which has grown by the sun's energy within a few days or months of its consumption. The use of coal energy is something in the nature of an incident. In the midst of the changes which the Industrial revolution has brought, we are in danger of forgetting that it is an incident, and that solar radiation is the final source of by far the greatest amount of energy available on the earth's surface, and especially that vegetation now growing supplies the energy in the most convenient forms; culture of the soil, horticulture and arboriculture, whether it be the oldest trade or not, is certainly the most fundamental."

Aside from the question of fuel exhaustion, it seems fairly clear that capitalistic industrialism, by its lack of restraint, results in immense wastes and destruction of

---

\* See *Power Resources of the World*, London World Power, Conference, 1929; *The Coal Industry of the World* by H. M. Hoar, Trade Promotion Series 105, Bureau of Domestic and Foreign Commerce, U. S. Dept. of Commerce, 1931; Address by Dr. Herbert Levinstein, President of the British Society of Chemical Industry, reported in the London Times of July 16, 1930; W. T. Thom, Jr., — *Petroleum and Coal*, Princeton University Press, U. S. A., 1929; F. G. Tryon and L. Mann — *Mineral Resources for Future Populations*, being Chap. VIII of *Population Problems* edited by L. I. Dublin, Houghton, Mifflin & Co., Boston, U. S. A.; Preliminary Report of the Federal Oil Conservation Board, Sept. 1926, Govt. Printing Office, Washington, D. C.; article by James O. Lewis, late Chief of Petroleum Division, U. S. Bureau of Mines, in *The Literary Digest* (New York), Sept. 4, 1926; Anton Mohr — *The Oil War*, Martin Hopkinson, London 1926; Ludwell Denny — *We Fight for Oil* — Knopf, New York, 1928; *Coal in 1926*, by F. G. Tryon, O. E. Kiessling and L. Mann, United States Bureau of Mines, Washington, D. C., 1928.

travelling of the carried passenger — is mostly time wasted, it will be seen that the actual result of increased speed of locomotion is exactly the reverse of that which would have been anticipated. There is a curtailment of leisure and an increase in the amount of time wasted . . . .

A given mechanism having come into existence, lays down the conditions on which it will act. It does not adjust itself to human convenience but demands that human affairs be adjusted to mechanical necessities."

The time saved by the telephone, for example, is rarely used for cultural purposes, but rather to telephone to several other shops, offices or friends, or to go to a cinema show, or to take a ride in a motor car. Power-driven machinery provides many diversions and interruptions, but little leisure. For these reasons we see that in order to secure leisure we need, not a great deal of machinery, but a wise control and limitation of machinery.

Power-driven machinery is one mode of using solar energy. Handicrafts are another. Power-driven machinery uses more energy than handicrafts, but not necessarily for finer or higher purposes or with better aesthetic or moral results. After all, science itself by one of its most recent developments, — the theory of relativity, — teaches us that mere size or quantity or speed are only relative and nothing to be especially proud about. They are wholly a matter of the position or attitude or movement of the observer, and probably do not count as ultimate values.

Power-driven machinery has many defects as well as advantages. The list of them is formidable and too long to be given here.† As indicating a tendency to avoid or mitigate such evils, ideas as to the limitation of machinery may not be altogether mistaken.

---

† See R. A. Freeman — *Social Decay and Regeneration*, Constable, London, 1921; Stuart Chase — *Men and Machines*, Macmillan, New York, 1929; Edward J. O'Brien — *The Dance of the Machines*, Macaulay, New York, 1929; Bertrand Russell — *The Prospects of Industrial Civilization*, London.

As R. Austin Freeman points out\*: "There is in mechanism a certain illusory quality which is not sufficiently appreciated. Its tendency is to promise more than it performs.....The new mechanism is more efficient than the old, and the anticipated results are conceived in terms of a comparison of the efficiency of one with the efficiency of the other. The difference between the two efficiencies is the expected benefit. But in practice the new form, as soon as it has become established, proceeds to set up compensatory reactions which have not been allowed for, but by which the advance is largely cancelled. The new conditions have become the normal conditions, to which human life has become adjusted; the increased efficiency generates increased demands; equilibrium is re-established and the expected surplus or advantage disappears. . . .

"At the first coming of mechanical transport it must have seemed that a great economy of time was about to be effected, and a great increase made to the available leisure of mankind. For the new conditions would be seen, as we have said, in the old setting. The journeys thought of would be the old journeys, performed in a fraction of the former time with a free gift of the difference. The weekly trip to the market town would take an hour instead of a day; the rarer journey to London would take a day instead of a week. The difference would be all clear gain. Yet, after a century of mechanical locomotion at ever-increasing speed, we hear on all sides complaints of the hurry and bustle of modern life, of the strenuousness and lack of leisure in 'these days of high pressure', of the impossibility of rivalling the careful, patient work of 'the old, leisurely days', because 'we haven't the time'; and it is a fact, the correctness of which few will deny, that the modern man spends a greater portion of his life in getting from place to place than did the man of any former age. And since time spent in travelling—especially the

---

\* In his *Social Decay and Regeneration*, Constable, London, 1921. pp. 93-103.

grew. We do not yet see, and understand the full implications and results of machines and the machine technique. It is all cloudy and confused, on the one hand by our lack of clarity as to the limitations and meaning of science, and on the other hand by our fogginess in regard to capitalism and its associated set of motives and underlying psychological attitudes and assumptions. During the last fifteen years some of the limitations of science have begun to be understood,\* and the defects of capitalism have been partly exposed.† We are now beginning to realize that life and civilization involve many kinds of relationships, and that although science and capitalism try to assert that the most important of these relations are measurable and quantitative, this is not true. Both quantitative and qualitative relations are necessary and need to be well understood, but of the two, the qualitative relations are more powerful and more important. Even greed and selfishness will become weaker when the limitations of the measurable and quantitative aspects of life and the world are clearly understood. When we fully understand these ideas and their implications, we will no longer be slaves of them, but masters.

But all that clarification and understanding is only just beginning and will require years before it is completed and widely and firmly established. Until that day comes, power-driven machinery and capitalism will continue to be dangerous to the welfare and happiness of mankind as a whole.

In Stuart Chase's *Men and Machines*§, at pages 330 and 335 where he reaches his conclusions, he says, . . .  
 "As I study the schedules, I incline to the belief that

---

\* A. N. Whitehead—*Science and the Modern World*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1926; G. N. Lewis—*The Anatomy of Science*, Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, U. S. A., 1926; A. S. Eddington—*The Nature of the Physical World*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1929; Sir James Jeans—*The Mysterious Universe*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1930; J. W. N. Sullivan—*Aspects of Science*, Second Series, Collins, London, 1926, also his *Gallio, or The Tyranny of Science*, Kegan Paul, London, 1927.

† Cf. also Chap. XII and the discussion of industrialism in Chap. XL

§ Previously cited.

Limitation of machinery seems desirable to Mr. Gandhi on both economic and moral grounds. To that the Russian Communists would say that most of the evils involved in Western machine civilization are not the fault of the machine, but of capitalism which hitherto has controlled its development and use. Many people would agree with that view. They might add that the physical power working through machinery makes the evils of Western civilization greater, more widespread and more glaring, but that the intrinsic evil is in man, not in externals. It is the use of machines which is good or bad, and that use is determined by the ideas and motives of men. Mr. Gandhi, however, believes that power-driven machinery, like strong liquor lends itself more readily to evil than to good uses, and may therefore be called a harmful thing. It is true that machinery has a certain moral character, because it is a product of man's thought and work and desires, and the mere sight of it causes both intellectual and emotional reactions in every man. The complexities created by its use may contain more dangers for the poor and uneducated masses of mankind than for those who are more privileged, and it is about the poor that Mr. Gandhi is chiefly concerned.

Power-driven machines are the result of a long-continued attention and attitude of mind, — a combination of the ideas of science and the ideas of money measurements and valuations for most human activities. Science and money are alike in being attempts to measure everything quantitatively and to make the utmost use of the results of such measurement. § For example, mechanics and chemistry, — the means of all industrial processes, — are both based on quantitative relationships. So science and capitalism work together very easily. I think it is not correct to say that men are becoming slaves to machines. But I do think that men in industrialized countries are partial slaves to the ideas or confusion of ideas that lie behind and underneath power-driven machines and out of which machinery

---

§ Science is more than measurement but measurement is perhaps its most important tool.

of the economic life of each village, and the control of industry as well as politics by the masses through the disciplined use of organized mass non-violent resistance or Satyagraha. After such controls are firmly established, well practised, and fully understood by all groups in the nation, India can then perhaps safely consider the question of extending her use of selected big machinery, owned and operated by the village, municipality, province, or central government. If India wants more machinery and modern industry, it will bring great complexity into all her life, and simplicity and its fruits will be lost. If, nevertheless, she wants complexity, she will be wise first to develop popular control of economic resources and processes through disciplined mass Satyagraha. Mr. Gandhi certainly prefers economic simplicity, but his full programme also offers the surest and wisest preparation for complexity, if India prefers complexity. Until the control which he advocates has been secured, the limitation of machinery seems to be a reasonable plan, whose wisdom seems as probable as that of any other. Nor can it be said that this is only a reason for providing training in Satyagraha, but not a reason for advocating hand-spinning and hand-weaving. Economic self-support and self-reliance must go along with moral and political self-support and self-reliance. Soviet Russia established her controls before she began to expand her mechanization of production. Although the form and method of industrial and political control by the people as proposed by Mr. Gandhi is different from that of Russia, nevertheless India will be wise not only to emphasize the prior importance of establishing control by the masses, but also to establish suitable limitations or principles so that quantitative relationships (science, mechanization, or money) shall not grow so powerful as to starve the qualitative aspects and relationships of civilization. The establishment of such limitations would put India in a position sounder than that of Russia, the United States, or other industrialized countries. The realization of the superior importance of qualitative relationships must find concrete expression in methods of work and the organization of the daily economic life of

machinery has so far brought more misery than happiness into the world. . . . The machine of itself brings certain dangers and certain benefits. To my mind the latter outweigh the former. The machine as currently utilized brings a whole train of additional dangers with no corresponding benefits, save a possible expansion in the invention rate. When the two black lists are added together, the dangers outweigh the benefits. If, however, current usage can be modified to give the machine the maximum chance to prove its worth, the scale comes heavily down on the plus side." But Mr. Gandhi lives in a country which has felt so heavily the burden of supporting Western capitalistic industrialism, that perhaps he realizes more vividly than Mr. Chase some of the evil effects of machinery under current practice, and also has tested more fully than Mr. Chase the strength of the opposition to any change in current practice. Is it surprising, then, that Mr. Gandhi believes that machinery ought to be curbed? Machine industrialism has not yet run its course. We do not yet know the limits of technological unemployment§. We do not yet know whether Russia, after she has established a balance between industry and agriculture and has entered into freer trading relationships with other nations, can prevent unemployment by extensive or complete socialization. Can anyone safely be dogmatic about the value of complete mechanization?

The control of large machinery gives great power,—physical, economic, social and political. Those who advocate rapid industrialization for India are in effect advising Indians to adopt machinery first and take the chance that the control of it will not slip into the hands of those (whether Indian or foreign) who are eager to exploit them and use the power for selfish ends. Mr. Gandhi proposes, as I understand it, rather to secure a measure of economic independence for each peasant, largely autonomous control

---

§ That is, unemployment caused by the invention and use of new machines that automatically do much work formerly done by people, and thereby throw many people out of work. This development has been especially rapid in the United States during the last ten years.

secure a sound conservation of natural resources, and to secure a sound distribution of population so that there will not be too many or too large cities.

Of course nobody is so silly as to imagine that one man can put an end to machinery or industrialism, but nevertheless, history has often shown that one man may focus and express the inarticulate attitude of millions of his contemporaries and may voice the posture and tendency of otherwise unnoticed social or economic forces. It is conceivable that Mr. Gandhi, through an unusual intuitive sensitiveness, is expressing the peasants' instinctive recognition of the soundness of utilizing annual solar energy income to the utmost; or is stating an Asiatic preference in favour of a decentralized social life and culture and those things which make it possible; or is giving shape to a selective tendency in favour of some new type of integration of social and economic units which may promote a more organic wholeness among mankind. Presumably machinery will run its course in America, at least. Asia may not put an end to industrialism even in her own house, but she may divert its course or manner of action sufficiently to make it of more service to ultimate human values. Simple peasant conservatism is sometimes more profoundly wise than we realize.

Whatever modification or limitation thus may come about would presumably not be by moral or legislative fiat, but by the disciplined use of mass non-violent resistance to some of the harmful uses and effects of machinery, by the contemporaneous actual development and widespread use of other methods of transforming solar power and of distributing the results, by a clearer and more widespread realization of the limitations of science, and by corrections of the money system.

If the use of machinery or power ought to be controlled or restricted, it is difficult to see what principle to follow. To me the surest basis would seem to be on the assumption of some sort of a symbiosis or mutual support and aid between man and Nature, and the recognition of a far closer symbiosis between man and man than is implied in



the people. That is one of the values of the khaddar programme.

It is true that the inventions of machinery, scientific management (rationalization), and the various forms of paper instruments of credit have been steps toward the solution of the problem of poverty. But the moral part of that problem is deeper, more subtle, more difficult, and far more important. Until that moral part is solved we cannot secure control or make a wise integration or use of the material and intellectual inventions above mentioned. By reason of this moral failure, the countries which have whole-heartedly adopted those inventions still have great economic insecurity among the majority of their people, the contrasts and divisions between the wealthy and the poor are much greater (except in Russia), and in those countries (including Russia) the economic, social and political struggles are certainly as intense and bitter and as charged with anger as in other parts of the world. Indeed it may safely be said that those very inventions mentioned above have made the entire situation so much more confused and complex than formerly that the solution of the moral part of the matter has become vastly more difficult. Mr. Gandhi's full programme affords, I believe, the soundest approach to the wise use of machinery and to the more intricate and controlling moral part of the problem of poverty.

To sum up, there seem to be a number of reasons why machinery should be controlled or limited in some way, no matter whether the social regime be capitalism or socialism. These reasons are as follows: In order to secure an equitable distribution of products among the people,<sup>‡</sup> to secure to individuals and families adequate control over their own economic sustenance, to maintain a wise balance between agriculture and industry, to provide the conditions for a strong realization of the superior importance of qualitative relationships over quantitative relationships, to provide the leisure and psychological conditions for the widespread exercise of those qualitative relationships, to

---

<sup>‡</sup> Cf. the quotation from Sjt. C. Rajagopalachariar in Chap. IV.

"A permanent civilization must learn to balance its energy budget, to collect each year from the inexhaustible sources of water, wind and sun as much power as it expends."§

It may well be that the great stability of the civilizations of China and India has rested upon their closer approximation to such a balance of energy resources or to a symbiosis with Nature than there was or is in the case of other civilizations, together probably with their decentralized, small-scale, loosely integrated economic and social organizations. Even in matters of economics Europe and America may yet find that they have much to learn from Asia.†

#### XIV

### THE POWER OF AN AGRICULTURAL CIVILIZATION

The first part of this chapter is mostly figures; the second part tells what they mean.

The Statistical Abstract for British India for 1930 states that the net area actually sown to crops in British India is 223,862,226 acres. But if Indian agriculture were in an advanced state, this area would be much larger. To this area we would add the remaining land capable of cultivation, as well as the forests, in order to get the total possible productiveness of vegetation. Using the figures of that Statistical Abstract, the net area sown, plus the forest area, plus cultivable waste other than fallow but with an estimated deduction for fallow proportionate to current practice, amounts to 448,324,610 acres in British India. Let us call this the potential agricultural area of British India, including forestry as well as farming under the term "agricultural". This last figure plus an estimated corresponding area for the Indian States amounts to 489,324,610 acres for continental

---

§ Styon and Mann, Chap. VIII of *Population Problems* ed. by Dublin, above cited.

† See F. H. King—*Farmers of Forty Centuries*, Harcourt, Brace & Co., New York, 1927.

capitalism. This may of course be stated in purely moral or spiritual terms also. Machinery and power must be subordinated to the true welfare of humanity. Such a concept would involve dropping the idea of man's "conflict with Nature", of his "conquest of Nature", and developing instead an active belief in an actual unity and harmony with Nature and matter, and between men of all nations. Such an idea is quite acceptable to Indian thought, however strange or absurd it may seem to Western readers who have not followed closely the most recent developments of science. §

It is because of this failure in symbiosis or of balancing energy accounts that machinery, with its unrestrained use of stored-up power and other natural resources, may be said, in terms understandable in the West, to "represent a great sin" (mistake), as Mr. Gandhi has said. ‡ The creation of unemployment in both England and India by machinery and the actually greater inefficiency of power-driven machinery in terms of total units of solar energy consumed (as explained in the foregoing chapters) may also represent mistakes.

"What is, humanly speaking, profitable may involve a dead natural loss, and this loss may inflict great injury to the community or the race as a whole in the long run. In the interests of the solidarity of the race itself, man has his obligations to Nature as the matrix of the community, and such obligations involve the social use of the gifts of the earth (*munera terrae*) and socialized satisfactions, which alone can satisfy the lofty ideal of communalism, — the participation of every man in the common inheritance of the earth and the fruits of humanity." \*

§ See A. N. Whitehead — *Science and the Modern World*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1926, and J. C. Bose — *Plant Autographs and Their Revelations*, Longmans Green, London, 1927.

‡ In this connection it is interesting to note that in the original Greek of the Christian New Testament, the word which in the English version has been translated as "sin" meant literally "to miss the mark", or "to err".

\* R. Mukerjee — *Principles of Comparative Economics*, P. S. King & Son, London, 1921, Vol. I, p. 88 et seq.

turbines (whether steam, water power, oil or petrol motors) used in manufactures, in all motor cars and land and water transportation of all kinds, mines, agriculture, electric power plants and irrigation. The United States Government Census tells us that in 1927 the total prime movers in manufacturing in the United States had a rated capacity of 38,825,681 horse-power. According to the latest (1924) census of production in Great Britain, the total specified capacity of all prime movers in the factories and plants of that country in 1924 was 11,037,000 horse-power.

Comparing the above sets of figures, we find that the solar energy convertible into vegetation on the actually sown lands in British India is just a little less than the total of all prime movers in the United States in 1923; about 17 times greater than the total power used in all the manufacturing industries of the United States in 1927; and about 59 times greater than the total power used in the industries of Great Britain. Also we find that the solar energy convertible into vegetation on all the potential agricultural land of British India is about twice that of all the prime movers in the United States; about 33 times the total manufacturing power of the United States; and about 118 times the total manufacturing power of Great Britain. As a final comparison, the solar energy convertible into vegetation on all the potential agricultural land of continental India is over twice the power of all the prime movers in the United States; about 36 times greater than the total manufacturing power of the United States; and about 129 times the total manufacturing power of Great Britain.

Let us see what this might mean to the individual farmer. Apply the figure of 0.6 horse-power of solar energy per square yard, and one per cent efficiency of conversion by plants, to a little ten-acre farm. We find that its proprietor is in charge of a solar power plant with an average power income of 290 horse-power available for transformation into vegetation, assuming adequate water supply. The wealth is there if means can be devised for taking it. The energy available to a three-acre farmer would be 87 horse-power.

India. This we may call the potential agricultural area of continental India.

We learned in Chapter II that the solar energy falling on each square yard of the earth's surface is, on the average, 0.6 horse-power. Various researches\* have shown that plants are able to transform and use only a small percentage of the solar energy received by them. The different experiments gave figures ranging from 0.6 per cent to 7.4 per cent. Let us take 1 per cent as a conservative figure, for the scientists are not yet agreed on the amount. That 1 per cent is the rate of possible conversion of solar energy into vegetation. Remembering that there are 4,840 square yards in an acre, let us multiply each of the above area figures by 4,840 and then by 0.6 and then take 1 per cent of the result. By so doing we find that the total solar energy convertible into vegetation on the actually sown area of British India is 649,495,904 horse-power. The total solar energy convertible into vegetation on the potential agricultural area of British India is 1,301,928,667 horse-power; while the total solar energy convertible into vegetation on the potential agricultural area of continental India is 1,420,998,667 horse-power. In making these estimates we are disregarding deficiencies of water, lack of fertilizer, defects of practice, etc. We are trying to find the amount of energy available if everyone were heart and soul determined to get it so that they removed all obstacles.

How stupendous these figures are is shown by comparing them with the power of the engines used in two of the leading industrial countries of the world. The United States develops and uses much more physical power than any other country in the world. The United States Geological Survey, an official government organization, estimates that all the "prime movers" in United States in 1923 developed 662,600,000 horse-power.† This includes all the engines and

---

\* See J. C. Bose—*Physiology of Photosynthesis*, Longmans Green, 1924; Walter Styles—*Photosynthesis*, Longmans Green, 1925; H. A. Spoehr—*Photosynthesis*, Chemical Catalogue Co., Inc., New York, 1926.

† See *Men and Machines* by Stuart Chase, Macmillan, New York, 1928, p. 92.

agricultural reforms stands out more clearly. We see how great an interference with the productiveness of the country comes from landlordism and heavy land taxes. When the great bankers and political rulers of Britain and United States determined to abandon their support of agriculture, and instead to devote the national energies to industry and foreign trade, they made a mistake. France has been wiser in keeping a better balance between agriculture and industry. A sound balance between industry and agriculture would lay the emphasis on agriculture, would give it the favored place in financial assistance, in education, in economic, political and social esteem. These facts should be a stimulus and encouragement to agricultural experts and to those who are working for the economic and social improvement of villages and rural tracts. Professor Soddy, from whose book we quoted before, said that "Progress may be regarded as a successive mastery and control over sources of energy ever nearer the original source." That original source is the sun. We have always known that agricultural civilizations are stable. In view of the foregoing facts we now see that an intelligent agricultural civilization is not "backward" but is wisely progressive. Consider Denmark, for example. There is reason to believe that, under the influence of science chained to capitalism, the material social environment in Western industrialized countries is perhaps changing so rapidly that not only is the stability of society threatened, but also it becomes impossible to understand how the changes will act; that is to say, impossible to use foresight.\* Developments under such conditions are not progress but an uncontrolled blind movement that may end in destruction. If power is to be useful to mankind, it must not be just an eruption and rushing storm, but it must be understood and under some sort of wise direction and control.

---

\* See *Business Adrift* by Wallace B. Donham, Dean of Harvard Business School, with introduction by Prof. Alfred N. Whitehead, McGraw Hill Publ. Co., New York, 1931.

In the light of these figures it would seem that, contrary to the prevailing assumptions, agriculture is far more important than power-machine manufacturing, as a source of wealth. Solar energy exceeds energy from coal, oil and water power in almost every country. Also solar energy is annual income, but the energy from coal and oil is in the nature of capital and when used can never be replaced. Agriculture is more important not only to the human race and to the Indian nation as a whole, but also to the individual common man.

From plants and trees come directly or indirectly all our food and all our clothing, much of the heat used for cooking in India and other countries also, some of the heat used in small manufacture in such countries, an important part of the material used for buildings and furniture and many appliances, and the fibres used for ropes, twine, sacks, parcel coverings, baskets, boxes, and paper of all kinds. With the modern developments of the chemistry of cellulose, there is an immense range of new products which can be made from vegetation as the raw material. If wealth consists of the physical requisites of life, then agriculture supplies a very large portion of our wealth. This is perhaps more true for tropical nations than for those in temperate climes.

The agricultural landlords of many countries have an inkling of the meaning of the foregoing figures, though not expressed in those terms. So also do those nations who desire to use the tropics chiefly as markets and sources of raw material. It is perhaps the major material task of the common people of each country to take and keep this power for themselves, free from overlordship or alien control of any kind, and to develop it to the uttermost.

Our financiers and industrialists have been apparently misled by the somewhat spectacular results of industry, and have overlooked the greater though less showy possibilities of agriculture. It would seem that the peasant is not so stupid as many city people seem to think. We are thus given grounds for believing that village life is more important than city life,—closer to the great sources of material power. Farming appears to be the most important branch of engineering. The value of irrigation works and of all

and so capable of overstimulation and manipulation for the purposes of financiers. Work and workmen do not become so highly specialized. The obvious source of power for hand-power industry is the same as that for agriculture,—namely the sun,—and therefore industrial workers and farmers are not separated into distinct classes, but both feel themselves to be engineers in the use of solar power. This sense of economic solidarity tends toward economic and political peace and understanding. This sense of solidarity would also make it possible for the masses to gain a sense of their value and relative power in the community. They could not so readily be divided into quarrelling factions for the benefit of those who might like to rule them.

One reason for the increase of industry at the expense of agriculture in the West is because of money methods of measuring and valuing all activities. If money measurements are the sole standard, then in order to make big profits you must cut down costs as much as possible. Wages are in many industries and in agriculture a large item of cost. So the tendency is to introduce, if possible, a machine to do the work of many men, more quickly than they can. Or in accounting terms, you try to cut down the man-hours of work. Man-hours are units of work, used primarily as the basis of calculating wages. So efficiency tends to be measured by the unit of man-hours. Machines reduce both the number of men and the number of hours on a particular piece of work. The men thus displaced from agriculture, by the introduction of machinery into agriculture, have to go to the cities to find industrial work. So industries get the so-called "benefit" of many men competing for work, and wages there stay low.

But there is a mistake in this thinking. Since farming is a transformation of solar energy, and that energy is measured as so much per unit of area on the earth, agricultural efficiency of production ought to be measured as so much *per acre* rather than so much *per worker*. It is general experience, outside of temperate arid regions anyhow, that intelligent intensive farming produces more per acre than large-scale machine farming. Intensive farming is best



## ADVANTAGES OVER WESTERN INDUSTRIALISM

Having discussed the khaddar programme in detail from many points of view, let us now re-consider certain aspects of it and of Western industrialism in order to realize certain implications which are not apparent at first sight.

In Chapter V we saw that hand-driven processes imply small-scale production and distribution, — that is, economic life organized mostly in villages and small towns, with the major emphasis on agriculture. What would be some of the results of such an organization of life?

It would restore and maintain a sound balance between production and consumption. The productiveness of power-driven machinery is so immense that, under capitalism at any rate, a vast and far-flung market must be available to consume it all. When markets are hundreds or thousands of miles from the producer, it becomes very difficult for him to keep accurately informed of the extent of demand and of all the influences that affect demand. Presently the defects of money and banking tend to create more of such ignorance and also probably suspicion and resentments. Presently, in hope of greater profits, production is increased beyond the capacity of markets to absorb it, and then comes depression and unemployment among the producers. It happens in recurring cycles. But if the majority of consumers are in the same village with the producers, such a lack of balance does not develop.

There tends also to be a sounder balance between industry and agriculture. Each village and province looks after most of its own needs. Enough industries grow up in each district to supply most local needs, but the rate of hand-power production prevents over-expansion. I venture to say that the present extremity of poverty of such cultures in the tropics is due not to the slow rate of power production by hand, but to restrictive land laws, usury, and other political, economic, hygienic and social errors. If markets are local, industry does not become so highly developed

subordinate is situated in some distant place. Hence, the men at the top of any large organization, whether industrial, commercial, financial, educational, or political, are inevitably kept ignorant of the real condition of affairs at the bottom or in the remote divisions of their organization. Direct appeals from the bottom to the top are difficult at best and if made are usually disregarded because of bias of various kinds and for "lack of time". The larger the organization, or the more widespread geographically its operations are, the more infrequent, hasty and superficial any direct personal inspection can be. Inspections themselves in a big organization, become a source of mistaken criticisms, unjust decisions and falsities of many kinds. These tendencies are found in the United States Government, the British Empire, the Standard Oil Company, the P. & O. Steamship Company, or any other large organization. They are sometimes less active during the early period of formation and active growth of an organization, or when it is led by some rarely intelligent, fine and powerful person, but the prevailing tendency is as above described.

The combination of great size of organization together with the motive of money profit tends to create a sort of moral irresponsibility and divisions between people. In a large organization, duties usually become standardized, mechanized and rigidly limited. One becomes a cog in a vast machine. Money and money credit are very powerful influences and operate fairly freely at a distance. But they operate to exclude many human considerations. And when combined with the great complexity and ignorances involved in large organizations, money tends to create irresponsibility for important qualities and qualitative relationships. Responsibilities that can be measured and expressed in quantitative terms,—money or units of product,—are scrupulously carried out most of the time, but those of a qualitative and more human character tend to be obscured and abandoned. The shareholder in the United States Steel Corporation, for example, ceases to feel responsible for the wages and working conditions of its employees. The managers and manual workers lose human contact with each other, and class distinctions grow

done by small units. This means small farm and village life. But such farming requires much hand work and therefore many workers. Thus it tends to keep a sounder balance between the number of workers employed in agriculture and the number employed in industry. Hence, intensive small-scale farming (village life) proves to be in agreement with fundamental engineering concepts applied to agriculture. A man-hour appears to be not a sound unit for measuring agricultural efficiency. Paying too much attention to money profits and money measurements interferes with both the amount of goods produced and the sound balance of national activities. On the other hand, the realization that solar energy is the ultimate source of all physical power, when followed out to its implications in both industry and agriculture leads to a sound balance between the two.

Vast organizations such as exist in modern Western political, industrial and commercial life, at least under the regime of money profits, inevitably result in ignorance by those at the top in regard to the conditions of those at the bottom or a long distance away. It is impossible for the manager, say of a big soap manufacturing company in England, to know how his decisions will affect a Negro employee in Africa gathering cocoanuts, the oil of which will be used for making soap. Even if the manager in England does not attempt to issue orders directly in regard to wages and working conditions of that Negro, the financial policies of the manager will affect the Negro very deeply.

Suppose the manager of some very large organization requests a report from a subordinate official. The subordinate official will naturally not put into his report anything which would seem to criticize the men at the top, for he depends on their favour for his position and possible promotion. Also he will not adversely criticize his subordinates, for upon their loyalty and co-operation all his work depends. Nor will he criticize himself. But since all men err, his report thus tends to falsify the conditions by overlooking the errors of three groups of people. This is natural and does not imply any intentional wickedness on the part of any of the people involved. It is especially apt to occur if the

peasants,† their singing at their work is in striking contrast to the prevalent silence of the Western industrial worker.† If small-scale organization of the producing and consuming activities of life results in happiness, that is a strong point in favour of smallness.

It may well be that large-scale organization of some activities cannot be avoided in the conditions of the modern world. But the integration of small units into a large whole can be much looser than it usually is in the West, provided the small units are largely self-supporting. Indeed, we may discover that the only way to make large organization permanent, at least until society gets upon a non-violent basis, is to make the functions of the centre almost entirely informational or educational, leaving the actual decision of policies and their working out in the hands of local units. The central organization may provide information of many kinds and the means of exchange, leaving the rest to local units. One of the reasons for the closeness and rigidity of organization of Western governments is that they are all based upon force in the last analysis. If they were not so militaristic and so controlled by finance, the organization could be much looser.

Complete standardization and uniformity may be efficient mechanically, but it is not efficient in human affairs. Variety in such matters is healthy. There is reason to believe that the various movements for "self-determination" by small groups in Europe since the War,—e.g., the Irish revival, the struggle between Walloons and Flemings in Belgium,—are a revolt against standardization too wide-spread and rigid for human comfort.

We need to study this problem of human organization much more carefully, although much already is known but not widely used.\* All organization tends to petrify, and the

† Cf. Bertrand Russell—*The Problem of China*, London and New York.

\* See Graham Wallas—*The Great Society and Human Nature in Politics*; R. H. Tawney—*The Acquisitive Society*, Bell, London, 1926; *Essay on Trusts and Efficiency in Business a Profession* by L. D. Brandeis; R. Mukerjee—*Democracies of the East*, P. S. King, London; *Modern Industry and the Individual* by Robert B. Wolf, A. W. Shaw Co., Chicago; three books by

up. The political irresponsibility noted by many observers of modern democracy is due, I believe, not to democracy, but to large-scale organization. But in a village or other small organization the relationships are more personal, qualitative and psychologically more complete; and while responsibilities are not measurably large, they are humanly real.

For these reasons large, rigid, executive organizations usually have an increasing amount of inherent difficulties. This is especially true where the control is largely financial. The narrowed imagination and ignorance at the top, together with the use of pressure to "get results" must cause injustice. Injustice breeds resentment, and when that goes on long enough, grave human inefficiencies develop. Then when some strain or struggle from the outside appears there is apt to be a break-down in the organization. Great size itself is a peril. Large executive organizations tend to become oppressive and violent. Large organizations seem always to develop a bureaucracy of permanent administrators. These men develop a professional, "know-it-all" attitude and a vested interest which sooner or later conflicts with the general good.\* Great size of organization no doubt permits certain mechanical efficiencies and large production and large financial profits to a restricted group, but these rarely last long. The primeval mastodons and other huge creatures were certainly very powerful, but their excessive size proved to be their undoing in the march of evolution. The best size is not always the largest.

For reasons such as these it appears that in most human affairs small scale organization is best. It permits understanding and psychological comfort. Professor Graham Wallas of London University has made this very clear in his book "The Great Society".† Widespread and persistent individual and group happiness is a sure by-product and proof of sound functioning. Despite the poverty of Indian

\* See *How Britain is Governed* by Ramsay Muir, Constable, London, 1930.

† See Appendix F. Also see testimony of Daniel Willard, President of Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, cited in the *New Republic*, New York, for Feb. 18, 1931.

village life in India suffers grievously from usury and land laws that are seriously restricting, but nevertheless village life does permit an amount and kind of real trust among the villagers which is not to be found in cities. Villagers are not obsessed with money valuations to the same degree as are city persons.

Lastly, it is well-known that hand work does give to the worker both physiological and psychological satisfactions which are often absent from the machine tender and the brain worker.\* Some of this may be possible in machine factory work† but it is rare, and capitalistic control of industry tends strongly to prevent it.

From this review we see that hand-power appliances and small-scale organization of economic, social and political life tend to remedy the following evils of modern capitalistic machine civilization :

1. The predominance of money and quantitative valuations of all things and affairs of life, and hence of control by financiers;
2. A strong over-emphasis of industry as against agriculture;
3. Economic, social and legal injustice due largely to great size of organization of many kinds;
4. Periodic financial, commercial and industrial depressions;
5. Constant and increasing industrial unemployment;
6. Class conflicts;
7. Psychological insecurity, lack of poise and unhappiness of the masses.

If to the advantages of small size organization we could add belief and disciplined skill in the use of non-violent resistance and non-co-operation for the righting of

---

\* See T. Veblen—*Instinct of Workmanship*, New York: Helen Marot—*Creative Impulse in Industry*, Dutton, New York, 1918; Arthur Pound—*The Iron Man in Industry*, Atlantic Monthly Press, Boston, U. S. A., 1922.

† See Robert B. Wolf above cited, also his *Creative Workman*, Proceedings of International Conference of Women Physicians, New York, 1920.

retention of the self-reliance, freedom and activity of small units is the only way such hardening and death can be prevented.

Large organizations become very expensive to administer. They tend to try to increase their power and the size of their staffs. This expensiveness is shown by the heavy taxes under governments of large countries. It is also shown by the high cost of living in industrialized countries. Big industrial and commercial organizations with their vast markets require much long and expensive transportation of goods and an immense number of middlemen, — wholesalers, brokers, retailers, insurance men, transport workers of all sorts, clerks, engineers, travelling salesmen, advertisers, credit men, etc. All this great army of middle-men has to be supported by the difference between manufacturing costs and retail prices. Much commerce takes place not because a given district is unable to produce the stuff itself, but merely in order to provide work for the transport companies, or for foreign industries, who are powerful enough to strangle local manufacture. Even where modern industrialism does provide certain articles at low prices, it complicates life and creates so many desires for non-essentials that the total cost of living is much raised. Even co-operatives cannot reduce prices much. Village and district manufacture and consumption, however, greatly reduce most of these expenses.

To the extent that money is a symbol for trust as stated in Chapter XII, a type of organization which makes real trust easy and natural would help to eliminate many of the evils of our money system. Small communities, such as villages, which are mostly self-sufficing in regard at least to their chief economic needs, tend to be that type of organization. When you see a fairly small group of the same people every day and know all their work and lives from direct personal experience, your estimate of their ability and trustworthiness is, as a rule, much closer to reality than it can be in very large organizations. Of course it is true that

---

Henry Ford, previously cited: *Decentralized Operations and Responsibilities with Co-ordinated Control*, by D. Brown, a paper before American Management Association, New York, Feb. 1927.

need to be re-adapted to present conditions and need to be cleansed of mistakes that have grown up around them. Nevertheless their essential nature is sound.

## CONCLUSION

As has been seen, I consider the khaddar movement as only one part of a world-wide change affecting the methods, organization and purposes of industrialism. It is not a fantastic aberration of an Indian dreamer, nor an attempted reversion to obsolete and wasteful economic processes, nor a revengeful economic attack upon the West, nor any less "realistic" than other economic movements now in progress in Russia, Japan, Turkey, China, Afghanistan and other parts of Asia. It is a mode of increasing the use of solar power, from the current source of supply instead of from the stored sources of coal and petroleum. In this respect it is similar to the industrial movement in the West. For reasons given, I believe that the supporters of the movement may be confident that they are in step with the spirit of the age, despite the appearances which so readily confuse the Western eye.

Mr. Bertrand Russell has indicated his belief that industrialism has begun to remove the weight of terrible fears which have oppressed mankind since the dawn of history, and is giving man a new sense of mastery over his environment and life and therewith a new conception of knowledge and a new attitude toward life itself.\* In so far as the charkha is also a method of utilizing more solar energy and of distributing the resulting wealth more equably, it may in its own way bring about some of the same results.

Each country, for reasons of climate, geographical features, history, customs, etc., has its own peculiar and perhaps best (for it) ways of utilizing solar power (coal, oil, wood, water-power, wind, animal and human power) and its own balance between utilizations of stored and current forms of such energy, and between fixed and

---

\* "The New Life that is America's" —*New York Times Magazine*, May 22, 1927.



social, economic and political wrongs, and a thorough reform in the money system and in the land laws, we could reasonably expect soon to create a far more intelligent, more joyful, and more beautiful civilization than any that exists in the world today.

Most educated people say that modern means of rapid communication and transportation, together with the immensely powerful modern industry and commerce, have greatly increased the unity of the world by making contacts between men and nations so much more frequent and easy. From this it is implied that all modern appliances and methods should be adopted, because of course world unity is a great and fine ideal. The fact of frequent contact and closer association cannot be denied, but the increase of actual human unity may be doubted. So large a part of such contacts are for the purpose of making money; so much of the money-making process is oppressive and often violent; and the attitude of those who are materially more powerful is usually so proud and inconsiderate, that the spirit and psychological result of these contacts is not unifying. There can be a spiritual and partial ethical unity among all mankind, but the inescapable conditions of life in different parts of the world are so varied, and the capacities of human beings are so infinitely rich and diverse that this unity cannot become a uniformity or standardization. In different regions there must be different types of organization, different methods of work, different modes of association and of living, different economic systems.

Other books describe the economic advantages of Western civilization and the defects of Indian civilization. But the facts are not so one-sided, as I have attempted to show. The indigenous Indian forms of organization and modes of thought and action have many great economic as well as cultural virtues and advantages. They should be thoroughly understood, utilized and valued at their full worth. They are an organic growth out of the immutable geography, soil and climate of India, out of the history, customs, genius and soul of the Indian people. As such they have greater vitality than anything imported from other countries. No doubt they

## APPENDIX A

### CHARKHA AS THE ONLY COTTAGE INDUSTRY\*

#### I

"In order to understand properly what the Charkha movement means, one must first have a clear idea of all that it does not mean. For instance, handspinning does not, — it is not intended that it should, — compete with, in order to displace, any existing type of industry; it does not aim at withdrawing a single able-bodied person, who can otherwise find a more remunerative occupation, from his work. To compare, therefore, the remuneration that handspinning offers with the earnings offered by any other occupation, to measure its economic value in terms of returns and dividends can only serve to mislead. In a word, hand spinning does not claim to satisfy the economics of 'getting rich'. The sole claim advanced on its behalf is that it alone offers an immediate, practicable, and permanent solution of that problem of problems that confronts India, viz., the enforced idleness for nearly six months in the year of an overwhelming majority of India's population, owing to lack of a suitable supplementary occupation to agriculture and the chronic starvation of the masses that results therefrom. There would be no place for the spinning wheel in the national life of India, comparatively small as the remuneration that can be derived from it is, if these two factors were not there. A proper appraisalment of the economic value of the Charkha would therefore involve a consideration of the almost incredible poverty of the Indian masses, and partly of its causes, inasmuch as the remedy is to be sought in the removal of the causes.

---

\* Two articles by Mr. Gandhi published in *Young India* for Oct. 21 and 28, 1926. Also parts of two other articles by him on the handloom and Charkha as supplementary industries.

mobile tools and equipment and material for living. Each scheme has its own advantages and disadvantages. Interchanges and improvements have of course taken place and will continue to do so. Nevertheless, none of these differences are to be ridiculed or condemned, but all are entitled to respect and should be, if possible, understood.

If, as Fairgrieve asserts,\* each civilization in history has been or is, from one aspect, the result of its own peculiar method of utilizing and saving solar energy, the self-conscious revival and expansion of a special form of that utilization in India, as exemplified in the charkha movement, may have an important influence on the whole question of the Indian renaissance.

The discussion in this book bears in a small way upon a number of such larger problems. In addition to being a consideration of the economic validity of Mr. Gandhi's programme, and of one aspect of the Indian renaissance, it may be regarded as a discussion of a special instance of the economic validity of all handicraft work *versus* power-machine industry, or as a discussion of a special method of unemployment prevention and relief; or as a new attack on the problem of poverty; or as an indigenous Indian form of co-operation; or as illustrating one phase of the relations between Orient and Occident, or between Western capitalism and some other forms of industrial organization; or as a fragmentary and tentative investigation of part of the problem of the limitation or balance of use of power and machinery in order to secure a fine and enduring civilization; or a partial discussion of the beginning of a development of a sounder organization of human life.

In conclusion, if India will develop her three great resources, (i) the inherited manual sensitiveness and skill of her people, (ii) the wasted time of her millions of unemployed, (iii) a larger portion of the radiant energy of the sun,—and if she will distribute the resulting wealth equably among all her people, by the wide use of the charkha and hand-loom,—she can win to her economic goal:

---

\* *Geography and World Power*, cited above.

*"The average size of a holding in the various provinces"*

Province	Size of a holding (in acres)	Province	Size of a holding (in acres)
Assam	2.96	C. P. and Berars	8.48
Bengal	3.12	Madras	4.91
Bihar & Orissa	3.09	N. W. F. Province	11.22
Bombay	12.15	Punjab	9.18
Burma	5.65	U. P.	2.51

*(Census Report for 1921—Vol. I.)*

"It is on these impoverished holdings that 72 per cent. of the population is supposed to subsist. This, says the Census Report, "utilizes to the full neither the energy of the worker nor the productivity of the soil." Mr. Thompson, the Census Commissioner for Bengal says: "The number of actual workers in cultivation . . . . in British Bengal is 11,060,629. This means 2.215 acres per worker. It is in such figures as these that the explanation of the poverty of the cultivator lies. The cultivation of less than 2½ acres of land cannot employ a man for more than a comparatively small number of days in the year. The cultivator works fairly hard for a few days when he ploughs his land and puts down his crops and again when he harvests them, but for most of the year he has little or nothing to do." "The acreage per worker," says the same writer, "is very much larger in all the great wheat-producing countries of the world." Mr. Edye (U. P. Census) describes the agriculture of the Province as involving "very hard work for certain short periods. . . . and almost complete inactivity for the rest of the year. These periods of inactivity are spent in idleness." Thus Mr. Houghton (C. P. Census): "The Kharif crop which is raised at the end of the rains is the only crop of importance that is grown, and when this crop is gathered there is a scarcity of employment until shortly before the break of the next monsoon." Mr. Calvert, in his book *The Wealth and Welfare of the Punjab*, estimates "that the work done by the average cultivator in the Punjab does not represent more than about 150 days' full labour for 12 months." When this is the state of things

"The gradual extinction of all of India's principal indigenous industries, without any new ones arising to take their place; the steadily growing ruralization of the country; the deterioration of the existing stock of cattle; scarcities and famines following in quick succession—"one year's failure of rain producing an acute famine where three years of deficient rain fall were necessary to bring about a famine";† the progressive pauperization of the agriculturist, rendering him incapable of making any improvement in the little bits of his minutely subdivided holding, which are in their turn unfit for the application of new implements and improved methods of agriculture; the control over agriculture of the money-lending agencies driving the agriculturist to concentrate on cotton and aggravating the evil of high prices of food-stuffs; all these and many other factors have combined to make poverty and unemployment the stupendous problem of today. The middlemen of the town and city, dumping manufactured cloth from Lancashire into the villages deprived them of their life-giving handicrafts, and the mills which the example of the West has taught us to erect on the ruin of the handicrafts have rendered the solution of that problem more acute by entangling it with the new one of excessively unequal distribution of wealth.

"There are Dr. Buchanan's and Montgomery Martin's surveys of Northern India during the first quarter of the nineteenth century to bear eloquent testimony to the villages and towns smiling with plenty, to the vast voluntary organization that was at work in every town and village, keeping millions of spinners, tens of thousands of weavers, and thousands of dyers, bleachers, carpenters, smiths and smaller handicraftsmen busy throughout the districts, all the year round, and bringing millions of rupees and distributing them equably in Bihar, Bengal, U. P. and Mysore. If official testimony were needed for the contrast the picture of the present day India bears to that of those days, enough is to be had in the Census Reports. Look at the average size of an agricultural holding in the various provinces:

---

† Digby.

enough and to spare. Why not try dairying? Well, India is not Denmark which easily possesses 40 % of the butter trade of England. In 1900 Denmark received 8 million pounds from England for butter and 3 million for bacon, the raising of pigs being an important adjunct of the dairy industry. But India cannot find a bigger India to export its dairy products. And no one will ask the India of Hindus and Mussalmans to engage in the bacon-curing industry. Poultry-rearing and bee-keeping may also be dismissed on the same score, if not on the ground of their novelty and their necessitating technical skill. India cannot today develop her agriculture and increase the one acre per inhabitant that it has today; for India is not Ireland which has its wonderful Department of Agriculture organizing numerous colleges and placing numerous experts at the disposal of County Councils. Nor will any one suggest that the vast mass of people can take up sock-knitting, or cane-work, or basket-making. These do not and cannot command the ready and permanent market that yarn always does. Even today in parts of Bengal and Madras the old tradition of yarn markets continues. Why not have a jute-mill in the jute areas of Bengal, suggests a Bengal Civilian, with unconscious humour. Possibly he is wondering why none of his brother Civilians has suggested the establishment of more cotton mills in cotton areas. He forgets the jute mills employ today not more than 250,000 labourers, impoverish the jute grower and fatten a few capitalists and middlemen. After 70 years of cotton industry and having some 50 crores of capital the cotton magnates\* claim to have given their daily bread only to nearly 1½ million souls representing the families of 370,000 millhands employed by them, and a handful of clerks and superior staff.

" But, it is objected, spinning affords only a miserable pittance and is thus an economic waste. It is forgotten that spinning has never been put forward as a principal occupation. It is offered to those who would otherwise waste their time in idleness. Whether two annas per day, or let us say

---

\* Bombay Mill-owners' statement to the Tariff Board.

in a province where the average size of a holding is comparatively very large (9.18 acres), and where the percentage of irrigated area (which keeps the agriculturist better employed than dry areas) is the second highest in India, the state of other provinces can well be imagined.

"It is thus clear that all these officials are unanimous on the point that the whole of the agricultural population remains without work for at least half of the year, and one or two have made pointed reference to that fact as the sole cause of the poverty of the agriculturist. When even in Lancashire with an acreage of 21 per peasant it is thought that "it would be a great boon if in bad weather and winter the agriculturists had something to do in their homes of a remunerative character as in days past",\* and in Italy with an important textile trade of its own, "the peasant women of almost every district where mulberry trees can be grown are fully occupied with spinning",† the importance of a subsidiary cottage industry connected with agriculture in a country of the vast magnitude of India should need no argument.

"But what exactly that subsidiary industry should be has been the subject of much argument—ever since, and only since the inception of the Charkha movement, as let us hope even the critics of the Charkha will recognize. Let us hope that they will recognize that it was the Charkha that first set them athinking. Once they recognize it, one might humbly submit to them the fact that Charkha is no new invention like *e.g.*, Ford's motor car; it is a re-discovery, like the discovery of its own mother by a strayed child. The critic must not forget that the child here is a vast multitude of people, the most conservative in the world, and scattered over a continent nineteen hundred miles long and fifteen hundred miles broad, and the mother the handicraft that gave them all warmth and sustenance.

"Once this fact is understood, no one will seriously press the claims of any other industry. Industries there are

\* Green—*Rural Industries of England*.

† Bombay Mill-owners' statement to Tariff Board.

"5. It provides a most perfect ready means of fighting famine as we shall see in Section 2."

"6. It carries work to the very cottage of the peasant and thus prevents the disintegration of the family under economic distress."

"7. It alone can restore some of the benefits of the village communities of India now well-nigh ruined."

"8. It is the backbone as much of the hand-weaver as of the agriculturist, as it alone can provide a permanent and stable basis for the hand-loom industry which at present is supporting from 8 to 10 million people and supplies about one-third of the clothing requirements of India."

"9. Its revival would give a fillip to a host of cognate and allied village occupations and thus rescue the villages from the state of decay into which they have fallen."

"10. It alone can insure the equitable distribution of wealth among the millions of inhabitants of India."

"11. It alone effectively solves the problem of unemployment, not only the partial unemployment of the agriculturist, but of the educated youth aimlessly wandering in search of occupation. The very magnitude of the task requires the marshalling of all the intellectual forces of the country to guide and direct the movement."

"What it has actually achieved and promises to achieve must be considered in a separate section."

## II

### WORK ACHIEVED

"In this section we shall consider how far the claims advanced in the first section on behalf of the Charkha have been realized. This involves a history of the Charkha movement since its inception in 1920, but we shall attempt no such thing. The salient features may be noticed:

1. Organization;
2. Work;
3. What Charkha has done in individual cases and in famine areas.



an anna per day, or Rs. 24 yearly is a miserable pittance, is a matter that can be judged by one who has seen the 'chill penury' of the masses with his own eyes. This is no place to discuss the income per head in India. The Indian Economic Enquiry Committee cited estimates of no less than 15 authorities taken at different times. Ever since Dadabhai Naoroji started the chase of that golden hind, a number of others have pursued it, no one yet being recognized as having captured it. But assuming even what appears to be an estimate farthest wide of the mark as the correct one, viz., that of Rs. 116 by Mr. Findlay Shirras, one may like to know if Rs. 24 is not a substantial addition to that income!

"Whereas handspinning presents the following special features which render it pre-eminently suitable as a remedy for India's present economic distress:

"1. It is immediately practicable because:

(a) It does not require any capital or costly implements to put it into operation. Both the raw material and the implements for working it can be cheaply and locally obtained.

(b) It does not require any higher degree of skill or intelligence than the ignorant and poverty-stricken masses of India possess.

(c) It requires so little physical exertion that even little children and old men can practise it and so contribute their mite to the family fund.

(d) It does not require the ground to be prepared for its introduction afresh, as the spinning tradition is still alive among the people.

"2. It is universal and permanent, as next to food, yarn alone can be sure of *always* commanding an unlimited and ready market at the very doorsteps of the worker, and thus ensures a steady regular income to the impoverished agriculturist.

"3. It is independent of monsoon conditions and so can be carried on even during famine times.

"4. It is not opposed to the religious or social susceptibilities of the people.

production of yarn of a spinner, (spinning three hours and giving an hour to ginning and carding,) 1,552,427 lb. means the labour of nearly 60,897 spinners. This is nothing in proportion to the millions for whom we have to find work, but it must be remembered that it is the fruit of only five years' effort, or rather two years' concentrated effort.

"These figures, however, are for 1924-25. *The current year* has shown a great advance on the previous year as will appear from the following comparative figures of work in three principal centres :

## TAMIL NADU—MADRAS

(October to February)

	1923-24	1924-25	1925-26
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Production	1,84,000	1,96,000	4,10,000
Sales	1,41,000	2,15,000	3,40,000

## KHADI PRATISHTHAN

	6 months July to Dec. '24	4 months Jan. to April '25	6 months July to Dec. '25	4 months Jan. to April '26
Production	30,000	30,000	1,80,000	90,000
Sales	10,000	40,000	30,000	90,000

## COMILLA—ABHAY ASHRAM

	1924	1925
Production	21,013	80,000
Sales	21,822	74,620

## PUNJUB

	1924-'25	1925-'26
Production	23,634	51,437
Sales	29,551	45,060

"The detailed Khadi statistics being published in *Young India* every other week during the last two or three months are eloquent of the work of the spinning wheel. To take only the important centres, the Khadi Pratishthan (Bengal) regularly gives work to 10,000 spinners and 750 weavers, serving scores of villages; the Ashram at Tiruchengodu (South India) finds work for 2,241 spinners and about 150 weavers, serving 115 villages; the Kathiawad Khadi

1. *Organization.* Instead of the scattered efforts of the beginning, we have a regular organization with branches in every province and with something like a capital of 15 lakhs, collecting 'assets and distributing loans, publishing reports of production and sales in the various provinces month by month, collecting and publishing all valuable data; making experiments in improving the Charkha, the carding bow and the handgin, and popularizing them; receiving yarn from voluntary spinners, accurately testing its quality and directing so far as is possible the various producing centres in the matter of improving the yarn and cloth; training workers in all the technical processes from the picking of cotton to the final weaving and dyeing of cloth and making it ready for the market; and organizing a Khadi Service.

2. *Work.* The concrete work of the All India Spinners' Association may be noticed under several heads:

(i) Production and sales, effective marketting of the products by hawking and exhibitions; (ii) Improvement in the quality of yarn and cloth; (iii) Reduction in the cost and price.

(i) The figures of production cover only that done under the supervision of the Board. They do not represent such production as has been traditionally in existence in parts of Assam, Rajputana, Punjab and Andhra, independent of the Charkha movement.

"The figures for production for the year 1924-25 total Rs. 1,903,034 as against 949,348 in 1923-24 i. e., more than double. It is not necessary to give the figures for sales as they represent those for production, practically every yard of Khaddar that is produced being sold. Rs. 1,903,034 worth of cloth means 3,806,068 yards of cloth (the average price of a yard being as. 8) which in its turn represents nearly 1,522,427.2 lb. of yarn. Taking 5 yards as the average daily production of a weaver (in view of the temporary inferiority of the handspun yarn in the beginning) and 300 as the number of his active working days in the year 3,806,068 yards production means the labour of nearly 2537 weaver families. Now taking 25 lb. as the average yearly

different persons, the cost of production of yarn was Re. 0-9-4½ per lb; in Tirupur where the spinner cards for himself, the cost was Re. 0-6-10½; in parts of Bengal ginning and carding are both done by the spinner bringing down the cost to Re. 0-5-6.

"The result of efforts in this direction has been a remarkable decrease in the cost of production in all provinces except perhaps in Gujarat. The cost and price in Tamil Nadu, in Andhra and in the Punjab show today a 50% reduction over what they were in 1920; 25% over what they were in 1922. In Bengal the Khadi Pratisthan prices still rule high, though they are lower than they were three years ago, but the Abhay Ashram, Comilla has achieved a record reduction. A pair of dhoties (8×44") which cost Rs. 7-8-0 in 1921, cost Rs. 6 in 1922, Rs. 5 in 1925 and Rs. 3-12-0 in January 1926; so much so that the Ashram bids fair now to quote prices lower than the Banga Laxmi Cotton Mills. It may be remarked in this connection that the reduction of the price to the extent of 50% is really to the extent of 100% inasmuch as the quality of cloth is certainly 50% better than it was 5 years ago, though we recognize that the reduction is partly due to a fall in the price of cotton during the last two years.

"One more thing may be noted. A final stage in the development of the economics of handspinning is reached when the spinner not only performs all the preliminary processes, but begins to stock his own cotton. This was done with wonderful results in Kathiawad last year. They not only had good cotton, but saved a lot of waste, and spun better quality of yarn. At the present time, the whole cotton crop is controlled by middlemen or agents of the mill-owners who take away the cream of the harvest leaving only indifferent cotton behind, which is mostly the cotton used by the handspinning, and which partly explains the inferior quality of yarn. When the handspinning agriculturist understands his own interest better, as soon he must, he would automatically stock his own cotton, and spin for personal use — not for wages.

Depots employ 2,313 spinners and 120 weavers, serving 121 villages; the Abhay Ashram, Comilla, serves 10,000 spinners and 150 weavers, and about 20 groups of villages. Figures for Bihar and Andhra are not yet available, but the number of spinners can be imagined from the amount of about Rs. 60,000 distributed by the Bihar Branch (A. I. S. A.) and Malkhachak Kutir to them; and in the Ongole Taluka alone of the Guntur District (Andhra) there were, in 1925, 9,900 spinners who earned an average daily wage of as. 2, having worked during their spare hours.

(ii) *Improvement in the quality of yarn and cloth and*

(iii) *Decrease in the cost and price* may be considered together.

"Whereas five years ago yarn of high counts was a rarity, not only Andhra but Bihar and Bengal both produce it now. The quality of ordinary yarn is being daily more and more standardized—15 to 20 counts being the usual quality spun everywhere except in Gujarat. Not that we have yet been able to completely perfect the yarn, but the defective yarn may be regarded as a passing phase as is evidenced by the rapid improvement shown as a result of 10 weeks' intensive effort at Satyagraha Ashram, Sabarmati. In the first week only 36 spinners out of 100 spun passable yarn of over 50% test, only 3 of them spinning over 70%. The fourth week showed 64 spinners securing over 50 per cent test, 23 of them over 60%, 2 over 70%, and 1 over 80%; the ninth week showed 104 (out of 111 spinners) securing over 50%, 30 of them over 60%, 29 over 70%, 17 over 80%, 4 over 90%, and 2 over 100%. It should be noted that corresponding yarn of 20s, of Calico Mill (Ahmedabad) was of 90% test, Shahpur Mills (Ahmedabad) 85% test, and Commercial Mill 69%.

"This is not a solitary instance. All Khaddar Depots are now testing the yarn they receive and have practically decided not to accept yarn under a standard test.

"Now as to the prices. Effective decentralization and integration of processes is the keynote of the economics of hand-spinning, just as centralization and the division of processes is the law in large-scale production. Thus in Gujarat where ginning, carding and spinning are done by

"Dr. Ray first tried paddy-husking and other forms of relief in the flood and famine areas of West Bengal in 1923-24, found that they were to no avail, and tried the Charkha which worked to perfection. The amount given as spinning, weaving, and ginning charges in the four centres, viz., Talora, Champapur, Durgapur and Tilakpur was Rs. 38,000. But that is nothing. What can be called a signal achievement is that the Charkha has now made a permanent home in those areas, enabling the people to supplement their slender means and to resist crop failures and floods more effectively than ever before.

"But before proceeding to speak of the potentialities of the movement, we may briefly deal with what is represented to be a great obstacle in its progress."

### III

#### IS MACHINE-MADE CLOTH AN OBSTACLE?

"So far we have considered the actual work achieved. That work in itself should contain the promise of its future possibilities. But, it is said, we are not reckoning with the competition of the machine-made cloth. Is it, however, fair to say that there is a competition between the home-made and the machine-made cloth? There can be competition between mills and mills, say foreign mills and indigenous mills, mills driven by steam-power and those driven by electric power. But how can there be, or rather why should there be, any competition between one which is an essentially vital industry and another which is not? We shall make our meaning clear. Among the most crying needs of the day is relief from the economic distress of the millions of the peasantry — removal of the partial unemployment of the agricultural classes. We have seen in the foregoing chapters that the spinning wheel is the only industry that can give such relief and such employment. We have seen that fifty crores of capital that the mills have sunk can give their daily bread to only 1½ million souls representing the families of 3,70,000 mill-hands who are largely drawn from the agricultural classes. Now supposing that the mill industry expands to the extent of the total cloth consumption

"3. What Charkha has done in individual cases and in famine areas.

i. *Individual cases.* Treatment of the Charkha from a purely economic point of view precludes one from describing the moral revolution it has brought about in many an individual case. But the temperance and freedom from indebtedness that have come in the wake of the Charkha are as much economic as moral results. This has happened everywhere, but the results have been on an extensive scale in parts of Gujarat. *Young India* for August, '26 describes in an article entitled "A Successful Experiment" the reforming influence of the Charkha in Kaliparaj areas in the Surat District and mentions no less than 26 agriculturist families who had holdings of 9 to 34 acres and who were therefore engaged in agricultural operations for a large part of their time, found time to spin during the year 20 to 60 lbs. of yarn. That, by the way, is an indication of the potentialities of the Charkha.

ii. *In Famine Areas.* It is difficult to indicate in brief the way in which Charkha came to be adopted as a relief measure in famine areas. Famines, some might say, occurred in the days of the Charkha too. Indeed they did, but with nothing like the frequency that they have occurred since 1864. The famine of 1777 was more a scourge of God than a famine, but for years after there was no famine. Ever since there have been commissions on commissions which have only emphasized the essential difficulty of State relief. There is reluctance of those unaccustomed to famine to seek relief, there is eagerness of those accustomed to famine to accept relief: there is demoralization that follows when families are broken up and half-starved masses become a moving multitude. "The maintenance of the village system is the only means of saving life by preserving order" said Sir Edward Caird. By nothing could this be maintained so well as by taking the means to earn relief to the very door of the famine-stricken, viz., the Charkha. That is the only work which can be done by young and old, decrepit and infirm, day and night, and without any strain.

case i. e., only the money needed for stocking cotton where it is not grown, and for the remuneration of workers occupied in organizing the industry. The reasons are obvious. There are already lakhs and lakhs of wheels in the country lying idle which simply need a little dusting and brushing up. The Census Report for 1921 has an incomplete list of the number of handlooms in each province. But the total which does not include the looms in U. P., Bombay, C. P. and Mysore is 1,938,066. The actual number is therefore likely to be as great as, if not greater than, that needed for our total consumption.

"Now we have seen in the second section that so far as the consumer is concerned, it has been possible to secure his response to this vital industry and it has been possible for the industry to meet his wants in increasing proportion, for a progressive improvement in quality and cheapness has been steadily maintained. The industry is vital because its conception is based as we have seen on economics founded upon life. 'Nations', says a writer, 'must have an economy that enables them to live.' Here is an industry which will enable the nation not only to live but to live as a nation, to live as a nation producing wealth which is real and equitably distributed, not wealth which in Ruskin's picturesque language "may in verity be only the gilded index of far reaching ruin; a wrecker's handful of coin gleaned from the beach to which he has beguiled an argosy."

"Is it too much to expect the State to protect such a life-giving industry? Is it too much to expect them to extend it their exclusive protection even as it is extended to a vital service like, for instance, the Postal service? It is quite usual in some countries to protect the "market rights" of municipalities. And in protecting our "market rights" in respect of Khaddar, Government will but expiate for the sins of their predecessors who strangled the one vital industry of the land.

"But assuming that the Government continues to maintain an indifferent attitude, and the home industry has to fight its way under the so-called free-trade conditions, and the buyer is called upon to choose between Khaddar



of India, will the matter be any the better so far as the starving millions who are badly in need of a subsidiary industry are concerned? Let us see. Our cloth consumption today is 4,661 million yards (1789 mill production plus 1769 imports plus 1103 hand-loom production\*). To produce 4,661 million yards about 1,165 million pounds of yarn is needed according to Coubrough's calculation. Now in the year 1922-23, 239 mills with a spindle equipment of 7,245,119 produced 705 million lb. of yarn with the labour of about 350,000 operatives. Therefore, to have 1165 million pounds of yarn it would be necessary to have about 11 million spindles, and to convert the yarn into cloth a corresponding number of looms i.e., 215,655 looms. To work these 11 million spindles and 215,655 looms, the number of operatives will at an outside estimate be 6,00,000. This means that 2,500,000 men at the most can find their living from the industry. And these men are largely lost to the soil. Therefore the mill industry at best can tear from their homes so many agriculturists. It cannot give a single one of them a supplementary industry. The mills and the spinning wheel are therefore dissimilars admitting of no comparison.

"Let us now see how many souls the same amount of cloth produced by our home-mills can find employment for. 4,661 million yards of cloth means 1,165 million lb. of yarn. Adopting the same computation as the one we have done in the second section, production of 1,195 million lb. of yarn would require at least 46,600,000 wheels producing 25 lb. a year. This means that 46,600,000 spinners would supplement their income by spinning. Add to these 46,600,000 thousands of ginners, carders, sizers, dyers, carpenters, smiths, and educated organizers and 3,107,033 weavers necessary for the maintenance of the industry. This means about half of the adult agricultural population of India deducting 61.4 million children under 10 from the total 224 million agricultural people.

"And whereas the mills would need an extra 40 to 50 crores of capital very little would be required in the present

---

\* Figures of mill production and imports are for 1924-25, and hand-loom production are for 1922-23.

any charges for transport as well as the charges for "breaking" and "opening up" bales of cotton when it arrives in the mills, and the middlemen's profits on the purchase of cotton. Nay more. For to an agriculturist growing cotton, the price of a few lbs. casually picked for home consumption before the harvest is marketed, will be of no consequence, and he will therefore have this cloth just for the weaver's wage. This has actually happened in many individual cases.

"There are other factors, besides, which, as the industry progresses, will count in the economics of hand-spinning.

1. The cost of manufacture of mill cloth is always bound to fluctuate inasmuch as the industry is not on a philanthropic but on a commercial basis. Thus for instance, in 1924, the cost was double than that in 1914. It is likely to increase in the near future, if only because the mill-owners will expect to cover the successive losses during the past three years. Whilst so far as the hand-weaver is concerned his wage is bound to remain stable if not to decrease, as it is not unlikely. For take the case of Tadpatri (South India) where the following reductions in the rates for weaving have been made:

16s	from	0	5	0	per yard	to	0	3	3
12s	"	0	3	0	" "	to	0	2	3
10s	"	0	2	0	" "	to	0	1	3

2. Another factor is the improvement in the quality of cotton by the release of agriculturist from the control of the exporter's agent who at present takes away the pick of the harvest.

3. A third factor is the control on his yarn of the spinner who can go on improving the count and economize the raw material.

4. The spinner can spin up to 40s and 50s from indigenous varieties of cotton like *roseum* while the mills cannot spin that count from the variety and will have to fall back on foreign cotton which is dearer.

5. A handloom weaver can introduce special patterns each time he prepares a new warp, for his warp is only about 10 to 30 yards long whereas the mill-warp has to be at least 500 yards and no order for a special pattern can be undertaken unless for hundreds of such pieces.

and mill-cloth, let us see how far Khaddar has to compete with mill-cloth. Let us compare the cost of manufacture of a lb. of cloth by a cotton mill and by the home-organization.

Cost of manufacture of a lb. of mill-cloth		Cost of manufacture of a lb. of hand-made cloth	
Coal	Pies§ 10-09	Carder's wage	0 1 0
Stores	14-46	Spinner's ..	0 3 0
Labour	39-69	Weaver's ..	0 7 6
Office & supervision	3-41	Depreciation in materials	0 0 6
Fire Insurance	1-67		
Municipal & other taxes	1-57		
Interest	5-66		
Commission on cloth	4-60		
Agent's Commission	0-83		
Income tax & Super tax	1-94		
	<hr/> 83-92		
	i. e., 0 7 0		<hr/> 0 12 0
Difference		As. 5	
Difference per yard		As. 2	

"We see from the above that though we save to the extent of as. 4 by the elimination of charges for fuel, stores, commissions and taxes etc., we lose 6 as. extra on the operatives' wages. Thus the consumer who is a pure consumer i. e., who does not card his cotton or spin his yarn has to pay an extra as. 2 for a yard of cloth. As soon, however, as the consumer becomes his own carder and spinner, he saves four annas and the prices of a yard of homespun and a yard of mill cloth are nearly equalized. A final stage in the development of the economics of handspinning is reached when the spinner not only gins and cards for himself but stocks his own cotton as he once used to do, and as during the last two years a number of agriculturists were induced to do. We have nearly ten million agriculturists growing cotton, if we may take the percentage of the cotton area to the total area under cultivation. If these agriculturists themselves stock their own cotton as is the objective sought to be attained in the near future, they will have the cloth not only for the weaver's wage, but even cheaper i. e. cheaper than the mill cloth, as they will not have to pay

§ Cf. note on Indian money p. 12.

but new looms have been installed at the rate of 5,000 a year. The average annual output per loom has also been increased . . . *The result is that the industry which in the early years of the century was largely a spinning one, is now very largely a weaving industry.*" It does not require much argument to see that any system of production that depends for its supplies on a rival system can continue its existence only on the latter's sufferance. As handloom weaving becomes more and more popular and universal, the present competition is bound to become fierce and deadly, and all who insist on encouraging the growth of handloom industry in India, without making provision for the supply of yarn to them from spinning wheels, should beware. They might drive the handloom weavers headlong to ruin and expose themselves to the charge of dishonesty. Handloom weaving presupposes for its existence that of hand-spinning. They stand or fall together. A Charkha in every home and loom in every village should be the formula of the new dispensation.

"However, in the transition stage, a lot of educative propaganda will have to be done. We have to stimulate clean and healthy motives amongst the people, we have to awaken them to a livelier consciousness of the fact that cloth made out of yarn spun by the hands of the daughters and sons of the land can never be too dear for them. So long as the mills continue to produce cheaper cloth by exacting a subsidy from the nation in the shape of 'drawing on its capital stock by deteriorating the physique, intelligence and character of the operatives,'\* the patriotic citizen must continue to pay the generous subsidy of a restraint in his tastes, of his patriotism, and willingness to pay a higher price."

---

\* Sidney Webb.

6. The handloom weaver can easily introduce various new and variegated weft designs in the end or the border of the cloth, which it is not easy to do in the case of a power-loom.

"Whilst we are speaking of handlooms it may be well to dispel a doubt that is often expressed: 'You may not count on handlooms. They must and they will prefer to depend on the mills for their yarn.' That the bulk of the handlooms depend today on mill-yarn is true, for we have not yet reached the perfection in the production of yarn that can easily attract a handweaver. But to argue with Marshall, as a Census Commissioner has done, that textile materials are especially adapted for machine treatment, is to fly in the face of the history of the old Indian textile industry. We have of course yet to reach the "fineness and utility" of the Dacca yarn that was declared by a special Commission in 1864 to be superior to mill-yarn in every respect. But as indicated in the preceding section improvement has been rapid in the direction and still continues.

"However that may be, the handlooms must remain partially idle and the weavers must starve if hand-spinning does not come to their aid. In 1923, 1,103 million yards of cloth was the yield of 19,38,072 handlooms, which gives an average of only a third of its productive capacity or an income of less than Rs. 6 for the weaver, taking 2 annas as the rate of each yard woven. If instead of depending on the limited supply of mill yarn they had a sufficient supply of handspun yarn, such as it is, so that they could increase their average output to even 4 yards a day they would easily get Rs. 15 per mensem.

"But the interesting fact to note is that the handloom weaver is being driven day by day to the hand-spinner. For the mill is "also a rival weaver of cloth and well aware of it." It cannot afford to supply him with yarn to an unlimited extent. "During the war," said the Bombay Millowners' Secretary's letter to Sir Charles Innes, dated September 15th 1925, "there was no increase in spindles.

independent occupation and is in the majority of cases the sole occupation like shoe-making or smithy.

"Moreover hand-weaving cannot be universal in the same sense that hand-spinning can be. India needs 4,661 million yards of cloth per year. A weaver weaves on an average three quarters of a yard per hour of rough khaddar. Therefore if all foreign, indigenous or mill-made cloth could be excluded, at the most, nine million weavers working at the rate of two hours per day would be required to produce the whole of our annual requirements. If it be contended that not so many weavers but so many families be occupied, then the two annas for two hours would have to be distributed among many, thus materially reducing the earning of the individual per day.

"Now let us consider the possibilities of spinning. We know that it was at one time *the universal* supplementary industry of India. Millions have not yet forgotten the art, and tens of thousands have even now spinning wheels in their homes. Hand-spinning is therefore capable of immediate and limitless extension. And as it has been found that ten spinners supply one weaver, against nine million weavers ninety million spinners would be able to add to their earnings what to them will be a material and welcome addition. i.e., at least 25 per cent of their income. I have assumed the very high figure of 40 rupees per year per head to be the average income. Unlike weaving, spinning may be interrupted any moment and therefore it can be done during all odd moments. Spinning is learnt easily and quickly and the spinner begins to draw some thread from the very commencement.

"Moreover, it is wrong to rely upon an unfailing supply of mill yarn. Hand-weaving and mill-weaving are not complementary propositions. They are mutually antagonistic, the tendency of weaving mills, like all machinery, always being to displace the product of the hand. If, therefore, hand-weaving could become a supplementary industry on a large scale, it would have to be solely dependent on mills which would naturally squeeze the last pie from the weaver for the supply of yarn and would scrap it at the first opportunity.

## HANDLOOM v. SPINNING WHEEL †

BY M. K. GANDHI.

"It seems now to be generally recognized that India, having more than 71 per cent of her population as agriculturalists, more of whom are idle for nearly six months in the year, needs a supplementary industry and that that industry to be universal can only be hand-spinning. But some contend that hand-weaving is better because it is more remunerative and therefore a better proposition.

"Now let us understand this argument in some detail. It is said that hand-weaving gives about eight annas per day as against one anna from hand spinning. Therefore if a person works for only two hours per day, he will earn from hand-weaving two annas against one pice in the same time from hand-spinning. It is added that one pice would be no economic attraction to anybody and that if hand-weaving could be presented to the people, it would be wrong to ask them to do hand-spinning *instead*. The protagonists of the handloom contend further that there is no difficulty about getting as much mill-spun yarn as may be required for India's needs, and finally they say that even for the sake of keeping alive hand-weaving, which has hitherto defied the competition of weaving mills, it should be pushed with vigour and determination. Some of the protagonists of hand-weaving even go so far as to say that the hand-spinning movement is mischievous in that it turns people's attention away from the possibility of hand-weaving and misleads them into supporting an impossible industry which has died of its own inherent weakness.

"Let us test this specious looking argument.

"In the first instance, hand-weaving is not a practicable proposition as a supplementary industry, because it is not easy to teach, it has never been universal in India, it requires several hands to work at, it cannot be done during odd moments. It has been and can only be generally an

---

† *Young India*, Nov. 11, 1926.

time and education, whereas the ever growing poverty demands an immediate remedy which the wheel alone supplies. The wheel does not displace or disregard possibilities in the shape of such improvements. It is a prelude to them. Wherever it has gone, it is affecting the lives of villagers in a variety of ways, and it enables the townspeople to establish a living contact with the villagers and their villages.

"If hand-spinning is all you say, how is it that it has not already been universally adopted? asks the critic. The question is quite fair. The answer is simple. The message of the wheel has to be carried to a people who have no hope, no initiative left in them and who would, if left to themselves, starve and die rather than work and live. Such was not the case before, but long neglect has made laziness a habit with them. That laziness can only be removed by the living contact and example of men of character and industry plying the wheel before them and by gently showing them the way. The second great difficulty is the absence of a ready market for khaddar. I confess that it cannot for the time being compete with mill-cloth. I will not engage in any such killing competition. The capitalist may for capturing the market sell his calico for nothing. The manufacturer whose only capital is labour cannot afford to do so. . . . Khaddar is a live thing. But India has lost her eye for the real art and is therefore satisfied with the glossy exterior. Revive the healthy national taste for khaddar and you will find every village a busy hive. As it is, the resources of khaddar organizations are taxed to the utmost, in order to create a market for the article. The marvel is that in spite of heavy odds against it, the movement is making headway. Over twelve lakhs worth of khaddar was sold only last year. But it is nothing to boast of when one thinks of what needs to be done.

"I have thus summarized the case for the spinning wheel as a supplementary industry as against the hand loom. Let there be no confusion of thought. I am not against the hand loom. It is a great and thriving cottage industry. It will progress automatically if the spinning wheel succeeds. It will be bound to die if the wheel fails."



"On the other hand, hand-spinning and hand-weaving are mutually complementary as can be today proved from the experience of the existing spinning depots. Even as I write, I have letters from co-workers saying that in their centres they have to send away weavers for want of yarn. It is little known that a vast number of weavers of mill yarn are in the hands of *sowcars*, and they must be, so long as they rely upon the mill product. The village economy demands that the weaver should receive his yarn not from the middleman but from his fellow-worker, the farmer.

"Again so far as can be ascertained there are at present some twenty lakhs of weavers at work. Every additional loom means an outlay of at least Rs. 15. Every additional wheel need not mean more than Rs. 3½. The Khadi Pratisthan pattern costs only Rs. 2½. And at a pinch, even an improvised *takli* which need not cost anything can be impressed into service.

"Thus the spinning wheel appears to be the only foundation on which satisfactory village life can be constructed. It is the centre round which alone it is possible to build up village re-organization.

"But it is said that one pice per two hours is no economic attraction to even the poor villager. In the first place, the wheel is not meant for, it is not now presented to, any person who has a more remunerative employment. How is it that thousands of women are today walking a few miles daily or weekly to receive raw cotton and the few pice for the yarn they deliver? If a loom were suggested to them, they would not take it up, they would not have the time or the ability for it. Town dwellers have no notion of the gnawing poverty of the masses of India. Let us not talk of the machine age in their case. The machinery of Manchester has robbed them of the butter to their bread which the wheel was, for it has been replaced by nothing else equal to it or better. For these, therefore, the spinning wheel is their only hope.

"I do not here examine the more ambitious but chimerical proposals for agricultural improvements. There is room enough for them I have no doubt. But that is a matter of

" . . . If you will study the history of the hand-weaving movement in India, you will discover that at the present moment several thousands of weavers have simply been obliged to abandon their trade. Weavers, all of your own trade, Saurashtras, are today working in Bombay as scavengers. Weavers in the Punjab are some of them hired soldiery and some of them have taken to the butchers' trade. And so you will understand why I cannot possibly endorse your recommendation. . . I venture to suggest to you that it is to your interest not to ask me to mix up this mill-spun yarn weaving together with this movement which I am leading in all humility. And it is equally to your interest to support this movement so that if it becomes stable; prosperous and immovable, every one of you would find a respectable living."

#### APPENDIX B

#### EXTENT OF RURAL UNEMPLOYMENT IN INDIA

The following quotations on this topic are taken from some of the leading Governmental officials entitled to speak from their own investigations, together with other competent authorities. Concurring opinions could be cited almost indefinitely. The only contrary opinion discovered is also quoted and discussed.

*Census of India, 1921, Vol. I, Ch. XII, pp. 244-245.*

Mr. Thompson, Census Officer for Bengal, wrote:—

" . . . This means only 2·215 acres per worker. It is in such figures as these that the explanation of the poverty of the cultivator lies. The cultivation of less than 2½ acres of land cannot employ a man for more than a comparatively small number of days in the year. The cultivator works fairly hard for a few days when he ploughs his land and puts down his crops and again when he harvests them, but for most of the year he has little or nothing to do . . . Such figures as these make it very clear that the Bengali cultivator has not nearly as much work to do as will fill his time . . . In

## FALLACY OF MILL YARN\*

*Replying to an address at Madura, Mr. Gandhi said, in part :*

" You ask me to encourage hand-weaving even through foreign yarn or mill-made yarn inasmuch as, so you say in your address, it is not possible today to find hand-spun yarn of the fineness you require and in the quantity you require. Now I shall tell you, as a fellow weaver, why I cannot possibly endorse your recommendation. If I endorse your recommendation, I hope to be able to show you that it would be bad for you and bad for the class which I have in view and which you also should have in view. You should, as keen and shrewd business men as some of you are, understand that every weaver who weaves yarn which is supplied by foreign mills or even by mills of India places himself at the disposal of and at the tender mercy of the mills. You as weavers should realize that this hand-weaving which you are today controlling to a certain extent will in time to come slip away from your hands as soon as the mills of the world or the mills of India are ready to weave the pattern that you are today exclusively weaving. Let me inform you, if you do not know the fact already, that various able mill-owners of the world are making experiments in order to weave the patterns which are today your monopoly. It is no fault of the mill-owners or the mill industry that that mill industry is endeavouring day after day to take away the monopolies and take this trade into its own hands. To make continuous improvements in its machinery and to make continuous encroachments upon the handicrafts of the world is really the objective and the ideal of these great industrialists. Indeed, it is the condition of their very existence that they should try to take this trade also from off your hands. What has befallen the industry of spinning will most decidedly befall the industry of hand-weaving also if the weaver will not take a leaf out of my book. -

---

\* *Young India*, October, 13th, 1927.

complete inactivity for the rest of the year. In precarious tracts inactivity may be unavoidable for a whole season, or even for a whole year. These periods of inactivity are, in the great majority of cases, spent in idleness. Where the cultivator pursues some craft which will employ himself and his family at times when they are not required in the fields — a craft in which continuity of employment is not essential — the proceeds of that craft are a saving from waste, and therefore a clear gain. The most typical of such crafts. . . and the one which is most widely pursued, is the production of homespun cloth."

p. 274. Mr. Roughton, Census Officer for the Central Provinces, writing of general labour, remarks:—

"Agriculture, on which a majority of the population depends for its living, does not employ labour all the year around. There are large portions of the province in which the *kharif* crop, which is reaped at the end of the rains, is the only crop of importance which is grown, and when this crop is gathered, there is a scarcity of employment until shortly before the break of the next monsoon."

*India in 1923-24*, edited by Rushbrook Williams, Director of Public Information, Government of India. An Annual Statement to Parliament, required by Statute. (Central Publication Branch, Government of India, Calcutta) p. 197:—

"The cultivator in many provinces of India is obliged by climatic reasons to remain idle for more than one-third of the total working days of the year."

*Wealth and Welfare of the Punjab* by H. Calvert, Registrar of Government Co-operative Department, Punjab. Oxford University Press, London:—

"The work done by the average cultivator in the Punjab does not represent more than 150 days full labour for 12 months."

*Economic Life of a Bengal District* by J. C. Jack, late Land Settlement Officer, Government of Bengal. Oxford University Press, London, 2d. printing, 1927, p. 39:—

"The time-table of the cultivator, therefore, when his land is unfit for jute, shows three months hard work and

Bengal the holdings have been so minutely subdivided that there is not enough work for the cultivators, but on the other hand there is no other work to which they can turn their hand. The very rights which the cultivator has in his land and which it has been the object of the tenancy legislation to preserve to him, stand in the way of an adjustment between the supply and demand for labour in this Province. He cannot be expected to sacrifice these rights and go in search of work in industrial centres except in the last extremity, and the only amelioration of present conditions in Bengal that seems possible, is by bringing work within reach of the cultivator near his own village."

p. 245. "The economic relation between man power and cultivated area has also been discussed in full in Mr. Calvert's recently published book, *The Wealth and Welfare of the Punjab*. He estimates that the work done by the average cultivator in the Punjab does not represent more than about 150 days full labour in twelve months and that even in the occupied days the idea of the Indian cultivator of what constitutes a full day's task is well below that prevalent in more progressive western countries."

p. 270. Mr. Tellents, Census Officer for Bihar and Orissa, says, in reference to hand-weaving:—

"There are periods in the cultivator's year when all the members of his family are busy in the fields; but there are also periods when this is not the case, and when the family are idle. At such time there is much labour running to waste and ample scope for some form of secondary occupation."

p. 271. Mr. Edye, Census Officer for the United Provinces, speaking of cottage industry as ancillary to agriculture, writes:—

"The bulk of the population is agricultural, and agriculture here means ordinarily the growing, harvesting and disposal of two crops in the year, and not the mixed farming familiar in England. Agriculture of this kind involves very hard work for certain short periods—generally two sowings, two harvests, an occasional weeding in the rains, and three waterings, in the cold weather—and almost

There is work for a few weeks at ploughing time, at seed time and at harvest. There is also work for some members of the family in guarding the growing crops, but for a great part of the year the farmer has no way of properly filling his day. In a good many parts of India, 50 per cent of the agricultural labourers' time is spent in enforced idleness."

*The Economic Life of a Punjab Village* by E. D. Lucas, Principal of Forman Christian College, Lahore, published, Lahore, 1922.

..... "An ordinary zamindar of Kalimpur ( Punjab ) with, say, three or four acres of land, and ten hours the normal length of his day, we find that he works about 157 days in the year."

*Problems of Rural Life in India*, by Prof. N. N. Gangulee, a member of the Royal Commission on Agriculture in India, *Asiatic Review*, July, 1925, p. 431.

"In the absence of any organized rural industries, chronic unemployment has become one of the striking characteristics of Indian rural life."

*Wastage of India's Man Power*, by R. K. Das, the Modern Review, Calcutta, April, 1927, p. 399 ( After quoting from Calvert ). . . . .

"The present writer's investigation in the United Provinces and Bengal in 1925 also showed that the average peasant or artisan does not have work for more than 7 months in the year."

Similar conditions of unemployment are reported for practically all provinces of India by the following authorities, from whose books I unfortunately failed to copy the pertinent passages at the time when I had access to them.

*Land and Labour in a Deccan Village*, by H. H. Mann, Agricultural Advisor to the Bombay Presidency, Study I, 1917; Study II, 1921; Oxford University Press, London.

*The Punjab Peasant in Prosperity and Debt*, by M. L. Darling, Oxford University Press, 1925.

*Wealth of India*, by Wadia and Joshi, Macmillan, London, 1925.

*Economic Organization of Indian Villages*, Vol. I, Deltaic Villages, Andhra Economic Series, Andhra University, 1926.

nine months idleness; if he grows jute as well as rice he will have an additional six weeks work in July and August."

*Some South Indian Villages* by Gilbert Slater, Professor of Economics, Madras University, Oxford University Press, London, 1918, p. 16:—

"As in the Madras Presidency, on one-crop land the agriculturalist works for only about five months in the year, and on two-crop land only for about eight months." (He then states that this same condition prevails also in Mysore and all the rest of South India).

p. 245. "At present a condition of chronic under-employment does exist on a very large scale in South India."

*Rural Economy in India* by R. Mukerjee, Professor of Economics, Lucknow University, Longmans Green, 1926.

p. 73. *Waste of labour in India*—"A very careful estimate of Prof. Bhalla shows, on the other hand, that the cultivator in the Punjab works for 278 days only, taking a normal day of 10 hours. His estimate of work refers, however, to the cultivation of a plot of 13.54 acres. But the holdings often are much smaller and give proportionately less work to the cultivator. . . . In the United Provinces, assuming that the average holding for a family of five is  $2\frac{1}{2}$  acres in the medium stiff soil, if the cultivator sows two acres with early rice followed by peas, and half an acre with cane, by working alone he would have enough to occupy him for 250 days in the year. In the light soil, if he sowed *kodo* and *arhar*, rotating with barley, on the whole  $2\frac{1}{2}$  acres, he would have to work on the average only 150 days in the year. (Report of the Revision of Settlement in the Gorakhpur District, 1918, p. 21). According to Dr. Slater, taking the land of South India all around there is agricultural work for the cultivator only for five-twelfths of his possible working time."

*The Indian Rural Problem*, unsigned article by "a practical agriculturalist who has spent many years in contact with it." *The Round Table*, London, June, 1925, p. 533.

"Another great disability is that owing to the inadequate size of village agricultural holdings there is not enough work to occupy the time of either the farmer or his oxen.

There are only a few places in the country which do not produce cotton. Parts which do not produce cotton may obtain it from other parts of the country which do.

"If there is a good crop, an acre of land yields about 200 pounds of cotton. But the average yield per acre of cotton in India is about 100 pounds.

"One man working on a hand-gin can gin 10 pounds of cotton every day, or 3000 pounds in a year, 300 being taken as the number of working days in the year.

"Similarly a carder can in a year card and sliver 3000 pounds of cotton.

"Working 4 hours a day, one man can spin in a year 50 pounds of cotton into yarn of ten counts.

"One weaver with a family can weave in one year 750 pounds of cloth 27 inches wide.

"If we take 10 pounds of cloth as the annual average requirement of one person, a village with a population of 300 souls can become self-contained in respect of its clothing as soon as it puts 30 acres of its land under cotton cultivation and gets hold of ginner, carder, 60 spinning-wheels working four hours per day, and 4 weaver families. The needs of bigger villages and towns can be calculated accordingly.

Rupees

" Cultivation charges on 30 acres under cotton,	
at 10 rupees per acre	300
Revenue assessment on the same at 2 rupees	
per acre	60
Cost of carding and slivering 3000 pounds of	
cotton at 2 annas a pound	375
Cost of spinning the same at 6 annas per pound	1,125
Cost of weaving the same at 8 annas a pound	1,500

Total Rs. 3,360

"We have excluded the ginning charges which are provided for by the sale of cotton seed.

"Thus the village gets 3000 pounds of cloth by a total outlay of Rs. 3,360. This works out at about 1 rupee 4 annas per pound.



*Statement Exhibiting the moral and material Progress and Condition of India, 1923-24* (official), P. S. King and Sons, London.

Technically, this condition of the peasants might perhaps be more accurately described as great under-employment. But the difference of name does not alter the phenomenon. This difference of terms, however, seems to be the only satisfactory explanation for the extraordinary statement in *India in 1925-26*, edited by Mr. Coatman, Director of Public Information, Government of India, (p. 239), that "With the exception of the Anglo-Indian community, and the educated middle classes whose case has already been discussed, there is, broadly speaking, no unemployment problem in India." Yet the term "under-employment" is not used in the context, and the whole passage is very vague and open to diverse interpretations. If he means to refer only to cities, he should have so stated. He does not cite any authority for the opinion. Since the time when the other authorities, above quoted, made their investigations there has been no change in Indian rural conditions sufficient to wipe out or even appreciably ameliorate the unemployment then disclosed. We cannot accept Mr. Coatman's "broad speaking".

#### APPENDIX C

### PROVIDING CLOTH FOR ONE VILLAGE AND ONE FAMILY\*

#### SUPPLYING CLOTH FOR ONE VILLAGE

"The following things are needed for the manufacture of cloth: raw cotton, a ginning-wheel, a carding-bow, a spinning-wheel and a hand-loom. And in connection with these things we need the following persons: a cultivator, a ginner, a carder, a spinner and a weaver.

---

\* From an article by Lakshmidas Purushottam in *Young India*, October 6 and 13, 1921.

Equivalent yards at 210 yards per tola of No. 10	
as basis	530 Yds.
Spinning at the rate of 260 yards per hour,	
daily requirement spun in	2 hours
For carding and other processes approximately	$\frac{1}{2}$ hour
Total labour required in the family per day	
for meeting entire clothing needs	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ hours.
Or half hour per day per head.	

"If a family will seriously entertain the idea of being independent of the mills of foreign yarn then it is only necessary that the family will have spun 2 hours daily—a single sister putting this labour or others dividing the work with her. It must be remembered that the average family is taken into consideration. It cannot be supposed that a luxurious family living in towns and wearing superfluous cloth will need spin only half an hour per head per day to be independent of the mills. But the average family in the country does not use more than 60 yards per year. At -/8/- per yard it comes to Rs. 30.-. I believe the average family of 5 does not and cannot spend even thirty rupees per year for clothing. The average is overstated for the unit family of five of a cultivator. The average of 12·3 yards includes the luxurious dress of rich and also includes all the trade requirements for cloth such as the sails for boats, the covering for umbrellas, the yardage used for book binding, for the tents and the kit of the army. The actual average per family of the cultivators and country people is considerably less than 12·3 yards. It is so simple to clothe our whole population from the yarn out of the Charkha that it is a wonder that we are still not fully alive to its significance."

p. 133. "For meeting the normal demand of 12 yds. cloth per head per annum only 2 cottahs of land is necessary." (A cottah of land in Bengal measurement is equal to 1/60th of an acre.)

"If an enterprising man devotes 2 hours a day to these operations, he will spend no more on his clothing than what he pays for the cotton itself.

"If finer cloth is wanted, there will be an increase in spinning and weaving charges, and there must be more spinning wheels and more looms. And then the cloth produced will naturally be proportionately more costly."

(Since 1921 there have been great improvements in quality and speed of output and lowering of prices so that the above figures would call for favourable modification. Nevertheless they give a concrete example of decentralized, small-scale, cloth production in India.)

#### SUPPLYING CLOTH FOR ONE FAMILY\*

"Charkha yarn, as it is now being spun, is much coarser than mill yarn. Although there is no doubt that hand-spun yarn will be finer as the spinners get accustomed to the work, yet I shall suppose that average yarn at the moment to be only of 10 counts. In the Indian Mills the counts mostly made are between 11 and 20. The largest quantity of yarn of any single count is 20s. The woven goods made in the mills work out on an average 4 yards to 16 ounces. But with Charkha yarn the present average is 4 yards per 20 ounces or 5 ounces per yard.

#### ANNUAL YARN REQUIREMENT OF A FAMILY OF FIVE IS EQUIVALENT TO TWO HOURS DAILY SPINNING BY ANY SPINSTER IN THE FAMILY

"5 Persons in a family requiring 12 yards per head per year : the total clothing for the family	60 Yds.
Monthly requirement of the family on above basis	5 "
Equivalent yarn to 5 yards cloth at 5 ounces of 10 counts per yard of cloth	25 ozs.
Working 25 days in the month, yarn daily required is	1 oz.
2½ Tolas being equivalent to one ounce, number of tolas of yarn for daily production	2½ Tolas.

\* Quotation from *Cotton (Khadi Manual, Vol. II, Part IV)* by Satis Chandra Das Gupta, Khadi Pratisthan, 15, College Square Calcutta. 1924, pp. 131. 133.

PUBLISHED BY INDIAN PROVINCIAL OR STATE  
GOVERNMENTS

1. Bihar and Orissa. Superintendent of Government Printing, Bihar and Orissa, Patna.

(a) Bulletin No. 2. *A Note on Hand-Loom Weaving in India*, By K. S. Rao.

(b) Bulletin No. 3. *Proceedings of the Conference of Directors of Industries and Textile Experts and Assistants*.

(c) Bulletin No. 8. *The Hand-Spinning of Cotton*, by K. S. Rao.

(d) Bulletin No. 9. *A Warping and Sizing Set Suitable for Cottage Weavers*, by K. S. Rao.

(e) *A Second Note on Hand-Loom Weaving in India* by K. S. Rao.

2. Bombay Presidency. Superintendent, Government Printing and Stationery, Bombay.

(a) *Notes on the Indian Textile Industry with Special Reference to Hand Weaving* by R. D. Bell.

3. Madras Presidency. The Superintendent, Government Press, Mount Road, Madras, S. C.

(a) Department of Industries Bulletins.

No. 17. *Pattern Weaving*.

No. 20. *Solid Border Slays*.

New Series.

No. 15. *Blanket Industry in the Ceded Districts of the Madras Presidency*.

No. 16. *Woolen Pile Carpet Industry*.

No. 21. *Development of Cotton Printing and Painting Industry*.

No. 22. *Development of the Madras Handkerchief and Lungy or Kaily Industry*.

(b) *Monograph on the Carpet Weaving Industry of South India* by H. T. Harris, 1908.

(c) *Cotton Painting and Printing in the Madras Presidency* by W. S. Hadaway, 1917.

(d) *Hand-loom Weaving in the Madras Presidency* by D. M. Amalsad, 1925.

## APPENDIX D

### BIBLIOGRAPHY ON INDIAN HAND-SPINNING AND HAND-WEAVING AND THE KHADDAR MOVEMENT

(Only books in English are noted)

PUBLISHED BY INFORMATION DEPARTMENT, ALL INDIA  
SPINNERS' ASSOCIATION, SATYAGRAHASHRAM, SABARMATI,  
B. B. & C. I. RY.

1. *Khaddar Work in India in 1922*. Report by the Khadi  
Department of All India Congress Committee.

2. *Khadi Bulletins*, 1923.

3. *Report of All India Khadi Board*, 1924.

4. *All India Khadi Guide*, June 1924.

5. *A Khadi Tour*, 1924.

6. *Annual Report of All India Khadi Board*, for 1924.

7. *Khadi Guide*, August 1925, 1927, and 1929.

8. *Report of All India Spinners' Association*, for 1924-25,  
1925-26, 1927-28 and subsequent years.

9. *Charkha Shastra*, Part I, by Maganlal K. Gandhi,  
(translated from the Gujarati).

10. *Hand-Spinning and Hand-Weaving*, an Essay by S. V.  
Puntambekar and N. S. Varadachari, 1926.

11. *The Takli Teacher*, by Maganlal K. Gandhi and  
Richard B. Gregg, 1926.

PUBLISHED BY KHADI PRATISTHAN, 15, COLLEGE  
SQUARE, CALCUTTA

1. *Khadi Manual*, Two Vols. by Satis Chandra Das  
Gupta, 1924.

2. *Message of Khaddar* by Sir P. C. Ray, Address at  
the opening of Khadi Exhibition at Cocanada, 1923,  
(pamphlet).

3. *Charkha* by Satis Chandra Das Gupta. Introduction  
by Sir P. C. Ray (pamphlet).

4. *Deshi Rang* by Sir P. C. Ray (Indigenous dyes and  
dyeing).

2. Sir G. Birdwood — *Industrial Arts of India*, 1880.
3. A. K. Coomaraswamy — *Art and Swadeshi*, Ganesh & Co., Madras.
4. A. K. Coomaraswamy — *The Arts and Crafts of India*.
5. A. K. Coomaraswamy — *The Indian Craftsman*.
6. D. R. Gadgil — *Industrial Evolution of India*, Oxford University Press, 1924. Some pages on textiles.
7. M. K. Gandhi — *Young India*, 1919-22.  
*Young India, Supplement*, 1924.  
*Young India, Vol II*, 1924-26.  
 Publisher, S. Ganesan, Triplicane, Madras.

A very full collection of leading articles from Mr. Gandhi's paper of that name, including many special articles on hand-spinning, hand-weaving, charkha, and the khaddar movement.

8. M. K. Gandhi — *Young India*, a weekly journal, published from Navajivan Karyalaya, Gandhi Road, Ahmedabad.

9. M. K. Gandhi — *The Wheel of Fortune*, selected articles from *Young India*, Ganesh & Co., Madras.

10. H. H. Ghose — *The Advancement of Industry*. One chapter on hand-loom weaving. R. Cambray & Co., Calcutta, 1910.

11. H. H. Ghose — *Hand-Loom Weaving*. R. Cambray & Co., Calcutta, 1906.

12. E. B. Havell — *Essays on Indian Art, Industry and Education*, G. A. Natesan & Co., Madras.

13. E. B. Havell — *The Basis for Artistic and Industrial Revival in India*, The Theosophist Office, Adyar, Madras, 1912.

14. E. B. Havell — *The Foundations of Indian Economics*. A paper read before the East India Association, printed in the *Asiatic Review*, (London), May 15, 1915.

15. Khadi Patrika, edited by V. V. Jerajani, Ahmedabad. A monthly journal dealing with the development of the khaddar movement. First issue September, 1927. Published from Khadi Bhandar, 396 Kalbadevi Road, Bombay 2, India.

16. Mukherjee — *The Bengal Civil Service and the Cottage Industries of Bengal*, Calcutta University Press, 1927.

(e) *On the Cotton Fabric Industry of the Madras Presidency* by Edgar Thurston, Supt. of Madras Government Museum, Supt. of Government Press, Madras, 1897. (Out of Print).

4. Bengal. Bengal Secretariat Book Depot, Calcutta.

(a) *A Summary of the Cottage Industries in the Districts of Bengal*, 1923.

(b) *Report on the Survey of the Cottage Industries of Bengal*, 1924. (Out of stock)

(c) *Supplementary Report on the Survey of Cottage Industries in Bengal for the Districts of Mymensingh, Nadia and Faridpur*.

(d) *Technical and Industrial Instruction in Bengal, 1888-1908*, by J. G. Cumming. Part II of Special Report gives a general review of all factory, manufacturing, mining, artistic, and economic industries in Bengal.

(e) *On Cotton Fabrics of Bengal*, by H. N. Bannerjee, B.A., M.R.A.C., F.H.A.S., 1898, Bengal Secretariat Press. (Out of Print).

5. Other provinces.

(a) *On Cotton Fabrics of Assam* by H. F. Samman, Sub-divisional officer of Chuchdarya Nadia, Supt. of Government Printing, Calcutta, 1897. (Out of print).

(b) *On Cotton Fabrics produced in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh* by C. A. Silberrad B.A., B.Sc. I.C.S., N.W. Provinces and Oudh Government Press, Allahabad, 1898. (Out of print)

(c) *On Cotton Manufacture in the Punjab* by J. A. Grant, Punjab Government Press, Lahore, 1884. (Out of print).

(d) *On Cotton Fabrics in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts* by Major R. V. Garret, I.C.S., 1897, Residency Government Press.

(e) *On Cotton Fabrics and the Cotton Industry in Burma* by G. F. Arnold, C. S. Rangoon Government Press, 1897. (Out of print).

#### MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS

1. V. G. Ramakrishna Ayyer, M.A. — *Small Scale Production in India*, Williams & Norgate, Ltd., London. 1930.

can maintain easy personal intercourse varies with individual variations, with the conditions of work, and with the time which any body of workmen spend together. Perhaps it does not often exceed eighty, and is nominally about twenty or thirty. I do not know of any important attempt to organize mechanical work in relation to that fact, though sometimes the success of a 'gang system' may accidentally depend on it. An American engineer said, I was once told, that the only piece of work which he had thoroughly enjoyed was the making of the Key West Railway, where each pier was placed on a separate rock in the sea, and was erected by a small and separate group of men who came to know each other thoroughly. In armies it is found necessary, if any measure of comfort and contentment is to be secured, that the officers in each regiment and the men in each company or platoon should be deliberately formed into groups, generally numbering about twenty-five; and one of the responsible organizers of a great Insurance Company told me that he consciously aims at bringing groups of twenty or thirty officials into regular social intercourse. Those Universities are most successful where, by an arrangement of 'colleges' or 'dormitories', the students are divided into somewhat larger groups; and if no arrangement of the kind has been made by the authorities, clubs or cliques, in forms sometimes inconsistent with other conditions of desirable social life, spontaneously make their appearance."

p. 337. "In this difficult task of adjusting the vastness of the Great Society to the smallness of individual man, one of the most useful ideas to be kept before the inventor of an organization is the 'self-respect' of those who are to be organized. But even in the case of the ordinary journeyman or clerk or teacher, more could be done than is now done to bring him into conscious contact with the service which he does to the community, and therefore into conscious recognition of his own social worth. Each particular point in that process might seem small, and yet the total effect on Happiness might be large."

p. 348. "But the position of men and women in the Great Industry is only one of a multitude of problems in



17. T. N. Mukherjee — *Art Manufactures of India*.
18. Rajendra Prasad — *Economics of Khadi*, Published by the Secretary, Bihar Charkha Sangha, Muzzaffarpur, 1927.
19. Report on the Proceedings of the East India Company in Regard to the Culture and Manufacture of Cotton, Wool, Raw Silk and Indigo in India, London, 1836. Printed by order of the East India Co. In Home Department Library, Government of India. One copy in the Commercial Library in Municipal Council House, Calcutta.
20. Dr. J. F. Royle — *Arts and Manufactures of India*. A lecture on the results of the Great Exhibition of 1851, First Series. London (?).
21. Laura E. Slart (?) — *Eurmesese Textiles*, being No. 7, Second Series, Bankfield Museum Notes, County Borough of Halifax, Publisher, F. King & Sons, Ltd., Halifax.
22. Muntazin Bahadur V. A. Talcherkar — *The Charkha Yarn*. Published in 1925 by the author, Topiwala's Mansions, Sandhurst Road, Bombay, 4.
23. Forbes Watson — *Survey of the Customs and Textile Manufactures of India*, London.

## APPENDIX E

### VALUE OF SMALL-SCALE ORGANIZATION

Quotations from *The Great Society* by Graham Wallas, Macmillan, New York and London, 1916.

p. 332. "In his relation to his fellow workmen, the most important factor to be allowed for is the quantitative limitation of our powers of forming that kind of subconscious and complete acquaintance with other human beings upon which ease of intercourse depends. A man may 'love' his whole species, but he only 'likes' those whose names and faces he can recall without conscious effort. If he is employed in a business with two thousand other hands, and if his relation to no one of them is more permanent and particular than his relation to any other, there will be no one whom he can 'like'. The number of his fellows with whom a man

between people who lived in the country and those who lived in towns; so much so that the latter did not know how the former lived. This was a dangerous condition of things which he said had become more pronounced since the British connection which led to an increase in trade, which he feared would ultimately lead to disaster.

"Dr. Mann then described how village communities were self-contained and independent units from the earliest times. He said that he had recently perused old records relating to a typical Deccan village during the time of the Peshwas, dating back to 1696. He found that rural conditions in those days were what they are at present, but that then the community was very much more self-contained. With the single exception of salt, the villages produced all their needs . . . Though much of the dignity and independence of village rural life had departed, nevertheless the village, he stated, was still a self-contained unit and would continue to be so whatever political changes might take place.

"The village community maintained itself by an interchange of services and not by making money as town people did. The affection of the villager for his village was so extra-ordinary, he said, that though he should leave his village and go to a big industrial town to work, yet he considered himself a mere visitor in the town no matter how long he stayed away from his village. In case he lost his employment in town, he was not as helpless as the town dweller, but returned home to his village where his people somehow managed to maintain him. The love of the villager for his own village had almost disappeared in England with the development of large industries.

"Dr. Mann then gave a vivid description of the hardships and pleasures of village life. Rural life he further continued, had its problems for which no satisfactory solution has yet been found. In the old days, the population of a village was much smaller than now, and the land that was available for cultivation was sufficient for the whole population. At present, however, the ordinary cultivator who was also a part time labourer had a very hard struggle in making both

the Great Society whose solution is best approached by the criterion of Happiness."

p. 309. "It may be that no satisfactory Will-Organization of human beings with their present limitations, in a society on so vast a scale, is possible, and that we must ultimately choose either to live on a smaller scale, or to pay for the advantages of the larger scale by constant dissatisfaction with our relations to each other."

On page 300 he discusses some of the causes of the *Intense moral discomfort* which a sensitive man feels in entering as a candidate in city politics by reason of the immense size of his constituency and audiences and the consequent unreality of many of his relations. Mr. Wallas says of this man, "All his instincts were adapted ages ago to life on a smaller scale, and to a more spontaneous and less mechanical contact with his fellows."

In this book Mr. Wallas has three chapters devoted respectively to *The Organization of Thought*, *The Organization of Will*, and *The Organization of Happiness*. The value of small scale organization is discussed, in addition to the passages quoted above, at pages 297-302, 314, 332-334, 350, and 368. The same question is also touched upon in his earlier book, *Human Nature in Politics* at pages 44-52 and 270-274.

#### APPENDIX F

### RURAL LIFE IN THE DECCAN

Report of a lecture at the Hyderabad Branch of the Y. M. C. A. July 20, 1928, by Dr. Harold H. Mann, Agricultural Advisor to the Nizam's Government, and formerly Director of Agriculture for Bombay Presidency. Printed in *The Times of India* (Bombay) for July, 24, 1928, also in the *Lahore Tribune*, July 31, 1928.

"Dr. Mann in the course of his speech said that speaking from his twenty years' intimate contact with the villagers of a large part of the Deccan, and not from a knowledge of books, he had observed there was a terrible distinction

## INDEX

(This index does not include the names of authors who are cited but not quoted in this book.)

- Abhay Ashram** 102, 174, 175  
acreage per peasant 168, 193, 195, 197  
advantages of the charkha programme 125, 126, 154, 163  
aesthetic aspects 132  
agricultural reform 117, 119, 152-153  
Ahmedabad 25n., 39, 66n., 174  
All-India Spinners' Association 65, 76, 98, 102, 103, 172-176  
Amalsad, D. M. 68, 95, 126  
Andhra 39, 100, 101, 102, 172, 174, 175  
Arambagh Khadi Karya 102  
area of agricultural land 149  
area of average farm holding 167, 168, 189, 192, 193  
area of India 150  
Asceticism 109  
Assam 167, 172
- Balance between industry and agriculture** 46, 69n., 139, 144, 146, 152, 153  
balance between production and consumption 60, 119, 145, 148  
Bangalore 87  
Bellerby, J. R. 29  
Bengal 5, 9, 39, 100, 101, 118, 166, 167, 169, 175, 177, 189, 190, 191, 193, 200, 201  
Berar 24, 72, 167  
Bihar 9, 100, 101, 102, 118, 167, 174, 190, 199, 202  
Bombay (city) 7, 20, 31, 87, 100, 101, 102, 189  
Bombay Mill Owners' Secretary 182  
Bombay Presidency 8, 118, 167, 179, 199  
Bowman, F. H. 89, 91, 92  
boycott 78, 79
- British Empire 33, 35  
Burma 19, 31, 100, 101, 102, 167, 199
- Calcutta** 87  
Calvert, H. 167, 190, 191  
capital 127  
capital investment in A. I. S. A. 93  
capital investment in cotton mills 178  
capitalism 79, 108, 120, 131, 142, 146, 148, 154, 164  
carding bow 195  
carders 72, 98, 101, 178  
Cawnpore 65, 87  
Census of India figures 19, 24, 72, 167, 178, 189-191  
*See also* Statistical Abstract for British India.  
Central Provinces 24, 72, 118, 167, 179, 191  
charkha 10, 15, 19, 21, 22, 24, 37, 38, 39, 47, 51, 54, 66, 72, 74, 77, 105, 128, 137, 163, 165, 168, 173, 176, 177  
charkha cost of, *see* cost  
charkha definition of, 10n.  
charkha efficiency of, *see* spindle efficiency.  
Chase, Stuart 106, 144  
China 13, 30, 36, 78n., 123, 149, 163  
civilization 112, 149, 162, 164  
cloth, consumption of 33, 71, 81, 84, 181, 185, 196, 197  
cloth, cost of, *see* cost  
cloth, price of, *see* price  
cloth, production of, *see* production  
cloth requirement per family 196, 197  
cloth requirement per person 195-196  
coal 15, 21, 23, 127, 138, 163  
Coatman, Mr. 194

ends meet, owing to the enhanced value of land due to the advent of investors and to fragmentation.

“The real problem in the Deccan where dry crops predominated consisted of finding labourers in the busy season and finding employment for labourers during the off season. The introduction of irrigation would solve the problem as it would provide work throughout the year. In Dr. Mann's opinion the only practicable solution that presented itself to him was the development of cottage industries on the old scale so as to make the village again a self-supporting economic unit.”

- Gandhi, M. K. on machinery 108, 135-137.  
 Gandhi, M. K. on charkha and khaddar movement 165-189  
 Gangulee, N. N. 193  
 Germany 13, 83  
 Ghose, H. H. 94, 95  
 Ghose, Prof. 5  
 gin, hand, production 195  
 ginning 89, 91, 195  
 Great Britain 7, 14, 17, 30, 45, 83, 84  
 Gujarat 100, 101, 174  
**Handlooms**, number of 178, 179, 182, 183  
 handloom production 67, 68, 84, 98, 182  
 history of handspinning and khaddar 33, 46n., 85-86, 95-104  
 Hoare, H. M. 18n.  
 Hobson, J. A. 37  
 home production 77, 78, 195, 196  
 Houghton, Mr., Census officer 167  
 hydraulic power 20, 38, 54  
**Ignorance of managers of large organizations** 158  
 imports 82, 178  
 income, annual per capita 5-8, 45, 179, 184  
 income from charkha 104, 169, 170, 184, 185  
 inconsistency of Mr. Gandhi, alleged 110  
 Indian Industrial Commission 68  
 Indian Year Book 20  
 industrialism 108, 110, 163  
 industrialization of India 27, 81, 108, 109, 119, 134, 137  
 initial cost 82  
 Information Bureau, A.I.S.A., 103  
 International Economic Conference *see* Memo. on Cotton  
 Ireland 169  
 irrigation 118, 152  
 Italy 13, 168  
 Jack, J. C. 191  
 Japan 38, 62, 83, 110, 111, 163  
 jute mills 169  
**Karnatak**, 100, 101, 102  
 Kashmir 19, 100  
 Kathiawad 175  
 Kerala 100, 101  
 Keynes, J. M. 108n.  
 khaddar, cost of, *see* cost  
 khaddar, definition of 10n.  
 khaddar, durability of 68, 87, 88, 95  
 khaddar, price of 68, 69, 80n., 82, 180  
 khaddar, production of 71, 99, 100, 173, 174  
 khaddar, quality of 69, 87, 88, 95, 96, 113, 114, 186  
 khaddar, sales of 99, 100, 173, 174  
 khaddar, spurious 70  
 khaddar movement, progress of 37 98-104, 164, 174, 177  
 khaddar permanence of 115  
 khaddar, washing of 113  
 Khadi Pratisthan 102, 173, 175, 196n., 198  
 Khalispur Ashram 102  
**Labour saving** 73  
 Lancashire 48, 83, 111, 166  
 Lancashire *see also* Manchester  
 land, excess devoted to cotton, 57n.  
 land fragmentation 4, 118  
 land requirement to provide cloth 195  
 League of Nations *see* Memo. on cotton  
 leisure 120, 140  
 Leys, Dr. Norman 124  
 Lipson, Mr. 28  
 literacy 120  
 loom efficiency 25, 67, 76  
 loom production 25, 64, 65, 66, 77  
 looms, hand 24, 178, 179, 182  
 looms, hand, cost of 185  
 Lucas, E. D. 193  
**Machine industry** 37  
 machinery 38, 79, 105, 106, 108, 134, 149, 154, 155  
 Madras 4, 65n., 126, 167, 169, 173, 192, 199  
 Madura 188

Comilla *see* Abhay Ashram  
 Communism 122  
 competition 34, 64-75, 76-86, 177-183  
 consumers 71-73  
 consumption *see* cloth consumption  
 contrast between East and West  
     *see* East and West  
 Cooke, Morris L. 29  
 Co-operative societies 97, 98, 118,  
     119, 160  
 cost, initial 82  
 cost of charkha 24, 186  
 cost of cloth manufacture 13, 34,  
     53, 58, 126, 180, 181, 195  
 cost of hand-loom 24  
 cost of khaddar 34, 80, 82, 180  
 cost of living 7, 63, 160  
 cost of textile mill 25, 178  
 cost of unemployment 31-33, 34, 62  
 cost overhead 55, 56, 58, 59, 79  
 cost, savings of 55-58, 79  
 cottage industries 122, 169  
 cotton, consumption of 83, 84  
 cotton, excess land devoted to 57n.  
 cotton fibre 88-94  
 cotton, Memorandum on, *see*  
     Memorandum  
 cotton production 50, 194-95  
 cotton, stocking of 58, 59, 69, 179, 180  
 cotton technology 87-96  
 cotton, varieties of 93, 181  
 cotton yield per acre 195  
 cow protection 118  
 currency, Indian 12n.  
 Curzon, Lord 5  
 Dacca 182  
 Dadabhai Naoroji 170  
 dairying 169  
 Daniels, Prof. 84  
 Das, R.K. 193  
 debt 25, 26, 60, 118  
 Deccan 5n. 204, 205, 206  
 decentralization 49-63, 147  
 definitions, charkha 10n.  
 definitions, cottah 197  
 definitions, crore 12n.

definitions, khaddar 10n.  
 definitions, spurious khaddar 70  
 definitions, lakh 12n.  
 definitions, tola 196  
 Delhi 100, 101  
 Denmark 153, 169  
 destruction of hand-spinning and  
     hand-weaving 33, 85, 86  
 Director of Public Information 194  
 diseases 49, 104, 124, 126  
 distribution of goods 49-63  
 distribution of wealth 46, 48, 60,  
     166, 174, 179  
 durability *see* khaddar  
 dyes, dying 92, 114  
 East and West, contrast 9, 11, 23,  
     49, 51, 61, 62, 63, 105, 118, 120  
 East India Company 87  
 economy *see* cost savings  
 education 62, 120, 122  
 Edye, Mr., Census Officer 167, 190  
 efficiency of energy transformation  
     21-23, 106, 128, 155  
 efficiency of hand operations 85, 106,  
     128, 161, 162  
 efficiency of power plants in U.S.A.  
     18n.  
 efficiency *see* also spindle efficiency  
 emigration 113  
 energy *see* power  
 energy units 128-129  
 England 13, 39, 49  
 exhibits of khaddar 65n., 87  
 Fairgrieve, James 17, 164  
 famine and famine relief 31, 39, 166,  
     171, 176, 177  
 Filene, E. A. 46, 54  
 Ford Henry, 13, 25, 26, 43-45, 51, 52, 53  
 foresight 153  
 fragmentation of land 41, 118  
 France 13  
 Freeman, R. Austin, 45, 141  
 fuel resources 137  
 Gandhi Ashram, Meerut 102  
 Gandhi Ashram, Tiruchengodu 102

- Railways 111, 139  
 Rajagopalachariar, C. 47  
 Rajasthan 100, 101, 102  
 Ramachandran 134-135  
 Ray, Sir P. C. 177  
 rayon 111  
 Reconstruction Committee Report,  
     British 14, 42  
 renaissance 109, 110  
 requirements of cloth 33, 178, 179,  
     185, 194-197  
 requirements of labour 194-196  
 requirements of land 195-196  
 requirements of workers to clothe  
     India 178  
 requirements of yarn 178  
 Ronaldshay, Earl of 50, 61  
 Roughton, Mr., Census officer 191  
 Royle, John Forbes 87  
 Russell, Bertrand 163  
 Russia 123, 146, 163  
 Sabarmati Ashram 77, 174  
 Sahara Desert 17  
 Saklatvala, S. 122  
 sales depots 101; *see also* khaddar.  
 sanitation 124, 125  
 Satyagraha 145, 161  
 Savitar 15  
 schools, spinning in 103  
 science 96, 106, 107, 143, 145  
 self-reliance 105, 112  
 Shirras, G. Findley 170  
 Sind 100  
 Sinha, Lord 9  
 sizing of yarn 95  
 skill 14, 125, 164, 170  
 Slater, Gilbert 4, 192  
 sliver *see* pooni  
 small-scale operations 49-63, 79, 154,  
     159, 202  
 Socialism 123, 146  
 Soddy, Frederick 22, 108  
 solar energy or power *see* power, solar  
 speed 50, 60, 91, 92, 139  
 spindle efficiency 25, 66, 73, 74, 76, 85  
 spindle production 25, 64, 66, 178,  
     *see also* production.  
 spinners 72, 98, 101, 174, 175, 178, 180  
 spurious khaddar 70  
 staff workers, A. I. S. A. 101  
 standard of living 1, 7, 9, 47, 50  
 Statistical Abstract for British India  
     1930, 31, 80n., 152  
     *see also* Census of India figures.  
 Steinmetz, Charles P. 53-54  
 strike 106, 123  
 summary of advantages of charkha  
     programme over other reforms  
     125, 126  
 summary of advantages of small-scale  
     production and distribution 55-58  
 summary of kinds of terms of  
     purchase 70  
 summary of other economies in  
     handspinning and hand-weaving  
     180-187  
 summary of reasons for hand-spinning  
     170-171  
 summary of reasons why charkha  
     programme is quickest and easiest  
     way to increase use of mechanical  
     power in India 15  
 supplementary industry 165, 171,  
     184-187  
 Surat 91, 94, 176  
 symbiosis 148  
 Takli 103  
 Talcherkar, M. B. V. A. 90  
 Tallents, Mr., Census officer 190  
 Tamil Nad 39, 100, 101, 102, 173, 175  
 tariff 81, 85, 110, 114  
 Technical Department, A.I.S.A. 102,  
 technology *see* cotton technology.  
 textile mill 22, 24, 25  
 Thompson, Mr., Census officer 167, 169  
 Thompson, Sir Ernest 68  
 Thompson, Warren 80-81  
 Tiruchengodu 66, 102, 173  
 tractors, 122  
 trade, foreign 23, 24, 34, 84, 85, 112, 160  
 trade unions 123  
 training in khadi work 102  
 Trevelyan, Sir Charles 44



- Maharashtra 100, 101, 102  
 malaria 49, 104, 124, 126  
 Malkhachak Kutir 174  
 Manchester 86, 191, *see also*  
     Lancashire  
 man-hours 73-75, 156  
 Mann, Dr. Harold H. 5, 8, 40  
 Manu 123n., 137n.  
 manual labour 116  
 manufacturers, *see* National Association of Cotton Manufacturers  
 market for cloth 23, 70-73, 170  
 Marshall, Prof. 28  
 McKendrick, J. G. 22  
 Mears, J. W. 20  
 Mee, Arthur 17-18  
 Meerut 102  
 Memorandum on Cotton, International Economic Conference, League of Nations 34, 35, 55n., 71n., 82-85  
 mill, cost of 25, 178  
 Mississippi Valley 18  
 money 60, 127, 133, 145, 154, 156  
 moral values 133, 148, 176  
 Morrison, F. B. 19, 21  
 Morrison and Henry 19, 21  
 Mukerjee, R. 148, 192  
 Munich 87  
 Mysore 166, 179  
 National Association of Cotton Manufacturers (U.S.A.) Bulletin 57, 64, 76  
 non-co-operation 109, 110  
 non-violent resistance 145, 147, 161  
 Northwest Frontier Province 19, 167, 200  
 Organization, large 54, 60, 157, 159, 160  
 organization small 49-63, 79, 156, 159, 161, 202-204  
 organization of khaddar movement *see* All India Spinners' Association  
 Orissa 9, 190, 199 *see also* Utkal  
 output *see* production.  
 overhead expenses or cost *see* cost
- Part-time occupation 53, 104, 169  
 per capita income *see* income.  
 petroleum 15, 138n.  
 piece goods 82, 84  
 pooni 91, 103  
 population of Bengal 9n.  
 population of India, rural 4, 71, 80, 167, 173  
 population problem 113  
 poverty of India 3-10, 165, 166-171  
 power, industrial of Bombay 21  
 power, industrial of Great Britain 151  
 power, industrial of India 20  
 power, industrial of United States 151  
 power, man 15, 18-19, 20, 23  
 power, physical 12-15  
 power, solar 15, 17, 18, 21, 24, 50, 63n, 127, 150, 151, 155, 164  
 power, village 24, 171  
 Prabartak Sangh 102  
 price of khaddar 62, 70, 72, 80, 82, 179  
 price of mill cloth 62, 80n, 126  
 price reduction of khaddar 80, 175  
 production centres, 101  
 production of cotton per acre 195  
 production of hand carder 195, 197  
 production of hand ginner 195  
 production of hand spinner 25, 65, 77, 174, 195, 197  
 production of hand weaver 67, 174, 178, 181, 184, 201  
 production of Indian mills 84-85, 178  
 production of khaddar 71, 99-100, 174  
 proportion of spinners to weavers 185  
 prosperity of India, former 3, 46  
 psychological conditions 125, 187  
 Punjab 5n. 100, 101, 102, 118, 167, 173-175, 189, 190, 191, 193  
 Puntambekar, S. V. and Varadachari N. S. 5, 24, 58-59  
 purchasing power 35, 46-47, 80, 81  
 Purushottam, Lakshmidas 194
- Qualitative relationships 143  
 quality of khaddar 69, 87, 88, 95, 96, 115, 181  
 quality of yarn 59, 87-96, 174, 181, 183



twist *see* yarn.

**Unemployment**, British 30, 110

unemployment, cost of *see* cost.

unemployment, general 84

unemployment, Indian 28-41, 73,  
74, 81, 165, 184, 189-194

unemployment relief 28-41, 112, 165

unemployment technological 166

United Provinces, 24, 72, 100, 101,  
102, 118, 167, 179, 190, 193

United States 7, 12n, 40n, 42, 45, 46,  
48, 83, 110, 144n, 145

unity 162

urbanization 79

Utkal, 39, 100, 101, 102; *see* Orissa

**Varadachari**, N. S. and Puntambekar  
S. V. 5n., 24, 58-59

Vidyashram 102

village organization and methods  
11, 49, 50, 61-62, 77, 160, 171,  
184, 185, 195, 202

village power 18, 152

**Wages**, agricultural 31, 32

wages, carding 180, 195

wages, famine 31

wages, spinning 59, 104, 170, 180,  
186, 195

wages, textile 80, 180, 186

wages, weaving 180, 181, 182, 186, 195

Walls, Graham 159, 202

War, Great 83, 108

washing khaddar 113

wastes 55-62, 138

Watson, Forbe 87

wealth of India *see* poverty, also  
prosperity, former.

wealth, real 18, 107, 114, 152, 153, 179

weavers 72, 98, 101, 172, 174, 178, 182,  
183, 184, 188

Williams, Rushbrook 9, 31, 191

women 32, 104, 115

workers, agricultural 19, 21

workers, textile, British 35

workers, textile, Indian 35, 72, 171

workers, textile needed to clothe  
India 178

workers, textile, world 36

**Yarn**, charkha 87-96, 196

yarn, charkha elasticity of 94

yarn, charkha quality of 16, 174,  
181, 188

yarn, charkha strength of 65, 87-96, 174

yarn, charkha twist 92, 94

yarn, charkha uniformity of 65, 92

yarn, mill 68, 71, 73n, 84, 184, 188

yarn testing 65, 66, 102, 174

yield *see* cotton